## OPINIONS

ON

INTERESTING SUBJECTS

OR

PUBLIC LAW AND COMMERCIAL POLICY;

ARISING FROM

AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE.

I'M TEOLIN'S

15.0

INTERPORTING QUOJECTS

PROLICE IN ROSES CONTINUED AND ECTIONS

1. 4.

ALLERICATION DEPRINDENCE.

# 0 P I N I O N S

ON

#### INTERESTING SUBJECTS

OF

#### PUBLIC LAW AND COMMERCIAL POLICY:

ARISING FROM

#### AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE.

- 6 1. The Question answered-Whether the Citizens of the United States are confidered by the Law of England as Aliens; what Privileges are they entitled to within the Kingdom; what Rights can they claim in the remaining Colonies of Britain.
- & 2. The Regulations for opening the American Trade confidered; Faults found; and Amendments proposed: How the late Proclamations affect the United States discussed : Objections pointed out; and Alterations fuggested.
- . How far the British West Indies were injured by the late Proclamations fully investigated; the Amount of their Wants discovered; Modes of Supply shewn: And the Policy of admitting the American Veffels into their Ports amply orgued.
- An Enquiry how far a Commercial Treaty with the United States is necessary, or would be advantageous: What the Laws of England have already provided on this Subject; and the fundamental Laws of the United States compared with them.

#### BY GEORGE CHALMERS, A

AUTHOR OF

POLITICAL ANNALS OF THE REVOLTED COLONIES, AND OF

AN ESTIMATE OF THE COMPARATIVE STRENGTH OF BRITAIN.

#### ONDON:

Printed for J. DEBRETT, opposite Burlington-House, Piccadilly. 1784.

I UNDERSTAND not the World so little as not to know, that he that will faithfully serve his Country, must be content to pass through good report and evil report: Neither regard I which I meet with: TRUTH I AM SURE AT LAST WILL VINDICATE ITSELF, and BE FOUND BY MY COUNTRYMEN, said

SIR JOSHUA CHILD.



### OPINIONS

ON

#### INTERESTING SUBJECTS

OF

PUBLIC LAW AND COMMERCIAL POLICY;

ARISING FROM

AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE.

THE acknowledgment of the Independence of the United States of America was certainly an event of confiderable confequence to Great-Britain. To be freed from foreign war is always an object, which cannot be purchased at too high a price, while the national honour is preferved. The enjoyment of domestic tranquility, with all its pleasures and its benefits, is a blessing of still higher value, which cannot be too much prized; and ought therefore to be preserved by almost any facrifice.

When the Provisional Articles closed the American controversy, which having endured for years, had embittered private enjoyment and disturbed public repose, an unusual calm ensued. But, in a nation, where interest is always active and faction is often malicious; where many communicate their thoughts on state transactions, because every one has a right to do so; the long continuance of quiet is more to be defired, than reasonably to be hoped for.

The fituation, as new as it is obscure, wherein the peace had placed Great-Britain and the United States, gave rife to many difficult questions of public law and commercial policy. The attention of the world was again roused, by successive publications; which propagated very different opinions and proposed very diffimilar measures. these anxieties many ask for intelligence; some to gratify prepoffession; a few to exalt avarice: But, he who, in order to allay that folicitude, by endeavouring to instruct himself before he presumes to offer instruction to others, may claim at least a patient perusal, while he discharges the duty, which he owes to a public, inquisitive and liberal. The author of the following sheets in this spirit submits his humble fentiments on topicks, whereon the well-meaning and intelligent have thought very variously, with that freedom which belongs to one, who is confcious of good intentions without interest, and with that plainness of language, which is more studious of perspicuity than ambitious of ornament.

§ 1. It is of importance furely to know, prior to any stable regulation of the American trade, by the legislature, whether the citizens of the American States are now confidered by our laws as subjects, or as aliens. Certainty in jurisprudence is doubtless the best preventive of disputes. Yet, is there little faid on a question, so interesting to many hearts, in the treaty, which acknowledged the independence of the United States. To point out however what might have been provided on this difficult subject, either by previous act of Parliament. or by subsequent stipulation, would only carry us into a thorny path, leading to a field, unfruitful of amusement or instruction. To examine the face of things as they are is as much the business of Statesmen, as it is the duty of legislators to look back only with a regard to the prospect.

The treaty, it must be however allowed, is explicit enough, as to the political associations, that compose the States, which are acknowledged to be free and independent; but it is altogether silent as to the individuals, who formed those celebrated confederations: It admits the thirteen societies, in their associated capacity, to be sovereign, by relinquishing all claim of government over them: But, it does not explicitly renounce the allegiance of the colonists, who, at the epoch of the peace, were still British subjects, in contemplation of British law. For, it does not declare, that

the citizens of the United States shall be deemed aliens in future: And, it as little makes any exception of those faithful subjects; who, having refused to renounce their allegiance, were denominated Nonjurors, by the American code; and who, having never done any act inconsistent with their sidelity to the Crown, merited at least by their hazards the accustomed stipulation, that they might dispose of their property, without hindrance, and afterwards retire, without further persecution.

The mere act of residence, within the limits assigned to the United States, might have been considered as a missortune, but it could not surely have been deemed an offence. To submit to a force which they could not resist; to pay treble taxes that they could not resule; are regarded as crimes by no social system, except by the new sangled laws of South Carolina alone \*. Happily different is our constitution in this respect: With the united voice of reason and humanity it declares: "That if enemies or rebels come with a superior force and exact contributions, submission is not so much criminal as prudent, to prevent a public evil of greater magnitude †."

The American Nonjurors having been born within the king's dominions, were by that circumstance

<sup>\*</sup> The Jacksonborough Assembly of 1782 excluded from the right of citizenship all those, who having made a temporary submission to the British army, were denominated protection-men.

<sup>+</sup> Forster's Crown Law, 8vo. edit. p. 217.

stance alone constituted freemen of this realm: By their birth within the allegiance of the Crown they acquired a variety of rights, which by our lawyers are emphatically flyled their birth-rights; and which can never be forfeited, except by their own mif. behaviour, and can never be taken away, but by the will of their fellow citizens, expressed by act of Parliament. An alien cannot be admitted a freeman of this kingdom without the confent of the community, by an act of naturalization: neither can an innocent freeman be disfranchifed, except by the conjoint voice of the nation. And the reason of both those rules may be found in the original compact itself; which provided, that no one should be admitted a contracting party, without the confent of the whole; which equally declared, that a contracting party should not be deprived of the advantages of the compact, while he faithfully performed his original stipulations. What ought thus to be the fundamental principle of every Government is expressly recognized by THE GREAT CHARTER of England; whose language can no more become obsolete, than its provisions in favour of the rights of human nature can cease to operate. No freeman, says the boast of Britain, shall be seized, or imprisoned, or outlawed, or any way destroyed, except by the legal judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land. But to expel a meritorious body of men from the fociety whereof they had once been members is at once to outlaw and destroy them. The American Loyalists faithfully performed their original

original stipulations; it was their misfortune and their only crime, that after they had risqued and lost more than common subjects, the community did not, in return, yield them merited protection.

If the most infignificant subject cannot then be deprived of the most trivial privilege, without the most positive law, we may considently infer, that a deferving class of subjects cannot be bereaved of every focial right, by mere implication. have scarcely passed away, since wife men and profound lawyers differed in opinion, whether the act of Parliament, empowering the Crown to make peace or a truce, with the revolted Colonies, enabled the Ministers of the Crown to acknowledge the Independence of the United States. Whatever foundation there might have been for that unhappy difference, the subsequent recognition of the Legislature has removed every future doubt. Yet, had a power been positively given to renounce the allegiance of those colonial subjects, who, in opposition to violence and even to neglect, remained unalterably attached to the British Government, that power has not been by the Treaty of Peace in any manner executed; as we may learn from its filence, where it ought to have spoken in the most audible tone. In confirmation of the general inference, that the before-mentioned loyal persons, who, at the epoch of the peace, remained within the limits of the United States, are still confidered as subjects by our laws, judicial authorities might

might be quoted, if it were thought necessary to cite the decisions of judges for the establishment of doctrines; which, having been interwoven into our constitution, are taught us in our youth and are imprinted on our hearts.

It is nevertheless a very different consideration, with respect to those Colonists; who having atchieved the late revolution, by their efforts, now form, by their residence, the citizens of the United Rights may be undoubtedly forfeited, States. though privileges cannot be arbitrarily taken away. A man's crimes, or even misconduct, may deprive him of those immunities, which he might have claimed from birth, or derived from an act of the Legislature: He may be outlawed by the sentence of a court of justice, or he may be banished by the united fuffrages of his countrymen. The American citizens, who voluntarily abjured their Sovereign, avowed their defign to relinquish the character of subjects: The American citizens, who swore allegiance to the Government of their own choice, thereby declared their election, that they would be no longer connected with a State, which had mortified their prejudices rather than bereaved them of rights: And by that conduct and by those offences the revolted Colonists forfeited to the law all that the law had ever conferred. The American Treaty however acknowledged that avowal: The recognition of Parliament legalized that election. But, whether that act of the British Government, or that act of the British Legislature, ought

dience, or as a pardon of their faults, is a problem, which, at this day, does not merit a formal folution.

The law of England (as we all know) hath divided the residents within the British dominions into two classes; 1stly, into that of subjects; 2dly, into that of aliens, who are subdivided into a body denominated denizens; a name, which implies, that they had once been aliens, but had been admitted by their denization, to some of the privileges of subjects.

But the law of England knows nothing affuredly of a real subject, or unreal subject; to affirm of a person, that he is more a subject or less a subject is to speak neither good English, nor sound law; since the term subject does not admit of degrees: And every one must consequently be either a subject to all intents, or to no intent. To admit novelties into our language is almost as dangerous, as it is to allow innovations in our law. The unmeaning epithet real was prefixed in the Treaty to the well-known terms—British subjects, with design to distinguish those British subjects, who, having been born without the United States, never permanently resided

The American negotiators have at length explained to the world the import of the perplexing expressions real British subjects, by their letter to the Congress, dated the 18th of July 1783, and published in the Pennsylvania Independent Gazette of the 24th of April, 1784: "The British Ministers, say the negotiators, were unwilling to make use of

resided within them, from those British subjects, who, having fixedly dwelt within the revolted Colonies, risqued their persons, and sacrificed their all at the shrine of their attachments to the British Government. A narcotick was to be found, it feems, to prevent those meritorious subjects from feeling their wounds, though they had been already wounded beyond cure. But, on whatever motive the British negotiators acted, the American negotiators inferted those unusual expressions into the Treaty, in order to gain the virtual acknowledgment of the British Government, that the British Colonies had always been independent, or at least intitled to Independence: in making that virtual acknowledgment the British Government in fome measure admitted, that there had existed American subjects and British subjects; that the American loyalists, having been American subjects, had incurred confiscation, because, in their active adherence to the British Government, they had violated their allegiance to the United States. The pretention and the admission were both equally irrational and illegal. Yet, by that fignal transaction both parties affirmed,

(

any terms that might give uneafiness to the Refugee Tories, and the terms real British subjects were well understood and ascertained, not to mean, or comprehend American Refugees: and it was only a more delicate mode of excluding the Refugees, and making a proper distinction between them and the subjects of Britain, whose only particular interest in America consisted in bolding lands and property." And thus have we ascertained who are, and who are not, real British subjects.

affirmed, that the citizens of the United States were to be regarded in future as aliens.

The American citizens can therefore, by no mode of speech, or by any principle of law, be deemed British subjects; unless those affociations of mankind are subjects, who owe no allegiance to the British Crown, or any obedience to the British Government; that allegiance, which is faid to include all the engagements owing from subject to Sovereign; that obedience, which is styled emphatically the very effence of law. But, the King of Great-Britain has formally relinquished all claim of fovereignty over the United States and all pretence of government over the American citizens: And the Parliament, by recognizing the act of renunciation, virtually expressed the affent of the community to the expulsion of the American citizens from the focial compact, which binds every member of the British State together. The citizens of the United States are not certainly denizens, because they can shew no letters of denization, which indeed would prove, that they had therefore been aliens. Now, he who is neither a subject, nor a denizen, is deemed by the law of England an alien.

Were we to turn over only a few pages of history, the faithful handmaid of jurisprudence, we might discover illustrations of the foregoing reasonings, though we should probably find no precedent of a people, who continued English subjects, after the English Government had renounced their

their

their obedience. The ancient annals of Scotland will furnish striking examples. When Edward I. acquired by his policy from the meanness of Baliol more than by his power from the submission of the nobles, the virtual fovereignty, though not the direct dominion of Scotland, the Scots became by that fignal event the fellow subjects of Englishmen: When Scotland, in her turn regained, by the gallantry or prudence of Bruce, her ancient independence, the Scots immediately became aliens to their too powerful neighbours: Yet, the English did not formally renounce the government of a nation, whose obedience they were unable to enforce. And the Scots continued aliens even after the fortunate accession of James I. to the throne of England. Those Scotsmen alone, who were born after that epoch, were confidered as fellow subjects of Englishmen, till a much happier event united infeparably the two people together.

If from our island we turn our inquiries to the neighbouring continent, we shall find, that Normandy and Aquitain, Tournay and Calais, were unfortunately once possessed by the monarchs of England. During that said period, of foreign expeditions and domestic penury, the residents of each of those countries enjoyed all the privileges of subjects. When each however became successively separated from this realm, by the imbecilities of folly co-operating with the efforts of ambition, the inhabitants of all those foreign dominions became, in their turn, aliens to the

C 2

Crown.

Crown. France too was conquered in an evil hour by England, during the glorious yet milerable days of our Edwards The French became by that unfalutary measure the fellow subjects of Englishmen. And to this hour the British sovereign enjoys the flattering though unproductive title of King of France: Yet, the French for ages have not been considered as British subjects; fince they certainly owe no allegiance to the British Crown, or yield any submission to the British Government. The same stroke of fortune, either adverse or happy, which deprived the residents of all those provinces and towns of the protection of one common Sovereign, broke afunder the bands of allegiance, that formed the connection between them: For, the English Government being either renounced, or withdrawn, the people continued no longer subjects than their obedience could be asked and enjoined.

Of the truth of the foregoing reasoning the cession of Surinam by Charles II. to the Dutch surnishes a direct proof. By the treaty of Breda it was agreed, "That both parties should retain with plenary right of sovereignty, propriety, and possession, all such lands, islands, and colonies, as either had theretofore gotten, or retained from the other."—These expressions are general; yet, indefinite as they are, they were deemed sufficiently energetic to transfer the allegiance of the English colonists to the States-General and the allegiance of the Dutch colonists at New-York to the English

The English inhabitants of Surinam were thenceforth confidered, by the ablest statesmen of both countries, as fo exclusively the subjects of the United Netherlands, that the King of England could not even interpole as mediator between their new fovereigns\* and them. In this manner were the expressions plenary right of sovereignty construed to have converted colonists, who had once been subjects, into aliens, who could no longer claim the privileges or protection of the parent state. And from this decisive precedent we may infer, that there was no necessity to infert, in the American Treaty, a clause, renouncing the allegiance of the colonists, fince the fovereignty of the country being relinquished and the government withdrawn, it could answer no good purpose to retain the faith and submission of the people.

It feems thus impossible to repel those general inferences and that legal conclusion, by the most liberal construction, which has been ever made of the various statutes of naturalization from the days of Edward I. to the present. The well known law t of that celebrated conqueror appears to have received an explanation much larger than the narrowness of the words would at first sight justify. The capacity to inherit, which by them were given to the children, "Whose father and mother

<sup>\*</sup> MSS. Memorial of John De Witt, with the answer thereto.

<sup>+ 25</sup> Ed. III. Stat. 2. "Of those that be born beyond the Sea."

mother were at the faith of the King, and who should be born without the ligeance of the Crown," has been enlarged by judges, who wished to contrast the disabilities of aliens, into an act of naturalization. For, it has been decided, "That though an English merchant marry a foreigner and has iffue by her born beyond the seas, that issue is a natural born subject; yet, if an English woman go beyond seas and marry an alien, who have issue born beyond the sea, that issue are aliens."

Such was the law prior to the reign of Anne; though an act t of Parliament was passed soon after the Restoration, in favour of a meritorious class of men, the children of those ardent lovalists, who had followed the fortunes of Charles II. into exile. It was owing more perhaps to momentary passion than to any well-weighed policy, that the statute of Anne't was passed—" for naturalizing foreign Protestants." A collateral clause of this act declared, "That the children of natural born fubjects, born out of the ligeance of the Crown, shall be deemed natural born subjects of this kingdom." And the act recited, as the moving principle of the legislature, "That the encrease of people is the means of advancing the wealth and strength of a nation." General positions in political

<sup>\*</sup> Molloy Dejure Marit. Book iii, of Aliens.

<sup>+ 29</sup> Cha. II. chap. 6.

<sup>1 7</sup> Ann. chap. 5.

tical œconomy always lead to mischievous error. The Parliament discovered ere long, that they had applied the nostrum of a quack in a case, where they should have administered the medicine of a physician. And it became apparent at least to the wise, that giving employment to the idle is the only mode of promoting the encrease of the people, and of advancing the wealth and strength of a nation; while the inviting of foreigners into a country, whose inhabitants are not fully employed, is at once to starve the poor and beggar the rich. Owing to these causes probably the clause of the statute of Anne, which had naturalized all foreign Protestants, was in three years repealed \*.

Doubts soon arose, it seems, with regard to the construction of the remaining clause, allowing foreign born children of subjects the privileges of naturalization, which induced the Parliament to pass an Act to explain it +. And to effectuate this laudable purpose the Statute of 4th George II. enacted, "That all children who had been born out of the ligeance of the Crown, whose fathers were natural born subjects, at the time of the birth of such children, shall be deemed natural born subjects." Thus, an act of explanation was really converted into an act of restriction: For, the more comprehensive word, subject, in the Satute of Anne, was converted

<sup>\*</sup> By 10 Ann. chap. 5. The Palatines had flocked to England in great numbers.

<sup>† 4</sup> Geo. II, ch. 21.

converted, by the Statute of George, into the more restrictive term, fathers. And it added various provifos; excluding the children of attainted perfons, then being in the actual service of any Prince at enmity with the Crown; and repelling particularly " the children, whose father, at the time of the birth, was liable to the penalties of treason, or felony, in case of returning into this kingdom without licence." Thus, the Statute of the 4th George II. restored the law, as it had for ages been confidered from a liberal construction of the Act of Edward III. with restrictive provisos against the issue of delinquent fathers. And from this recapitulation we may reasonably conclude, that since the operation of Edward's law did not prevent whole communities from becoming aliens, when the Government of his feeble successors was overturned or withdrawn, much less can the statute of the 4th George II. fave the citizens of the United States, from being regarded by our common law as aliens.

Whatever weight may be allowed to those reafonings, or to those facts, it must be admitted, that in some important points of our naval policy, the American citizens are declared to be aliens, by Act of Parliament. The statute \*, " for preventing frauds in the Customs," declares, That whereas the act of navigation requires the master and threefourths of the mariners of every English ship to be English; it is to be understood, that any of his Majesty's

<sup>\* 13-14</sup> Cha. II. ch. 11. fec. 6.

jesty's subjects of England, Ireland, or his plantations, are to be accounted English, and no others."

Whether a theoretic problem, which feems to lead to no practical use, merited the time, or the ceremony, of fo much investigation, is a queltion that may be readily asked, yet may be easily answered. Political disquisitions, which have no tendency to promote the ends of just Government, by making rulers wifer, or subjects happier, ought doubtless to be avoided, at least by wife and good men, as speculations, idle and unprofitable. Yet, it must be allowed to be a consideration of great practical utility, if it could be determined with reasonable certainty, who are the subjects of the flate, during peace, and its aliens, in war; that we may be enabled to diffinguish clearly treacherous rebels from avowed enemies. The privileges, which belong to fubjects are extremely diffimilar to the immunities that appertain to aliens: The treatment, which humanity offers to enemies amid the hostile contests of nations is by justice seldom extended to rebels. And experience hath fufficiently evinced to the most inattentive observer, that dubiousness of law is the never failing source of public disquiet and of private misery: It is always more easy to prevent litigation than to end If those topicks of general remark should not however be allowed decifive influence, it must be admitted, that arguments drawn from the fountain of consequent inconvenience ought to meet with ample discussion in the consults of wise legislators. If the American

American loyalists, who remained within the limits of the United States, even after the peace, are still to be regarded as subjects, (as we have endeavoured to evince) they must necessarily be intitled to the peculiar privileges of subjects: They may inherit then the lands of their ancestors; they may act as merchants or as factors in the British plantations; they may as mariners or masters navigate any British vessel; and they may execute any office of profit or trust, or fit in either House of Parliament: And when any of those rights are denied them, (if we except the privilege of fitting in Parliament) they may refort to Westminster Hall for redress of fome of their wrongs; where justice is administered happily, according to the principles of rigid law, without regarding the dictates of convenient policy. But, if the American citizens are confidered as aliens they can only enjoy the more scanty immunities, that to aliens belong: They can by no means claim the invaluable privileges, which the American loyalists must as subjects be thus allowed to possess, in every dominion of Britain; though within the United States even these must act as citizens by yielding a local fubmission, while they continue their residence. The confusion in policy, in law, and in practice, which must result from the uncommon circumstance of two distinct classes of men, refiding in the fame country, yet pretending different rights, within a foreign nation, are al sapparent, and ought all to be precluded, by meaures of precaution. To a state of anarchy, thus new and

and embarrassing, it is unnecessary to add, that it has been found sufficiently difficult to man our navy, during the civil war, from the seamen instituting, that, since they had been born in America, they could not be pressed to serve. The business of our Custom-house requires no further perplexities, from the difficulty of knowing aliens from subjects, under a complicated system, which by the contradictory operation of new laws becomes daily more complex

Men of cautious tempers may be induced by the foregoing reasons to think, that a legislative declaration is necessary, to remove doubts, and to prevent difficulties. It would require only a few words in an Act of Parliament to declare, that the citizens of the United States are aliens to the Crown; and to provide, that all persons, who had been once British subjects and resided within any of the United States, before or at the ratification of peace, shall be deemed British subjects, on condition, that such persons shall before or on the

day of fettle in any of the dominions of the Crown and take the oath of allegiance.

To a clause, thus simple in its creation, yet pregnant in its effect, it is not easy to perceive what could be reasonably objected. Such a clause would not impugn the American treaty: The legislative declaration would rather confirm its principle and give efficacy to its meaning. Such a clause would doubtless shock the prejudices of those, who wish to

D 2

imitate in respect to the United States, that notable policy of Cromwell \*, whereby he tendered to the cautious Hollanders the privileges of Englishmen. both civil and commercial. It is the established law however, which runs counter to those prejudices, working as the law does, on the new fituation, wherein nature and their own efforts had placed the American citizens. The act of Parliament, with a wisdom which belongs to the legislature, would nearly extinguish the hopes of those visionary men; who flatter themselves, that amid the anarchical changes of the times, the United States may defire to be admitted to a fœderal union with Britain: and the act would greatly allay the jealoufy of those American citizens, who dread the attempts of Britain to regain by intrigue, what she had been unable to effect by force. Wife men act from just reflection and previous concert: The unexperienced alone apply remedies to diforders, that have already made a progress.

2. When the American citizens are in this manner admitted in argument, or declared by law, to be aliens, it may be of confiderable use to inquire, what immunities the law of England allows them within

<sup>\*</sup> Cromwell, says Hume, had revived the chimerical scheme of a total conjunction of government, privileges, interests, and councils, with the United Provinces. This project appeared so wild to the States, that they wondered any man of sense could ever entertain it; and they resused to enter two any conferences with regard to such a proposal. [Hist. 8vo. edit. 7th v. p. 236.]

within the realm. We shall probably find, that on this head of our jurisprudence we may justly boaft, among the European nations, of its liberality and In this temper Sir Mathew Hale reits wildom. marked, (and he who thinks with Hale will feldom think wrong) " that the law of England rather contracts than extends the difabilities of aliens." Every civilized fystem has established the convenient distinction, which the law of England has certainly made, between aliens and subjects; by excluding the first class from the privileges of the last; and which we shall see in the result, the American States have established as a fundamental maxim of their free constitutions. Yet the law of England, with a spirit somewhat disingenuous, allows the alien " to purchase lands, but not to his own use; fince the King is thereupon entitled to them:" And the alien can therefore maintain no action in any court of justice, with regard to property, which the law does not allow him to hold. But, he is fully allowed to enjoy even lands for the temporary purpose of traffick; at the same time that he is amply protected in his person, in his effects, That our great charter and his reputation. should have extended, by a specifick clause, the fame security to foreign merchants, as it prescribes for the Liverymen of London, or the Citizens of Dublin, is a happy circumstance, which has gained our commercial system the honour of Montesquieu's praise. Nor, does it detract any thing from the celebration of our English Justinian\*, that he granted a charter of liberties to merchant strangers.

From laws t, which do not merit-less of our commendation, the American citizens may demand, as alien traders, " to be used within the kingdom, as merchant denizens are in other countries." And the American citizens may infift † " when they come into the realm, that they be honestly entreated as to the payment of taxes." Notwithstanding this apparent liberality merchant strangers were till lately subjected in Great Britain, as they are even now in Holland and France, to those alien duties, which the avidity of every state had established in favour of subjects, before the. fpirit of commerce had foftened the manners of The origin of those duties in Britain may be traced up to the unpolluted fource of the agreement of the foreign merchants themselves, when they received their charter of liberties from the legislative hand of Edward Ist. Merchant strangers are still subjected, in the port of London, to feveral duties of no great burden, which belong to that opulent Corporation; the barbarous origin of which may be conjectured from the barbarism of their appellations; of scavage and package, of porterage

<sup>\*</sup> The Charter of Edward I. is published by Molloy, in his Treatise, naval and commercial, Book ii.

<sup>+</sup> From the 9 Hen. III. ch. 9. 5 Hen IV. ch. 7.

<sup>#</sup> By the authority of the 12 Cha. II. ch. 4.

porterage and water bailage. By an act of Parliament \*, which had the prevention of fraud for its end, the children of aliens are excluded, when under one and twenty years of age, from acting as merchants, or from entering goods at the Customhouse: And alien fathers are thereby precluded from sitting as jurors on the trial of causes respecting the customs; though this exclusion may be regarded by some, as a greater benefit than a disadvantage.

Under the protection of those favourable laws, without the aid of a commercial treaty, the American merchant may fecurely carry on his traffic: Under their friendly shade the American traveller may inspect our curiosities, or view our arts, without fear of the droit d'aubane of other countries; fince he may dispose of his effects by testament, or the law will preserve them for his next of kin. Aliens indeed cannot in Britain, more than in any other nation, execute any office of profit or truft, or accept of any grant from the Crown, or fit in either House of Parliament t. But, from the foregoing concatenation of circumstances we may furely infer, than an alien friend is altogether confidered as the most faithful subject, in respect to the protection of his person, his good-name, and his property; which includes nearly all his rights. And thus much with regard to those privileges and disabilities.

<sup>• 13-14</sup> Cha. II. ch. 11. fec. 10-11.

<sup>† 12-13</sup> Wm. III. ch. 2. fec. 3. 1 Geo. II. ftat. 2 ch. 4

abilities, which the American citizens derive, as aliens, from the operation of the law of England, within the realm.

3. We are thence led naturally to mention those exclusions, which result from statute, more than from our common law, as to the external commerce of American traders. A regard to the national defence, by increasing the number of seamen, induced the Parliament, in the last century, to declare \*, contrary to the example of other legislatures, that no goods shall be fent coastways in alien-ships; that all vessels shall be deemed foreign, except such as shall be built, within the King's dominions, or fuch as shall be made prize, under specific conditions. The Parliament were led about the same time, by the same motives, to prohibit all foreigners from trading with our transatlantic fettlements, and our plantations from trading with foreigners: And by the active energy of this law, the United States were excluded, subsequent to the epoch of their independence, from carrying on any commerce with the British colonies and the British colonies were thereby forbid to carry on any commerce with them. By the act " for encouraging the Newfoundland fishery +;" which enforced

<sup>\* 12</sup> ch. 2. 18. which was enforced by 7--8 Wm. III. ch. 22.

that Mr. Oswald did not much consider this law, when he negotiated the American Peace. By the treaty the American citizens were allowed to take any fort of fish at all the fishing places

enforced rather than enfeebled the falutary fpirit of that law, no alien or stranger " can take bait or use any fort of trade, or fishing, in that island, or in any of the Bays or fishing places around it." Owing to the prohibition of some of those laws, the American citizens cannot refide as merchants on as factors, or execute any office of trust, within the British plantations. Yet, as foreign Protestants they may regain, by a feven years uninterrupted residence within those plantations, the colonial rights, which belong to British subjects. Without that residence, or an act of naturalization, our traders can employ none of the American citizens as mafters or mariners in the failing of their ships. And thus much with regard to the questions,whether the American citizens are confidered as aliens by our laws; what immunities are they, as aliens, entitled to within the Kingdom; what regulations are they governed by in their external traffick.

§ 2. The American Affociations having in this manner acquired independence; the American citizens

places of Newfoundland. But, whether the right to catch fish includes the right to catch bait is a doubt, which the Dutch jurists may answer. A French Statesman, when he wanted to quarrel, would here find a subject for quarrel. And it would be an act worthy of the prudence of Parliament to repeal that statute, as far as it tends to prevent the American States from enjoying the full right of sishing, from a law and a treaty standing opposed to each other; in order to evince to the world with what good faith this nation executes the letter and the meaning of every national compact.

was the laws rather than the government of Great-Britain, which, from the date of the peace, attentively viewed both in the exalted fituations, that both had established for themselves. The British Government, enjoying happily no dispensing power, could not prevent the operation of those British laws, which had imposed disabilities and enforced restrictions. And by accurate inquiry we shall probably find, that the British government have been hitherto only anxious to remove the various obstructions, which resentment and retaliation had thrown into the channel of our American trade.

However the nation might have felt " how sharper than a Serpent's tooth it is to have thankless children,"-it was furely wife in the British Government to forget all the past; when the American commerce was to be regained, if the American commerce in its greatest possible extent be a desirable good. Animated by this worthy spirit the Legislature soon repealed two acts of Parliament\*, which the hostile associations of late times had required; because it was deemed "highly expedient, that the intercourse, between Great Britain and the American States, should be immediately opened." The fame fense of expediency gave rise to a second act of Parliament +, which exempted American vessels from the necessity of producing any certificate

<sup>\*</sup> By 23 Geo. III. ch. 26.

<sup>† 23</sup> Geo. III. ch. 39.

ficate or manifest at the Custom-house, except such bonds as are usually taken for the faithful exportation of goods intitled to a drawback, or a bounty; and which empowered the King in Council, during a short term, to make temporary regulations for the American trade. Extraordinary as this power was, the act which conferred it may be regarded as one of the wisest in the statute book; because, by avoiding the dangers of, "over credulous haste," it furnished the intelligent with opportunities of reviewing a subject, complicated at once, by a consideration of contradictory laws, and by a regard to domestic policy, as well as to soreign interests.

In pursuance of a power, thus uncommon and falutary, the American commerce was ere long turned into a more favourable channel than that wherein it had formerly flowed, when the United States constituted British Colonies. We shall see this truth, obscure only to those who shut their eyes, in the most striking light, by taking two distinct views of our transatlantic trade: 1stly, of the exports from Great Britain to the United States: 2dly, of the imports from the United States to Britain; as both have been regulated by the late proclamations.

1. By the repeal of the prohibitory acts the traders were left free to renew their former connections, or to engage in new adventures; though no other power than the interest of merchants existed indeed, to stimulate the cautious, or to restrain the

hasty. The proclamation of the 14th of May 1783 endeavoured, with the best intentions though not with the best success, to quicken mutual desire, by communicating additional motives: And by it, the same bounties, drawbacks, and exemptions were allowed on merchandizes, which should be exported from Great-Britain to the United States, as are allowed to any British Colony. The purpose of this regulation was good, but the means were perhaps mislaken.

The high duties on importation are the genuine parents of drawbacks. It was foon perceived, at least by the traders who paid the cultom, that a foreign commodity, when re-exported to a fecond market, must be disposed of dearer in proportion to the advance of every charge, or not fold at all. And hence, a regard to the profit of freights, as much as to the augmentation of failors, dictated the policy of allowing the merchant to draw back almost the whole of the duty, which he had advanced on the import. Under the prevalence of this falutary system the colonists purchased every European manufacture and every East India luxury even cheaper than those commodities could be bought in Britain. But to confer this gratification on our planters required a defalcation from the public revenue of about f.100,000, a year, at the peace of 1763. And, during the pressures of that moment, it was thought prudent \* " to allow no part of the

the old subsidy to be drawn back on the manufactures of Europe or Asia, which should in future be fent to the Colonies, except wines, white calicoes, and muslins." For it was known, or at least suppoled, that foreign traders could enter into no competition with British merchants in the markets of the Colonies: And the stopping of the drawbacks, it was hoped, would impole a tax on the planters to the amount of the faving, which should be thereby made in the Revenue. But the drawback was continued on the exports to foreign markets; because, in their fairs British traders might expect competitors. If this account should be allowed to be accurate, the regulation of the 14th of May, in respect to drawbacks, cannot easily be maintained to be right. And prudence, as well as justice, requires, that there should be allowed the same drawbacks, bounties, and exemptions on the exports to the United States, as are paid on the commerce to other foreign countries, fince fuch regulations would certainly be most beneficial to them. If we determine, from an attention to practical effects, more than from the result of theoretick reasonings, we may infer, that the disadvantage of retaining five in the hundred on the value of every cargo has been hitherto unfelt, or perhaps it was little known.

2. From those discussions, as to the export of our manufactures, we proceed secondly, to recount the various motives, which have been in the same manner given to the American planters, in order

order to induce them to fend their furplus products to Britain. By the proclamation of the 14th of May the unmanufactured productions of the United States were allowed to be imported, in British, or American vessels, by any British subject. or American citizen; paying only the same duties. which Colonists pay, even without the accustomed certificates: And the same proclamation conferred the full benefit of this order on fuch American ships, as had arrived, fince the 20th of January 1783. By being thus placed on the favourable footing of British colonists, the American citizens are exempted not only from the payment of those alien duties, which all other aliens must pay, but even from those alien duties, that were payable on the merchandize of aliens, when imported in British shipping. The admission of American oil, by the fame proclamation, however advantageous to the New-England fisheries and detrimental to ours, does not merit much censure, or remark; because a regard to the best nursery of British seamen has, by a recent order of Council, rectified the probable overlight.

Liberality of conduct is always right: But, in mercantile regulations it is the more laudable, because we see, in them, the dictates of interest so often preferred to the suggestions of policy. It is to be regretted, that the American citizens received unkindly the exemption from taxes, which all other aliens must pay. Such a reception of such a boon must excite the jealousy of neighbouring nations,

whose enmity or hate are of greater importance to us. There are wife men indeed, who think, that the abolition of the alien \* duties would promote our commercial interests: There are intelligent perfons, who fuggest, that the imposing of the alien duties on alien ships rather than on alien merchandize would augment our naval strength. The sentiments of both may be confidered as just, in proportion as we are directed in our defires to the accumulation of riches, or to the acquisition of power. We boast in vain of our religion and liberty, of our laws and our wealth, if our ships are driven from our element as islanders and we are obliged to make concessions to our foes, who have lately fought us on the ocean with inferior fleets. When the legislators of a naval nation are about to give encouragement, or protection, to the industry of their people, they ought to fix their eyes fleadily on the feamark, which directs the national efforts to the invigoration of strength, rather than to the encrease of opulence. And in these considerations of nautical force and public fafety we discover the fundamental principle of the acts of navigation; which were established in opposition to domestic and foreign clamours; which have nevertheless produced so great an augmentation of our native shipping and sailors; and which ought therefore to be facrified to no projects of private gain, or defires of particular gratification.

In-

<sup>\*</sup> The alien duties have been lately abolished.

In opposition to those arguments, it is not easy to approve altogether of the measure of allowing the unmanufactured products of the American States to be imported in American vessels, without paying the alien duty, while other foreign nations continued to pay it. Who can in the least approve of the policy of the statute\*, which imposed the alien duty on alien goods when imported in British ships? Both measures were adverse to the principle of the acts of navigation, because, however advantageous to the American citizens, both had a tendency to diminish the number of British shipping and failors. On the other hand the spirit of those laws requires, that the regulation ought to be reversed, by imposing the alien duty on British property when imported in alien ships. urged by fimilar confiderations of felf-defence, Sir Josiah Child proposed upwards of a century ago, what must appear very extravagant in the present times,-" That a law be made to impose a custom of at least fifty per cent. on all Eastland commodities, timber, boards, pipe staves, anp falt, imported into England upon any ships but English built, or at least such as are failed with an English master and three-fourths English mariners."

Those arguments and that authority were either forgotten, or neglected, when the Privy Council issued the proclamation of the 6th of June 1783; or perhaps that body was carried away by its anxieties to conciliate American regard, and to re-

gain a long lost trade, which for years had been loudly represented as the only commerce worthy of our care. It is not fo easy to discover the necessity or the use of that part of the proclamation. which expressly permits the importation of naval stores. By the regulation of the 14th of May they feem to have been allowed a free importation, under the general terms unmanufactured products: For, pitch, tar, and turpentine, masts, yards, and bowsprits, and even indigo, which is equally enumerated, cannot be brought to the place of exportation in any lower state of manufacture, than that wherein they have always been fold. Enumerations, which follow general words, always create doubts where none existed before; while comprehenfive descriptions, that follow particular enumerations, only enlarge the rule, without creating a difficulty. The custom-house officers have already had occasion to ask, if pot-ash be an unmanufactured product? It is to be regretted, that our great lexicographer did not find the word unmanufactured in any of the English classics, fince its various use might have established its different meaning. The statute of the 8th George I. has applied to timber the epithets wrought or unwrought, whilst the same law, with a prudence which belongs to the legislature, enumerated the various goods, that, in mercantile language, are denominated lumber. In vain we feek for words fufficiently accurate to convey the idea of allowing the importation of American products, as they come from the foil, almost in their

their natural state, yet excluding such American merchandize, as have undergone the discipline of manufacture. And it would therefore be prudent, when the Parliament is about to establish a system for the American trade, to enumerate all those American productions, which good policy may allow to be imported in future; and which may be found in the Inspector General's books and would form no long catalogue. That measure may be regarded as salutary, which has a tendency to prevent disputes on the one side and difficulties on the other.

It may be moreover remarked, as to the proclamation of the 6th of June, that this nation has paid on the various articles enumerated in it, when imported from the colonies, upwards of a million and a half, fince the bounty was first given, during the reign of Anne: On naval stores from 1706 to 1729 — £.430,178; from 1729 to 1774 — 1,028,584; and on indigo — 145,022;

£. 1,583,784.

It is one of the many benefits, which the wildom of our councils may derive from the independence of the United States, that the bounties, which had thus drawn so vast a sum from the public revenue, have now happily ceased. An attention to our manufactures

<sup>\*</sup> Those bounties expired on the 24th of June 1781.— But, had the United States continued British Colonies a defire

manufactures of cloth has exempted indeed all indigo from the payment of taxes on the importation: But, there are various taxes, which may be distinctly seen in the book of rates, collected on pitch, tar, and turpentine, masts, yards, and bowfprits, when introduced from any European nation, together with the alien duties, when these cumbrous commodities are fetched in foreign ships t. It is apparent then, that all those taxes became payable, by operation of law, on the import of American naval stores, from the moment wherein the United States were admitted to be independent: It is equally plain, that it was the proclamations before mentioned, which suspended the operation of those laws and the collection of those duties. And Great Britain thereby conferred a benefit on the United States, by withholding fomething from her revenue, and by risquing the resentment of her powerful neighbours. Great-Britain at the same time conferred another favour on the United States of no small importance to them. While the bounties were withdrawn, by the admission of independence, the proclamation exempted American wood from those duties, which

F 2 arc

fire to gratify them would have continued the bounties; as indeed the exemption from duties may be regarded as a bounty of an inferior kind, which operates against the importers of European commodities of the same nature.

† See the volume compiled by Messes. Sims and Frewin, under the directions of the Commissioners of the Customs, and published in 1782.

are payable on the import of wood from the rivers of Germany and the shores of the Baltic. It was probably known, that the importers of European lumber, for the use of the builder, had underfold the American, even when the bounties were paid. And it was perhaps deemed prudent to preserve, by exempting the American lumber from duties, a slight competition in the domestic market, between Europe and America, in the sale of their wooden products.

But, as indigo has been exempted from taxes, by a regard to our dyers, the argument appears much stronger for freeing from customs every species of naval stores, whether of the product of Europe, or America, from a respect, which is much more due to our shipwrights and coopers: The dyers and workers in wool may augment our opulence; but, the carpenters and coopers contribute to our safety. And thus we perceive, that the British Government has looked with no inimical eye towards America, since the peace established irrevocably the independence of the United States, as every wise man ought to wish.

If that truth required any confirmation we should find proofs enow in the orders of Council, whereby the introduction of American tobacco was regulated. By the proclamation of the 6th of June the most ancient branch of our colony commerce was re-established on the same ground, whereon it had flourished for ages before the revolt: And by it the importation of tobacco was allowed,

allowed, either in British or American ships, on paying 5 per cent. of the value, and on giving bond for the payment of all other duties at a future day. But, the war had produced a variety of new regulations, with a fuccession of additional taxes. And when the approach of peace opened a prospect of the renewment of traffick, it foon became apparent, that to carry on the commerce of tobacco would require a greater capital than any traders choose to deposit at the Custom-house, in hopes of consequential gains. It was owing to thefe reasons probably, that the Privy Council issued a second proclamation on the 5th of November last; allowing American tobacco to be imported into London, Bristol, and Liverpool, into Cowes, Whitehaven, and Greenock, on giving bond, as well for the Old Subfidy of 5 per cent. before mentioned, as for all other duties; and fecuring the commodity under the King's locks, till the importer should want it for domestic confumption or foreign supply.

These proclamations seem thus to have established the same regulation, which the tobacco Colonies had ardently prayed for in 1782; and which Walpole certainly intended to grant, by his samous excise scheme, till he was stunned by clamour and overpowered by violence. The planters had often seen and sometimes felt, that in proportion as the British merchants advanced their money for taxes, the charges on the merchandize were raised, till by enhancing the expences, the ultimate sale of the tobacco did not repay the original labour

labour. And the Assemblies solicited the Parliament for the privilege of placing the commodity under the King's locks, on giving bond for the payment of the duties at a subsequent day: But, their solicitations were disappointed by the interested opposition of the tobacco factors, more than by the unwillingness of the Legislature\*. In this manner has there been lately established a beneficial regulation of tobacco, which had been denied by our factions to colonists, yet has been now granted by our good sense to foreigners, who had

no

\* The Assembly of Virginia sent Sir John Randolph to England, in 1732, to folicit a similar regulation, which was however then exploded as an excise, fince the officer was empowered to inspect the tobacco in the warehouse. In the fubsequent year the same Assembly transmitted an Address to the King; thanking his Majesty for the countenance he had given the Colony on that occasion; - " And though unfortunate for them (faid they) that their interests and the interests of those, whose opposition prevailed, were irreconcileable, they had abundant reason to admire his Majesty's justice and constancy, when no clamour how universal soever, could change his good purposes, or divert his detestation of fraud, however difguifed and supported by names and founds."-The Ministers of that day thought it prudent to suppress the latter part of the Address, which reflected on Sir John Barnard and his coadjutors, who had disappointed, by their opposition, the prayer of the Assembly. Yet, the Virginia Assembly were lately the first to declare commercial hostility against Great Britain, on account of the West-India regulations; though the Virginians could not know indeed, in December, of the order of the 5th of November preceding, which did not arrive in America, till the 6th of February 1784.

was thus given to the importation of American tobacco we have strengthened the laws, for preventing the growth of tobacco in Britain, which it had been found so difficult to enforce during the last century; as our farmers thought it their interest to rear tobacco at home. And by this means the American planters possess a double monopoly of this great article of traffic; the British landholder cannot raise it on British ground; the British consumer cannot import it from Portugal or Spain, since the duties payable on Spanish or Portugueze tobacco amount nearly to a prohibition.

In superaddition to all those measures, which have thus removed every obstruction from the flow of our American trade, may be mentioned the proclamation of the 5th of September last, which administered no small facility to practical business. This order directed, that all those bonds, which our commercial laws had required for the prevention of fraud, might be cancelled by producing certificates of the performance from any Naval Officer, or Magistrate, of the United States. And by those various proclamations has the trade between Great Britain and the United States been opened and encouraged on the part of this nation. In the wide circle of commerce, complicated as it is by the taxes and restrictions which avarice and jealousy have imposed, there is not to be found a traffick, so liberal in its policy and so unrestrained

in its vent, as the trade, which now runs free and full in its course between this kingdom and the The practical result has amply United States. justified the previous policy, which had for its means, protection and freedom. An unexampled export of our manufactures has been made during the last season to the United States; a correspondent confignment hath followed from them to Britain, of almost all the surplus products of the Southern States, which had not been fold by the growers: And hence the American factors, who had fettled in Holland and France, during the war, have lately been obliged to follow the commerce, which had given them employment and wealth. Recent experience hath shewn them, what they might have inferred from their former knowledge, that London must necessarily be the American mart. It is an additional proof of the validity of the foregoing reasonings, that none of those, who have been studious to detect faults and forward to expose them, have objected any thing to the beforementioned regulations, except one writer indeed, who infiles, That the authors of them have difplayed unufual timidity and caution.

But, no one has found timidity and caution, which the wife will not think very blameable qualities, either in the credits lately given to the American citizens, or in the regulations of the commerce between the British West-Indies and the United States. This is the measure that alone appears to have raised any clamour. The West-India trade was regulated by the Proclamation, dated the 2d of July 1783; which is faid to be "founded on groß mifinformation;" which is censured by the American letter writer, " As a system derogatory to the honour, degrading to the spirit, and injurious to the interests of a great people." Facts: however ought always to be fairly flated before faults should ever be imputed. Let us in this fpirit examine the Proclamation, which has thus given rife to controverly, and which has at once incited the complaints of the West-India planter and the resentment of the American citizen. It directs, 1ftly, That pitch, tar, turpentine, hemp and flax, yards, bowsprits, staves, heading, boards, timber, shingles, and every other species of timber, and also horses, neat cattle, sheep, hogs, poultry; and all other kinds of live flock, and live provifions, peafe, beans, potatoes, wheat, flour, bread, biscuit, rice, oats, barley and all other grain, bel ing the product of the United States, may be imported into any of the West-India Islands, by British subjects, in British ships: It allows adly, That rum, fugar, molaffes, coffee, cocoa-nuts, ginger, and pimento, may, in the same manner and by the same persons, be sent from the British West-Indies to the United States, upon payment of the same duties and liable to the same regulations, as if the same articles were cleared at the Custom-house for any British Colony. Of a subject so interesting, because it involves in its discussion the safety of the empire, let us take two distinct views: 1stly, As the Proclamation affects the American States; 2dly, As it concerns the British West-Indies.

1. The connection between the various Colonies of the American Empire of Britain arose assuredly from their being territories of the same state. The moment the Independence of the United States was recognized, they became foreign countries with regard to the remaining Plantations of Britain: And the citizens of the one and the planters of the other, who had once been fellow-fubjects of the same community, became aliens with respect to each other. The acts of Parliament, which prohibited all future commerce between regions that had once been bound in the same compact, only enforced the original fystem, which the law of nations had always enjoined \*. It requires only a short recapitulation to trace up the rife of that fystem to its true source. The policy of Spain, fays the great Bacon, was to keep the trade of the Indies under fuch lock and key, as both confederates, yea, and subjects, were excluded of commerce unto those countries; such a vigilant dragon is there that keepeth the golden-fleece. Portugal,

<sup>\*</sup> The West-India Committee have surmised, rather than insisted, that by the Freeport Act of the present reign the people of Jamaica may import all the merchandizes of the United States, which are foreign Colonies: But, the United States have been admitted by the world to be fovereign and consequently cannot be fubordinate, which is an essential quality of all Colonies.

who purfued discovery with equal sleps, catched the jealous spirit of Spain. France and England, who followed both at the distance of a century, adopted the flattering, because exclusive example of And thus, it became a fundamental law of Europe, says Montesquieu, that all traffic with a foreign Colony shall be regarded as a mere monopoly: Hence, continues that celebrated jurist, it is likewife acknowledged, that a commerce between the Mother Countries does not include a permission to trade with their Colonies. When Great Britain recognized the fovereignty of the United States and declared in the face of the world, that we are at peace with each other, this declaration gave the United States no right to trade to her Plantations, or even to fish on her coasts.

On the law of nations, thus established and known, the French securely relied, when they entered into treaty with the United States, in February 1778. But, the Dutch, luspicious from fense of weakness, or guided by characteristic caution, inferted an express stipulation, in their Treaty of October 1782, "That the United States shall leave the States General the peaceable enjoyment of their rights, in the countries, islands, and seas, in the East and West-Indies, without molestation." Great Britain preferred the confident policy of France to the scrupulous attention of Holland; as it did not probably occur to the wifelt of our statesmen, that when the United States should be admitted into the community of nations, they would,

would by their conduct, much more than by their words, refuse obedience to the law of all civilized nations. Yet, confidence in one's own title has seldom been construed into an admission of its invalidity. And we may therefore conclude, that Great Britain enjoyed from the date of the peace as much right as Denmark or France, Portugal or Spain, to regulate her own Plantations; since there is no positive provision in the treaty to repel the general inferences of law.

Nevertheless the proclamation of the 2d of July 1783"; which permitted British subjects to transport in British ships the West-India Commodities to the United States and to carry their most useful products in return; which thus conferred many benefits on the United States, though not every benefit; has been declared by some of those States " to be inconfistent with the rights of free trade." The American governments then, not the American mobs, claim the right of free trade with the transatlantic settlements of Spain, Portugal and France, as much as with the plantations of Britain. But, let us inquire, whence do they derive this new pretention? From the law of Nature? No. Every independent Community has a right indeed, in virtue of it's natural liberty, to trade with those who shall be willing to correspond with fuch intentions; and to molest it in the the exercise of this right is an injury. But, though every one has a right to traffick with those who are willing; yet, says Vattel, every Sovereign State may decline a commerce which which is dangerous, or even disadvantageous; and has confequently full power to determine for itself what is useful, or unfalutary: It may receive therefore, or refule, any commercial overtures from foreigners, without giving them a pretence to accuse it of injustice, or to demand a reason for such refusal, much less to make use of compulsion or threats. Do the American governments claim the right of free trade from the law of nations? They can not. Colonies are the offspring of Society, during that period of refinement, which the prevalence of the commercial spirit supposes. And by the consent of the civilized communities of the European world, it was early established, (as we have already feen) that the fovereignty as well as the traffick of every plantation should exclusively belong to the State which had formed it. The law of nations therefore, which is only the original confent and continual practice of nations, has prohibited the intercourse of one foreign country with the colonies of all other foreign countries. And a free trade with an American Colony of consequence never existed. Thus, while the American governments claim the rights of a free trade with the British plantations they virtually avow their purpose to difregard the law of Nature as well as of nations, which by treaty, or by implication, has regulated univerfal trade and with it the conventions of all public bodies.

Whatever extravagance the American populace may commit, contrary to their genuine interests,

the American politicians would do well to inquire, before they violate public decorum, how they have been wronged, by the commercial policy, which Britain has continued rather than adopted, in respect to her own plantations. The most ignorant of the American Lawyers will instruct their countrymen, that they may feel an inconvenience without fuffering an injury; while the world shall consider every wrong as an unjust deprivation of some previous right. But, what American right was infringed when Great Britain denied to the American citizens a direct trade, in their own ships, to the British colonies? While Great Britain allowed her laws to operate on the American States. as foreign countries, she only did that which other European powers continued to do. Portugal and Spain have excluded from their colonies the American traders with a jealoufy peculiar to both. France indeed has granted to her American allies a petty participation in her West India commerce, which, as far as fuited her convenience, they always enjoyed. From Britain the United States were certainly entitled to justice, but not surely to favour: Yet, it was no inconsiderable benefit, which Britain conferred on them, and which no European nation enjoys, when she suspended the rigorous operation of those laws, that prevented even British merchants from sending the products of the United States directly to the British West Indies, or the produce of the West Indies immediately to the United States. When the Spaniards lately imprisoned prisoned the American traders at the Havannah, because they dreaded their intrigues; when the French, in order to effectuate their policy, at the same time confined the American navigators, failing around Hispaniola in quest of markets, to Cape François; the American citizens probably fighed in secret, but they did not avow resentment, or threaten retaliation. Thus, an inconvenience may be felt, though no wrong may be done. When good fense shall have triumphed over yulgar prejudice we may reasonably hope, the American Philosophers will teach their followers, that having manfully gained the bleffings of a free and equal station, among the powers of the earth, they ought to fuffer patiently the embarrassments, which every where result from greatness. A little oppofition, far less adversity, will instruct the American governments, that they ought to pay fome deference to the municipal rules of other Sovereign Powers; because they flatter their own vanity of independence, when they conform to the regulations, which other independent States may think fit to establish.

But, whatever measure the American Congress and Assemblies may choose to adopt, the interest of the American planters will furnish Great Britain with the best security for their good behaviour. To send traders to purchase the lumber, that they clear from their lands, and to carry away the corn and cattle, which they raise on their fields, can surely be thought no great injury, far less in-

fult, to any community: Nor, can it be deemed any great disadvantage to the American planters to fend them abundant supplies of rum, sugar, molasses, and other necessary products of the West-India iflands. The American people, "who have feldom wandered widely from their interest," are fully aware, that it is demand and fupply, which regulate the prices in every market! They already perceive, that their chief advantage confilts, in having in their ports many fellers of the goods which they may want to buy, and many buyers of what they may wish to fell; that to exclude the traders of any one country, or description, would amount to a permission to the favoured traders to raife their prices against every buyer and to fink them against every seller: And the planters have not to learn, that favourites feldom confer favours, fince they have generally few friends. The planters can therefore derive no benefit from the exclusion of any one class of traders, were the proposal even to come from the merchants of Boston, New-York, or Philadelphia, of Baltimore, or Charles-Town, which would form a monopoly in favour of the few against the many, by facrificing the agricultural interest to the mercantile. Though the American merchants might gain, by exclusive projects, the American planters are only interested, in having a a competition, in their markets, between buyers and fellers of various countries and therefore of various; views, eller ved deller lefter fine more

did.

From the foregoing reasonings we may surely infer, that the Assemblies of Virginia and Maryland acted contrary to the genuine interests of their constituents, when the one resolved. That no British ship should import the produce of the West-Indies, and the other imposed a tax of three shillings sterling the ton of every British vessel: When the other Assemblies concurred generally with both, in the resolution of arming the Congress with power over commerce, for the purpose of retaliation; or redrefs, they equally facrificed the real advantage of their country to their own resentments. But, revenge is merely a momentary passion, while avarice is the most obstinate affection of the mind. Cool calculation will ere long discover, that were the West India traffic wholly cut off, the planters would certainly lofe a market for their provisions and lumber of the annual value of half a million; the commonwealth would moreover be deprived of a yearly balance of f.350,000; which is payable in bullion on that branch of bufiness, while specie does not abound among them. By that determination the Assemblies would at once raise Canada and Nova-Scotia from the ground and execute that measure, which wife men with for, as the system that great Britain ought spontaneously to adopt. I so mad get all shall period of 1007

2. From investigations with respect to the manner wherein the American citizens are interested, either individually, or collectively, by the late regulations of the American trade, we are led secondly to discuss

the various ways, in which the West-Indies may be affected by the proclamation of the 2d of July 1783. Let us first state the fact and then draw the inferences. Like the American citizens the West India planters complain, with equal propriety, not fo much, that the proclamation did not give them many advantages, as that it did not give them every advantage. The laws forbade them to carry on any commerce with the United States. yet, the West-Indians clamour, because they were only allowed to traffick in British thips: They are permitted to fend their rum, fugar, molasses, and other products to the American markets and to bring the most material of the American produce in return; yet, are they diffatisfied, because they are not moreover allowed to employ American ships; without considering how much the permission would enervate the nautical strength of Britain, whereon they rely for defence. It is not uncommon to fee men carried away by their prefent passion to their ultimate ruin. When the West-Indians beheld, with too favourable eyes the revolt of the Colonists, they might have foreseen, that forcible opposition would probably end in abfolute independence:—When the West-Indians, by their clamours, confirmed the purpole of the revolted colonies, little did they perceive, that succels would convert fellow-fubjects into enemies, dursing war, and into aliens, in peace.

Met, the Committee of West-India Planters and merchants now represent: "That the permission

of American ships, as heretofore, freely to bring the produce of the American States to the Sugar Islands and to take back the produce of our islands in return is obviously effential." With deference to fuch respectable authority it may yet be afferted, that the West-India planters and merchants did not always argue thus. Many years have not passed away fince their predecessors cast the Continental Colonists the gauntlet of defiance. When the West-India planters applied to Parliament, in 1731, for protection against the smugglers of the Northern colonies they as confidently flated\*, "That there are perfons still living, who very well remember, fince there were very great quantities of provisions and other requisites for planting fent from Old England to our fugar colonies, because at that time our continent colonies were not fully able to supply them." Nevertheless are we now told by the same authority, that the plantations of the South and North were settled with design to satisfy each others wants. Thus, we behold the West-Indians of 1731 fland opposed to the West-Indians of 1783, with the contradictoriness of men, who facrifice oftener at the shrine of interest than in the temple of confidency.

From history we may learn indeed, that Old England hath successively furnished all her transatlantic settlements with every necessary for planting. When the Parliament prohibited 1, in 1663, the

<sup>\*</sup> Anders. Chron. Com. 2 v. p. 336.

<sup>+</sup> By 15 Cha. II. chap. 7.

direct importation into the British colonies of foreign commodities, being the growth of Europe, the law expressly provided, that horses and victual of the product of Scotland and Ireland might be transported thence to the plantations. The term vittual carries with it fo large a meaning in our language, as well as in our laws, that it includes " all stores for the support of life." And from that early epoch of our colonization horses and victual have been constantly fent from Ireland and Scotland to the British West-Indies .-From a querulous pamphlet, which, when published, in 1689, was entitled The Groans of the Plantations, we may learn not only the cause \* of their groans, but also the course of their supplies, at the æra of the Revolution. " We have our horses from England, said the West-Indians; the bread we eat is of English flour; we take great quantities of English beer and of English cheese and butter: we fit by the light of English candles: Moreover, we take thousands of barrels of Irish beef." More moderate in their desires, because they were less opulent than the West-Indians of 1784, the West-Indians of 1689 "were well contented to be confined to England only, for those things that England doth produce."

The

<sup>\*</sup> They had then two causes of complaint; the late tax upon sugar, which was the first, and the acts of navigation, which confined their exports to England: And the West-Indians have prospered and groaned ever since.

The Continental Colonists foon supplied themfelves and gradually circumvented the English merchants, in the West-India markets. Sir Josiah Child faw the rivalry begin, during the reign of Charles II. and warned the nation of the confequences. Dr. D'Avenant beheld its progress, amid the wars of William III. and vainly urged the interpolition of the Legislature. While the British landholders were at a vast expence defending the colonies, during every contest fince the Revolution, the colonial landholders entered into a too fuccessful competition withtheir protectors, in every port, during peace; nay, even supplied with provisions the enemies of both, in war. The colonists were regarded as fellow-subjects, who merited not only defence, but indulgence. The landholders of Britain allowed a double monopoly against themselves: They permitted the West-Indians to furnish them with all things from the continental colonies; they indulged the West-Indians with the fole supply of the British markets, for rum, fugar, and their other products. But, though our kindness, rather than our interests, allowed to the continental colonists a competition in every market, our policy ought not to permit aliens to act as rivals in the commerce of the British West-Indies, which the British nation is still bound to defend. The French do not act in this manner: And yet, the West-India regulations of France are by all the world commended.

We shall nevertheless be pertinaciously told: "That the admission of American ships into the West-India ports is obviously effential: That Great-Britain must at last submit." These are at least confident words. The term obviously fappoles, that the general affertion admits of no controversy: And the word effential fignifies that the nature of the grievance allows no alleviation. The continental colonists, when on the eve of a revolt, in the same manner thought, that their traffic was obviously essential to the West-Indians; and therefore prohibited all commerce between them. Yet, eight years experience hath evinced, contrary to malignant speculation, that it is possible for the West-Indies to exist and to prosper, were the United States doomed to perpetual sterility. It is the mercantile spirit which brings the buyers and fellers of distant countries together. Merchants are sufficiently studious to discover the demands of every market; that they may profit, by supplying them. The traders of Great-Britain and Ireland feized the opportunity, which the factious folly of the Americans had furnished, to revive and extend the business, that had enriched their fathers, during happier times. Even during a consuming war, when valt fleets and armies were fed beyond the ocean, Great-Britain and Ireland fufficiently fupplied all those necessaries, which the West-Indians did not readily find in their own acconomy. Their superabundance even furnished the army that General Grant conducted to their aid with feveral

several months provisions. And the fleet too sometimes partook in what the planters had to spare. The following custom-house entries will shew sufficiently, whence that abundance was drawn, at the beginning, the middle, and at the end of the war.

wantibut out man subsequent a many many that

earn aft madernile, as here principles

The state of the s

The state of the s

Francisco de la compania de la comp La compania de la co

the little and the same was the fact of the same of

The following of the late of the second second second

us em em es maria maria dan emperativo

en en de la companya En companya de la companya de

values bed "stool they aspect ...

while the believe assert while the believe

	Been:
Salmon. Barrels.  - 5 1cg 35.	CHEESE, and
rted from Ei Pilchards. Hogsheads.	From England only there were exported of Butter, Cheese, and Been.  Irish Butter. English Ditto. English Cheese Beer.  Hund.weight. Firkins. Hund. weight. Tons.  3
were exportable.  Total. Barrels. 2390 9997 17900 of 17900	English Cheefe Hund. weight.
Fish there hite Dirto.  Barrels.  1876  7281  15060  1-150600  1-1506000	y there were English Ditto. Firkins.
Of Pickled Herrings. W Barrels. 514 -1816 -2840 thefe, the Wei the revolted co	Irish Butter. E Hund. weight.  1.95
Rec n 1773 n 1783 Contraft with ickled fifth from	From E In 1773 In 1789 In 1789 In 1783
	KLED FISH there were exported from Engre St. White Dirto. Total. Pilchards. Barrels. Hogheads.  1876—2390—211—7281—9997—1188—15060—17900—313—15060—17900—17900—1188—1188—15060—17900—17900—1188—1188—118900—17900—17900—1188—1188—118900—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—179000—1790000—1790000—1790000—1790000000000

With the last-mentioned products of our fields we find little from the revolted Colonies to contraft, because in these they had little competition. From those entries, with all their defects, it is sufficiently clear, that Great Britain had regained the supply of those articles, and that, as to those necesfaries the West India demand was amply answered. The planters derived ground provisions from that best of all resources, their own diligence and attention. And their measure of all things was probably filled up from the prizes, which hostility conducted to their ports. But it was from the embarrassments. which their affected friends intended to throw in their way, that the West Indians learned a lesson of the greatest importance for every people to know, that no community ought to depend on its neighbours, for what the necessities of life require. The country, which is physically dependent on another, must soon become politically dependent on it.

If indeed the admission of American vessels into the West India ports were essential to the furnishing of the unhappy Negroes with food, benevolence would drop a tear over the wants of human nature, and justice would grant what policy might wish to deny. The question however does not turn on the pivot of supplying the West India Lords with their usual luxuries, or the West India saves with their accustomed needs. The proclamation has provided, that both shall be supplied in the most reasonable manner, having at once

an attention to private convenience and to public fafety: But, the West Indians contend, that they ought to be accommodated, in the most profitable manner, having a regard to their own interest alone. And we have feen, that three abundant markets, namely, Great-Britain, and Ireland, in Europe, and Newfoundland, Canada, and Nova Scotia, in America, had been already opened, when the proclamation added the United States as a fourth. True indeed, neither the proclamation nor the law commanded traders to supply the West India wants. It was thought sufficient, that the laws and the proclamation removed every hindrance, All systems presume, that it is the bufiness of merchants to discover the necessities of mankind and to ranfack the earth for the means of gratification. The traders have actually found means, fince the peace, as they had already done, during the war, to accommodate the West Indies abundantly. Almost every letter by every packet communicates the welcome news of plentiful markets. And it is a decifive confirmation of the truth of private intelligence and of public report, that those who have clamoured the most have brought no incontrovertible evidence of an existing necessity. Thus, the measure of admitting the American vessels into the West-India ports results at last into a mere point of politics, or confideration of mercantile profit.

The pretention of the West Indians, which can consequently be no more considered as a claim of necessity, or of justice, has been run out by the in-

genuity

genuity of men into various modifications of demand and supply. It has been suggested by some, that were the United States to prohibit all traffick with the British West Indies, the best market for the products of both would be in future cut off. By others it has been confidently faid, that were that event to take place, the Continental Colonies, which still belong to Britain, would soon be able to supply the void. And a third class of men, with a bolder spirit infift, that the only inquiry should be, whether the British West-Indies can find suitable markets on the face of the earth, without regarding the United States, or even our remaining plantations, any more than if they did not exist. In order to discover all the distinct shades of truth, which may be contained in each of those propolitions, it is intended to confider the difficult subject, under three distinct heads, in their order: ıstly, Whence can the British West Indies be supplied with provisions and other necessaries, if the United States should deny their aid; 2dly, Whence can the West Indies be furnished with lumber, or timber, wrought and unwrought, for the various uses of the cooper and builder; and 3dly, Whence will the West Indians find consumption for their rum and other luxurious productions, if the United States should reject them.

1. An inquiry into the flate of population in the British West India Islands may be regarded as rather curious than useful, when the amount of their consumption can be nearly ascertained by

facts. The Board of Trade reported to the House of Lords, in 1734, that the number of white men was then 26,201. If we suppose that they have doubled in half a century, by whatever means, the present white inhabitants may be calculated at about 72,000 fouls. Mr. Glover, who very ably pled the cause of the West Indians before the House of Commons, in 1775, roundly flated the number of Negroes at rather more than 400,000. If we admit the foregoing conjectures to be nearly the truth, it cannot be furely inferred, that feventy-two thousand masters, with four hundred thousand flaves, form a community of sufficient bulk, to whose gratifications the interest and even independence of the nation ought to be facrificed. exist in a state of society extremely analogous to the fituation of Europe, during feudal times: And like the Barons and their vasfals, during the infancy of agriculture, the West Indians consume great quantities of falted provisions. From the revolted Colonies they received of

BEEF and PORK.
In 1771—13,511 barrels.
72—12,575
73—18,890

An annual demand of 14,992 barrels was doubtless a considerable quantity: Yet, from Ireland alone the West-Indies may be furnished with greater supplies of salted beef and pork than they can ever consume; as we may learn from the following statement \*:

• The public are indebted for many of the Custom-house accounts, which will frequently occur in the following sheets, and which are interesting, because they are accurate, to Mr. Irving; a gentleman, who possesses more commercial detail than Doctor D'Avenant, with as much genius for the regulation of Custom-house practice.

From the United States there were exported, in the | \* From Ireland there were exported, according to year 1772.

Beef and pork - 20,332 barrels, the beef at 28s. per barrel, and pork at 40s.

Hams - 1107 barrels, 6d. per lb. Butter - 1179 cwt. £.2: 10s. per lb.

\* From Ireland there were exported, according to an average of feven years, ending with 1,777.

Beef - 195,605 barrels, at £.1: 12s. per barrel.

Pork 55,240 at z: 0

250,845

Bacon 19,125 flitches at 15s. per cwt.

Butter 267,212 cwt. at 2: 5s. 6d. per cwt.

The detail of Irish provisions was taken from Mr. A. Young's Tour in Ireland: The American account is from the inspector of import and exports books. Let us contrast the abovementioned prices, with the prices current of the same articles at Baltimore, the great mart of the middle States, in May 1784; converting the currency to sterling at  $66\frac{3}{3}$ ; namely. Beef, American.

Logical States in May 1784; converting the currency to sterling at  $66\frac{3}{3}$ ; namely. Beef, American.

Logical States in May 1784; converting the currency to sterling at  $66\frac{3}{3}$ ; namely. Beef, Irish

Pork, Irith—none
Hams
Flitches
Butter, American
Butter, Irith

5 g per lb. 9 per lb.

10 g per 16.

Yet, the unexampled feverity of the preceding Winter may have operated on all those prices.

Thus, by contrasting the whole quantities of those different kinds of salted provisions, which were exported from Ireland and the United States. about the fame time, we fee fuch a superiority in the first market over the second, as to leave the merchant little room for choice. The Irish supply is vally greater; the prices are nearly equal; yet it must be observed, that the Irish barrel is fomewhat fmaller, while the quality is infinitely preferable. Considering how much our West-India vessels have hitherto wanted freights, and how commodious the ports of Ireland are to the shipping from the Western coast of Britain, the salted provisions of Ireland may be thence transported cheaper to the West Indies, than from the nearer shores of the United States. And the Irish beef and pork and butter were always preferred in the West India markets, and even in the markets of the revolted Colonies. Britain too, we have feen, can furnish no inconsiderable quantity of all those articles. To preferve the supplying of salted provifions to Britain and Ireland, by excluding the competition of the United States was the ruling principle of the late regulations. And thus from the independence of the revolted Colonies have we gained all the benefits of the supply and the still more important advantage of the freights.

The wisdom of that regulation, and the advantages which Britain will gain from that signal event, will appear in a still clearer light, if we take a comprehensive view of our sisheries; which, as the best nursery of our seamen, are so worthy of our care.

The	following	detail	is a fl	cetch	of the	Newfound-
	land fi	thery,	durin	g the	year 17	71.

land nutery, during the year i	11.
British fishing vessels (of which 244	la de Carabied
on the Great Bank fishing)	269 ships
British trading vessels -	120
American ditto	125
. I William ruene voor wan blassi	or se <del>lle</del> his
Tol	tal 614 ships
-eight of the Bracket of the Africa sets	•
Tonnage of British trading and	our mont seen
fishing vessels —	32,949 tons
Ditto of the American	8,475
the definition of the second condi-	<del>en in </del> seed
tall of the Total	41,424 tons
To restruct this is the boltom and the	r <del>ateur</del> isi
Number of men in the British Fish-	al dimicroralit
ing veffels — dollar	4,477
Ditto in the British trading vessels	1,118
Ditto in the American trading vessels	865
Fishermen, Shoremen, and passen-	
gers from Britain and Ireland	5,529
Inhabitants who remained, during	
the winter — —	6,913
Men employed in this fishery	18,902

The number of boats, employed by fishing ships — Yearly from Britain and Ireland,	556
and having no share in vessels fishing on the Great Bank  The number of boats employed by	559
inhabitants —	1,173
Number of boa	its 2,288
The quintals of fifth made in fifthing	
fhips	236,000
Ditto in bye boats	147,999
Ditto by inhabitants —	261,240
Total quintals taken	645,322
The quintals of fish carried to fo-	
reign market ' -	580,204
The tons of oil exported —	2,846
The tierces of salmon exported	1,248

The Newfoundland fishery has at all times received, because it always merited, much of the national care. But, in extending encouragement to that most useful class of subjects, the preference ought surely to be given to those of the fishing and trading vessels; to the bye-boat-keepers; to the fishers, who go yearly from Britain and Ireland, in opposition to those who reside and carry on a sedentary sishing: For, if the use of a nursery is to supply the public with sailors, when the dangers of war require their aid, it is in vain to breed seamen, whose

HEFE

whose services cannot easily be commanded when they are wanted the most. Useful regulations ought to be preferred to pecuniary bounties. And no encouragement can preserve the fishery if a speedy sale is not found for the fish, when it is cured. From the Independence of the United States we have regained a considerable market, which the wisdom of our Councils may easily regulate and preserve, for our own fishers alone.

These interesting truths, at least to a nation of islanders, will still more plainly appear, from the following details:

Total quinais taken , 644, 368

inselve to be given at it so of the finite, and the face of the content of the co

attalidadai ve osvi

-cl of harms all lo distance of F

The Lerrors of falmon exported

The Newfoundland Where has it at time.

rained because it and respectful, the bost of the section in the section of the s

require shoir side it is same known

[ 67 ]					
s bd the the	States of the best	be adm i <b>je</b> v those	Quintals. 331,875 361,690 342,319	Banels. 41,274 30,305 37,760	But geaning during
vietr vietr vietr or r	dow United	To Weff-Indies, British and foreign.	Quintals. 197,993 256,284 271,684	Barrels. 41,011 29,795 37,603	that the fill are ported or both
from the		To South of Europe,	Quintals. 131,882 105,406 70,517	Barrels. 259	thew a mark, amply
	Exported	To Britain and Ireland.	Quintals. 2,000 0 118	Barrels. 4 24 2	
FISH, dried and pickled.	Nova Scotia, and	Total:	Quintals. 640,902 420,555 628,245	Barrels. 1,452 1,267 1,915	
Nova Se		To West-Indies, British	Ogintals. 18,426 35,447 33,728	Barrels. 458 548 647	
	Exported from Newfoundland, Canada.	To South of Europe, Azores, &c.	Quintals. 604,995 305,306 561,749	Barrels. 599 893 907	
	ed from Ne	To Britain and Lieland.	Quintals. 17,481 19,802 32,768	Barrels. 395 326 361	
i	Export		In 1771 72 73	In 1771 In 72 72 -73	K.

But this statement will not be admitted to be a genuine account of the relative importance of the British and American sishery, by those who know, that the New Englanders purchased much of their sish at Newsoundland, which they afterwards exported to foreign markets. And a comparative view of both those sisheries is subjoined, in order to shew more distinctly, which of them forms the great mart, whence the British West-Indies may be amply supplied.

ıls.	81	75		56
Quintals.	17,481	604.975		- 18,426
		ķc.	h and	1
	1771 -To Britain and Ireland	South of Europe, &c.	West-Indies, British and	ſ
	and I	of Eur	ndies,	E.
	Britain	outh	Neft-1	foreign
	-To	<b>.</b>		
	771			

Add the fifth bought by the New English at Newfoundland, which appears from the Cuftom-house entry to have been imported Coastways 67,012

Whole produce of the now British fishery — 707,914

rope, &c. British an	ritain and Ireland outh of Europe, &c. Veft-Indies, British an	In 1771—To Britain and Ireland 2,000 To South of Europe, &c. 131,882 To Well-Indies, British and foreign — 330,875 339,875	Quintals.	2,000	131,882	n and - 330,875	330,875
	ritain and outh of Eu	To South of Eu To West Indies foreign		Ireland	rope, &c.		

69

Whence we perceive, the whole produce of the American fifthery to have only been — 263,8

wards exported from their own ports 67,012

English at Newfoundland and after-

Thus, we see, that the revolted Colonies had engrossed almost the whole of the West-India markets. And thus we perceive from what source the British West-Indies may be most amply supplied with fish, when we consider, that their whole consumption amounted only, to about 161,000 quintals of dried and 16,178 barrels of pickled fish, if we may judge from an average of three years importation, ending with 1773; and when we reslect too, that the general price at Newsoundland was seven shillings the quintal, while it was usually nine at Boston.

That we enjoyed a confiderable fishery not only at Newfoundland, but also on the American coasts. before New England was planted, is a melancholy truth, which we know from the debates in Parliament, during the year 1621, if Sir Josiah Child had not recorded the fact, during the reign of Charles II. and lamented the impolicy of permit-The American charters, which were ing it. granted by Charles I. are all remarkable for a cautious clause, reserving to the residents of England and Ireland the right of fishing in the bays and harbours of the Colonies and drying the fish on their lands. The ardent people, who formed the fettlement of New England were foon driven by their necessities to begin the labours of the fisher. The hardy inhabitants of the Western Coasts of England, who used annually to resort to the American shores, found too powerful competitors in the planters, who had emigrated from Dorfet, Devon, and other Western parts of England; whose fuperior advantages in their new fituation, converted ere long an annual fishery from England into a stationary one, at the distance of three thousand miles. With a similar spirit the New Englanders engaged in the fisheries of Newfoundland, though not with the fame exclusive success. And in proportion as that enterprifing people became competitors with the fishers of Britain, during her civil and foreign wars, their rivalry narrowed the nurfery, which had been destined to furnish the British navy with a hardy race. For, the American feamen, like the British, could not be pressed into the public service, since they were exempted, not so much by act of Parliament \*, as by the spirit of the country:

Owing to those unhappy causes Newsoundland had long ceased to have much direct trade with the British West Indies. If we may determine from an average of the years 1771-2-3 there were directly sent to that market of the Fish of Newsoundland no more than 3922 quintals, 769 hogsheads, and 67 barrels. The New Englanders almost entirely supplied the sisheries of Newsoundland with molasses, sugars, and other products of the West Indies, with immense quantities of rum, from their own distilleries. In return they carried off the products of the sishery, into their own ports, a considerable quantity of that inferior and frugal quality, which was suitable to the islands for whose consumption

Of this gainful business the revolt deprived the Americans, with other greater benefits. And during the war a direct traffic, between Newfoundland and the British West Indies, was opened, which proved equally advantageous to both. A market was even found for the refuse fish in the free ports of the foreign islands. And thus, what the Americans lost, in pursuit of their object, British subjects have gained and may keep, unless we reject the favours, which fortune has thrown in our way.

Scotland is faid to have enjoyed a confiderable fishery in ancient times. It did not however descend to the epoch of the union; which was dreaded and opposed by the Dutch\*; because their commercial jealousy suspected, that the wealth of England would be employed, in urging the Scotch to refume and regain the fisheries, which their misfortunes, or evil habits had loft. What the Dutch thus foresaw and feared actually happened in time. The Parliament wifely gave a bounty, in 1750, of thirty shillings a ton, on such busses as should be employed in the white herring fishery, under specific qualifications. Before the year 1768, the Parliamentary bounty had created 263 buffes, carrying 12,556 tons, which were navigated by 2,898 men, who caught 28,162 barrels of herrings +. It was probably from a fishery, thus created by a wife measure,

<sup>\*</sup> As we know from a memorial of Doctor D'Avenant to Lord Godolphin, in the Paper-office.

<sup>+</sup> A detail from the Custom-house.

States what the wealth of Britain can do, when employed on her own improvement, that Scotland exported to the West Indies of Herrines;

In 1767 - 8,273 Barrels
In 1768 - 10,830
In 1769 - 8,435;

ments (bould, in their anger, prohibited grey-

befides tufk, ling and falmon, in much finaller quantities. The amount had perhaps been greater had the competition of the revolted Colonies been less. When that competition was removed, by the war, England alone sent supplies of pickled fish to the British West Indies nearly equal to the confumption, as we have already evinced. The British fish fetch a higher price than the American, because they are of higher relish and more capable of preservation. The extension of circumjacent fisheries and the improvement of internal wastes are the only objects, which greatly merit the bounties of the British nation; owing to the nature of its defence and frequency of attack. But, the best bounty, because the cheapest and most successful, is the opening and extending of markets, wherein there shall be no competitors. Whether the British fisheries, European and American, can supply the British West-Indies with 161,000 quintals of dried and 16,200 barrels of pickled fish; whether that cargo ought to be supplied by British Subjects, exclusive of American citizens; are queftions,

see the Annual Regiller, 1773.

Dill'

tions, which he would be idle, who should fit down to answer.

It will be found perhaps much more difficult to procure for the West Indies an adequate supply of live provisions and live stock, which the proclamation properly allowed to be sent them from the United States, if the American governments should, in their anger, prohibit the export in British ships. The necessary cargo is not a small one; as we may learn from the following detail:

## ich. When that c, sort avid reserved, by the

Exported from the now United States to the British

indi on T	Horses,	Cattle,	Sheep and	Poultry,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No.	No.	Hogs, No.	dozen.
In 1771, —	2170 -	- 1148	- 4812	1007
	2220 -	- 1296	<b>—</b> 3693	939
73,	2768 -	1203	5320	<del>-</del> 799

Yet, the numbers of each kind are not beyond the pollibility of accommodation from the British dominions. Horses, we know, have been sent to the West Indies from Britain and Ireland, during every age, in proportion probably, as they were not excluded by the competition of the revolted Colonies. Since the epoch of Independence low priced horses have been actually exported from Scotland. In the two and twenty years, ending, with 1771, there were exported from England to every country, no fewer than 29,131 horses. There seems then no reason to doubt, but the bogs of Ireland,

the mountains of Scotland, and the heaths of Cornwall, will produce garrons enow to answer the full demand of four and twenty hundred, without profituting the hunters of Yorkshire to the drudgery of the mill. From the peace of 1763 Canada supplied the Southern settlements with many ferviceable horses, by the route of Lake Champlain, which were fometimes exported to the West Indies; but Canada fent none by the River St. Laurence: The channel of the Champlain being now obstructed the surplus number will affuredly form a part of the mixed cargoes, which will now be feat directly to the British West Indies, in profecution of a more vigorous commerce. The forests of Nova Scotia have hitherto bred few horses. When the energy of the new settlers shall have banked out the tide, we may reasonably expect, that their meadows will raise horses sufficient to supply even the wants of neighbours, from their Eastern harbours, which, stretching far into the ocean, court the traffick of every country,

The fields, which, from their herbage, produce horses, will equally rear cattle of every other kind. Canada and Nova Scotia have not yet supplied the West Indies with any number of oxen. Commerce, like every thing else, must have its beginning and its end. Virginia and New England were originally stocked with the ox and the sheep from England. Both however gained from her in less than forty years the supply of the West Indies, which they, with the other revolted colonies, chiefly en-

joyed .

joyed previous to the late civil war. Canada and Nova Scotia have yet to learn how to form that miscellaneous cargo, consisting of provisions alive and falted, of lumber, and of almost every thing, which the necessities, rather than the elegancies of life require. Till both have acquired the pedling arts of their neighbours, (and it were to be wished that every part of the British dominions would practife a pedling more than a magnificent commerce,) Ireland alone can supply from her most luxuriant paltures the full demand of cattle for the West India market, fince Ireland anually exported, according to an average of feven years, ending with 1777. four hundred and forty live flock exclusive of hogs . From the coast of Barbary the West Indies were often supplied with sheep; and from the Cape de Verd Islands they were sometimes furnished with cattle and Indian corn. It is of greater nautical importance to Britain, to fend sheep and oxen to the West Indies, from the Barbary Coast and the Cape de Verd's, than from Nova Scotia or Canada; because the chief care of Britain, on this occasion, should be, to find outward freights for the West India vessels, consisting of six hundied and eighty fail; to make that business profitable now, which was formerly unprofitable, owing to the greater bulk of the homeward than the outward ladings: And in this measure all parties are equally interested, because if ships are fully freight-

<sup>\*</sup> Appendix to Mr. A. Young's Tour in Ireland, which contains many interesting details.

ed to the West Indies they can transport the West India products the cheaper to Britain, Lastly: if the West Indians shall continue too high-minded to raise their own poultry, they may find seeders in Bermudas: And Bermudas alone can send them all the poultry, which their luxuries may crave, since the Bermudeans sent them 741 dozen, in 1772, as part of an accustomed cargo \*.

If from the offspring of pasturage we turn our attention to the products of agriculture we shall find the flour of wheat to have been an article, which the West Indians confumed in considerable quantities, though their slaves were seldom allowed to taste it.

Of Frour and Bread there were exported to the British West Indies; In 1771, — 136,388 barrels, 4,515 kegs

72, - 126,229 - 4,960

73. — 134,638 — 3,624.

If the United States should refuse their former supplies, the considerable quantity †, which was thus consumed in the British West Indies, must be collected from various sources. Nova Scotia has hitherto had no surplus of slour or of wheat to spare. The agriculture of Canada was, for several years after the conquest, overshadowed by the superior advantages of the middle colonies. It was the cheapness of the Canadian wheat, owing to the absence of buyers, which induced the Philadelphian

\* The Infpector's Books.

delphian merchants to become speculators in the market of Quebec, for supplying directly the demands of Portugal and Spain. And Canada actually exported \*

Bushels wheat. Barrels of flour.

The Canadians, like their Southern neighbours, have acquired an energy from the war, which, like them, they will carry into all the operations of peace. To argue, that the Canadians, because they were once indolent and poor, are never to become active and opulent, is to infift that the affairs of the world do not run continually in a progress; that children never become men; that every people have not their beginning, their rise, and their decline. The historian will find no great difficulty, in pointing out the exact period, when each of the revolted Colonies exported a less quantity of wheat and flour than Canada actually sent out, as its surplus, in 1772. When the United States, in their spirit of resentment, rather than retaliation, shall have withdrawn the competition of their citizens; when a constant demand shall have operated as an encouragement to the manufacturing of wheat; then will Canada supply both Newfoundland and the British West Indies with a fufficient quantity of flour, which when well packed keeps

keeps for years. The frosts of Canada, the storms of St. Lawrence, the foggs of Nova Scotia, have all been mentioned triumphantly as natural infelicities. which must for ever prevent Canada and Nova Scotia from becoming confiderable, in agriculture, or great in trade. The mildness of the last winter in Nova Scotia, while the rivers and bays of the United States, as far as Carolina, continued frozen till the beginning of March, ought to moderate that triumph in future. The same North-West wind, which renders it so difficult for vessels to ascend the St. Lawrence after the middle of October, facilitates the navigation outwards. And Halifax might eafily be converted into a depolitory for all the furplus products of Nova Scotia and Canada; which might afterwards be fent out, during every feafon, as demand required, from that most commodious of all the American harbours. Halifax is faid to be the most commodious port, because it is not only at all times open, but it happily stretches about five degrees to the Eastward of Chefapeake Bay; the North-West wind, which drives with irrefistable violence so many ships from the coasts of the United States, carries them fafely to Halifax, by a fide-long pressure; and the Gulf stream conveys every vessel from the South, during the calmer weather, by an easy fail along the American shores to this most secure retreat.

Whatever productions, the ploughs of Canada, or Nova Scotia, may ever afford, Great Britain will furely be able to furnish all the flour of wheat, which

which the British West Indies can ever require, if from our experience we may form any expectation, Even young men may remember fince England supplied the coasts of the Mediterranean; as well as the shores of the Baltic, with wheat. During that flourishing period of our agriculture, from 1740 to 1757, England exported annually \* about 750,000 quarters of corn, which at the low prices of that time, brought into the nation £. 1,300,000, Such plenty alas! and fuch profit from Corn we have not for some years known. However unfavourable the feafons may prove there is reason to hope, from the flourishing state of our agriculture, that Great-Britain will be able to spare wheat flour enough to supply the wants of a community of feventy thousand masters. For, there were actually exported to the British West-Indies from England alone: A navious A adr Ila lo suoiliammon itam

irod thomo	Wheat flour.	Oatmeal.	Bread.
	Quarters.		Control State of the Control of the
	— · o —		404
	- 35,907 -		The second secon
1783	- 4,090 -	· 125 —	6,657

The quarter of meal must weigh 276lbs: So we may regard every quarter as only a greater barrel. From these Custom-house entries, with all their impersections, we see clearly enough the effect

sold about the reservation of the

effect of the American competition when it existed. and when it had ceased. Whatever may be the fystem which the Parliament shall adopt, with regard to corn, it will be wife to continue, the allowance, which has for fome years been given \*, to export grain and other provisions to the British West-Indies, like the indulgence that has usually been granted to Man, Guernsey, and Jersey. We are very apt to copy the French fashions while we too feldom adopt the French policy. What the French practice even now is, we may learn from the edict of the Viscount de Damas, in July 1782, which has been lately cited as fo favourable to the United States; and which yet permits-"Their merchants to furnish our Colonies (of France) with every kind of their commodities. that our nation cannot supply us with." We ought not to helitate a moment then, in recuring to the original principle of colonization, which confifled, in supplying all that the Plantations might want and that Britain could furnish, exclusive of every other country. And thus we perceive, how much favour the Congress would confer upon Britain, were that body to prohibit the export of flour to the British West-Indies; how much benefit Britain conferred on the American citizens, when the allowed flour to be exported from the United States to the British West-Indies in British ships.

M It

<sup>\*</sup> By 23 Geo. III. ch. 6. this allowance was continued to the 1st of May 1784.

It will be much more difficult to find for the West Indies a sufficient supply of rice and Indian corn, which are both faid to be absolutely essential. It is however a comfortable confideration, that neither rice nor Indian corn formed the food of the flaves. Of rice there were only imported in the British West Indies, if we may judge from a three years average ending with 1773, 20563 barrels \*. which were all confumed at the luxurious tables of the seventy thousand masters. Of Indian corn there were equally required, if we may determine from a fimilar average, 401,471 bushelst; which had done fomething more than furnish 400,000 flaves with one week's supply, had the negroes confumed what was actually given to the horses and other labouring cattle. Rice then was a luxury of the rich; and Indian corn was the food of labourers, who indeed were equally entitled to their hire. It is not then so much to be lamented, that from the United States, rice and Indian corn can alone be procured in sufficient quantities. But, every community

<sup>\*</sup> The Custom-house entries.

<sup>†</sup> Id. Indian corn was the only article of provisions, which was unreasonable in its price, according to the latest accounts from the West-Indies. It was as high as 5s. 10d. sterling per bushel at Antigua in April: But, it was about the same time as high as 4s. sterling the bushel in Virginia; and corn was selling in the Baltimore market on the 18 of May 1784 at 3s. sterling the bushel. All these high prices were owing to the severity of the preceding winter, when the planters were obliged to seed their cattle with the corn which they used to export.

community, which thus depends on rivals, ought to look for substitutes when they can no longer find supplies. Every house-wife can find a thousand substitutes for rice, in the making of her puddings: And pease, beans, oats and barley, we know feed the horses of other countries. The oats, which were raised in the United States, were of a kind too light, and the pease were too subject to the ravages of the fly to afford much food: And oats and beans were chiefly supplied from Britain even before the revolt put an end to the colonial competition. From England alone there were actually sent to the British West-Indies;

0 141 0	Barley.	Pease.	Beans.	Oats.	Wheat.	Rye.
In then	qrs.	qrs.	qrs.	qrs.	qrs.	qrs.
In 1773 In 1780	256	1.116	12.201	8,006	1.146	1.116
In 1783	146	755	7,360	6,129	8	755

From these Custom-house entries we see clearly, by contrasting the exports of the three years, the effect of competition between Great Britain and the United States. The revolted Colonies had gradually circumvented the Parent Country, in supplying the British West Indies, with all the productions of agriculture. The British yeomanry, burdened with the payment of rents, tythes, and poor-rates, could not contend with the American yeomanry, who were exempted from all these taxes, besides much lighter public duties. And Mr. Arthur Young very ably proved, in 1774, by

an examination of particulars\*, that the American farmer could not only supply the West-India market with flour, much cheaper than the English farmer could do; but, could even exclude the English farmer from supplying the domestic markets of Britain with wheat. It is curious to remark how near to each other the events of the late war have brought the prices of provisions in America and in Britain. The current rates at the two great marts of Philadelphia and London, in December 1783, may be compared, by the following detail:

Philadelphia	a p	rices	. uL	ondon	pric	es.
Of fine flour per cwt. L.	0	15	9	£.0	16	0
Of common ditto	0	13	O'	0	14	0
Of mess beef per barrel	2	2	6	2	2	6
Of mels pork	3	0	0	2	8:	0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	£.6	11	3	£.6	0	6

It is a remarkable fact, that the prices of all things have been uncommonly high in the United States, fince the peace. Yet it is apparent that the London and Philadelphia prices cannot thus run parallel together long t; though the freights may continue cheaper from London than from Philadelphia. The advantages of the American farmer, not withflanding the additional burdens of independent

\* Political Arithmetic.

<sup>†</sup> The subjoined detail will evince the truth of the position in the text, that the American prices of grain are already fallen

dence, will continue so superior, in respect to rents. tythes, and poor-rates, over the British farmer, that the former must ere long overpower the latter in every competition. The difference of price to the West Indians, whatever it may be, is the equivalent, which they ought to pay to the British confamer for enjoying the exclusive supply of sugar rum and other West India products. But, the American citizens having now ceased to be fellowsubjects, may be justly excluded from a right and a benefit, which we had formerly relinquished in favour to them. The British farmers next to the British sailors are the men, who are the most worthy of the protection of the British legislature: The one class fights our battles; the other supplies us with food: The farmers are therefore entitled to the preference in supplying the West India markets with all the productions of agriculture: The failors are still more entitled to the employment,

fallen much lower than the British, and will probably con-

o sadi . u			ondon, lay, 1784.	of	ddle States, y, 1784.	
Wheat	1.30	£.2 8	ı per qr.	<b>-</b> *	£.1 12	o per qr.
Peafe		1 13	2 ditto.		1 4	o ditto.
Beans	-	1 8	6 ditto.	10 <b></b> -	1 4	o ditto.
Barley	-	16	9 ditto.	-	0 16	9 ditto.
Oats	-	1 0	5 ditto.	()- <b></b> -	0 8	8 ditto.

Yet, falted provisions were at the same time much higher in the Baltimore market, than in the London. ment, which arises from additional freights. And the public will gain in the exact proportion, as the interest of those two most useful bodies of men are promoted.

The West Indians however, like every other people, ought to be chiefly supplied with ground provisions, by their own diligence and care. Let every island follow the example of the Jamaica Assembly when it enacted \*: " That owners of plantations shall have at all times one acre of ground well planted with provisions for every five negroes, and so proportionably, under the penalty of forty shillings, for every acre wanting." The law has long expired; but the falutariness of the rule has in a good measure continued the practice: And Jamaica, confidering its superior extent and populousness, of all the West-India islands, requires the smallest supply of provisions from abroad. Virginia and Maryland are the States, which chiefly fupplied the West Indies with corn: Yet, during almost a century, after the successive settlement of each, their Assemblies constantly enforced by penalties the planting of grain in opposition to tobaccot. The planters of both at length discovered, that on the

\* Laws 1684.

<sup>†</sup> The laws of Virginia, which was settled in 1607, directed in 1663: That two acres of corn should be yearly planted for each tythable, tending a crop; and that the planting of one acre of wheat should excuse the planting of two acres of corn: [Laws p. 42.] And considerable encouragement was given to the building of water-mills in 1705. (Laws p. 294:] land Marypassed similar laws, 1682 ch. 1. 1705 ch. 16.

the same plantation, with the same number of negroes, they could raile a crop of wheat, in addition to the usual crop of tobacco. And the West Indians ought to adopt the principle and profit from the refult of this discovery; which alone confifts, in making the best use of their advantages. Without the law of Jamaica the other islands had been driven by necessity to adopt the practice, of raising on their own fields much ground provisions. And this policy is so useful to the master and agreeable to the flave, that it ought to be extended and enforced by the West India Legislatures: This rural occonomy is uleful to the master, because money faved is money gained, which depends on no contingencies: It is pleafant to the flave, because, while he labours his own field, and tends his own plantains, potatoes and yams, he thinks he is free. Why then should the West Indians resist a measure, which promises profit to themselves and happiness to others?

Yet, the West India merchants and planters represented to the King's Ministers in April 1783:
"That in several of his Majesty's Sugar Colonies
there are still great tracts of uncultivated lands, of
which although a considerable part would undoubtedly under adequate encouragement be settled
with sugar works, yet there will remain considerable quantities, which from soil or situation are
unsit for the culture of sugar, though very sit for
that of indigo, coffee, cocoa and tobacco, if proper
encouragement were given thereto." And the encouragement

couragement thus anxiously asked by them is the distribution of bounties from the taxes of Britain, which are collected from our industrious classes. They ought to be told, that we wish not so much for their indigo and coffee, their cocoa and tobacco, as that they would, instead thereof, raise food for their slaves. Let their Assemblies encourage by bounties, or enforce by penalties, the raising of the most needful kind of provisions on their own islands. Let them adopt every possible mode of supply rather than be dependent on rivals, or by facrificing the acts of navigation, enervate the best defence of Britain, who lately protected them from conquest.

2. Having thus shewn, that it is possible to supply the British West-Indies with provisions exclusive of the United States; that it is the interest of Britain to supply them exclusive of the United States; it is now proper to advert secondly to the commerce of lumber, which the necessities of the builder and cooper require. The present demand is great; as we may learn from the sollowing detail of the former supply:

There were exported from the United States to the British West-Indies in 1771;

Pine and oak boards, planks,
lathing, &c. — } 21,271,955 feet

Hoops — 1,958,411 numb.

Staves and heading — 7,200,000 numb.

Pine timber — 200 tons

Oak timber — 95 tons

Exclusive of smaller articles this cargo was certainly of a bulk, which required many ships to carry it: And without the aid of the United States it will not be easy to find an adequate supply for so large a demand, Canada and Nova Scotia, for some years before the revolt, had furnished the British West-Indies with some lumber of the various kinds. Both these colonies had however been depressed by too powerful competitors and both continued feeble; the one from its paucity of people; the other from the inveteracy of its habits: And both wanted what it is of the greatest consequence for every community to possess, energy and capital. The face of both countries, however extensive, is luxuriantly covered with timber trees, and both are every where interfected by navigable rivers: In Canada the people had before the revolt erected great numbers of faw mills of a cheap and commodious construction: In Nova Scotia it is hoped the fettlers, by following now their example will ere long convert their extensive forests into fruitful fields. Canada has been lately confined within narrower bounds, which will refirain the accustomed roving of its woodsmen; who as they encrease in numbers will want employment; and who will therefore direct their future diligence to domestic occupations with the force, which compression always produces. The extensive shores of the Bay of Fundy (where the proper wood for lumber abounds with even the white oak, so prized for its closeness of grain) have N been

been at length fettled by a great body of men; from whose energy of character and knowledge of the business, scantlings for the builder and staves for the cooper may be expected in abundance, as they convert the well-earned rewards of their loyalty into productive farms. Nor, could the fame quantity of products be expected indeed from the twenty-fix thousand people, who inhabited Nova Scotia before the late war, as from the superior industry and wealth of the fixty-fix thousand fishers and farmers, who now reside in that flourishing province. If the Congress, incited by the clamours of interested traders, should prohibit the export of lumber to the British West-Indies. the prohibition would operate as a bounty to Canada and Nova Scotia, by clearing the markets of overpowering competitors and creating a demand, which, owing chiefly to that competition, they were unable to supply. But, the planters, who appoint the American Legislatures, are too much benefitted from finding a market for the timber, which lies heavy on their lands, to give us any well grounded reason to hope, for a measure so peculiarly advantageous to the British dominions.

If however, resentment should in the struggle prove too powerful for prudence, and contrary to their genuine interests the United States should prohibit the export of lumber to the British West-Indies, it will be proper to look for adequate supplies from every quarter of the globe. The search would not be difficult were the real advantage of Britain the point

point to be simply considered. And the nava policy of Britain requires, that the British West-Indies should be supplied with lumber from the rivers of Germany and the shores of the Baltic, even in preference to Canada and to Nova Scotia. For, it was the opinion of Sir Josiah Child, which the experience of a century hath verified;" That there is nothing more prejudicial and in prospect, more dangerous to any mother kingdom than the encrease of shipping in their plantations and provinces:" And from the register of shipping at Lloyd's Coffee-house we may learn, that the Colonists have begun to build ships in Nova Scotia and Canada and that the British merchants have constructed, fince the revolt, vessels of three hundred tons at Newfoundland and smaller ones on the inhospitable shores of Labradore. Whether the natutical interests of the kingdom would be promoted the most, by the building of ships at Poole, (fince it is the merchants at Poole who chiefly build ships at Newfoundland and Labradore) or at Newfoundland, is a question which does not merit any answer: Nor, is it necessary to enquire, whether Britain would be most benefitted, by fetching the wood from Newfoundland, or by fending thither the iron and fails. And thus it is, fays Montesquieu, that Holland has its quarries and its forests.

In this manner are we led to infer, that neither the petty profits of the West-Indians, who enjoy monopolies enow, nor a considerable advantage to our remaining colonists, who may convert their

N 2

trees into potash, ought to be considered a moment, when the domestic encrease of shipwrights, failors, and coopers, is the object in contemplation. The colonies were originally fettled to promote the navigation of England, by creating a great employment for ships. To allow the plantations (as they have been allowed for a century and a half) to enter into a competition with the mother country, in ship-building and navigation, was abfurdly to facrifice the important end to the inconfiderable means. The fisheries of New-England were, in this view of the subject, a nuisance in the British empire, great in proportion to their extent and continuance. The making of acts of Parliament to protect the New-England fishers and the colonial failors from being pressed into the public service, like other British fishers and seamen, was to augment that nuisance, instead of abetting it .-Sailors, who refide at a distance of three thousand miles, were they subject to the press, are unuseful to Britain, because their services cannot be commanded, when they are wanted most. The failors and fishers of Nova Scotia and Canada are entitled therefore to no favour from Britain. And still less are the American seamen, who continue our rivals in peace, and will be our enemies in war, entitled to any indulgence, when that favour is to be conferred by depriving our own failors of employment, and the nation consequently of their service. When the West-Indians are urged to allow their flaves to raife food for themselves they constantly plead,

plead, that the planting of sugar promotes the navigation of Britain. But, when they insist, that the American citizens shall be allowed to supply them with lumber in American ships, even of the smallest size, they would facrifice the end to the means. And the West Indians little reslect, amid their cares for themselves, that in proportion as they carry their wishes into effect they destroy the usefulness of the West Indies to Britain.

It ought to be the constant object of Britain then, confidering her glory and defence to arise chiefly from her ships and her failors, to ransack the earth for lumber and to supply the West Inpies from her own ports. Were this measure carried carefully into practice it would be found to lead to the profit of individuals as well as to the fafety of the State. The navigation, which was created, by transporting annually the surplus products of the West Indies to Britain, is doubtless of great importance, from its magnitude, and may be rendered much more useful, by its regulation. This truth we shall see in the most striking light by attentively viewing the subjoined detail of the West-India shipping, which was formed from a minute inspection of the entries at the Custom-house \*:

And

<sup>\*</sup> Some men from the suggestions of sceptical minds delight in all the various shades of uncertainty. Such men are never more gratisted than in finding errors in the Custom-house books, because the establishment of error has an essential tendency to create universal doubt. But, in those books there is assuredly much truth as well as some falsehood: The entries

And it contains an accurate abstract of the number of vessels, which appears from the registers of shipping to have been cleared from each respective island, during a year of great export, distinguishing the British from American built shipping:

Islands. Brit. ships. Am. ships. Brit. tons. Am. tons. 145-136 - 26,906 - 15,847 Jamaica Barbadoes - 47 — 13 — 6,546 — St. Kitt's - 35 — 20 — 6,494 — 2,310 - 28 - 22 - 4,073 -Antigua St. Vincent's 25 - 9 - 3,042 - 1,100 -6-3-615-320Tobago Montferrat - 10 — 9 — 1,437 — 1,043 Nevis - - 18 - 0 - 2,851 -Grenades - 51 - 49 - 7,717 - 5,942 Dominica - 32 — 21 — 3,933 — 2,433 - 397 - - - 63,614. British American - 282 - - - 32,457. Tot. in 1772, -679 - - 96,071

From this detail, which is only instructive in proportion to its accuracy, and which to be able thus.

of uncustomed goods are doubtless liable to much imposition, and are consequently obnoxious to much objection, as proofs. The entries of the number of ships, which clear in any port in any year, contain as much certainty as generally is found in human affairs. Every vessel, which any where loads and all the vessels which loaded in the West-India islands, during any given year, must have necessarily cleared, and every ves-

thus to submit to the Public required no small refearch, we may make many reflections. From it we see the relative importance of each of those islands to our navigation and the commercial magnitude of the whole. Tobago indeed we have loft; but, it was the least we could loose. Of the fix hundred and feventy-nine vessels, which were in this manner required to transport the great West India cargo of 1772 to Britain, much more than two thirds had been built in our Colonies, though they only contained a little more than one half of the tonnage. To fo great an extent had we refigned the most useful of all our manufactures to our Colonists, contrary to the remonstrances of the wifest men of their time. We have been sufficiently solicitous about the manufactures of wool, of hats, and of iron in the Colonies; but we have cared little, during the last century, for the more important manufacture of ships. This had been a melancholy remark, were it not that we may derive consolation from reflecting, how much the public wisdom may convert misfortunes into benefits. We

fel is entered accordingly to the description given of her in her own register; namely where she was built and who are her owners. In this transaction interest has no object in propagating falsehood. And consequently when the Custom-house lists of all vessels, which entered outwards in any year from the British West-Indies, are carefully inspected, we gain all the certainty which, in such details, any reasonable inquirer would wish to have. In this manner were the West India Custom house returns inspected by two very competent persons, in order to come at the result mentioned in the text.

may now regain the business of ship building to no small extent, which our imprudent kindness had given away: Our safety requires, that we ought to retain every advantage, which a signal revolution has happily thrown in our way.

Of those fix hundred and seventy nine vessels. which though registered at ninety-fix thousand tons, carried at least one hundred and twenty eight tons, it is admitted, that one half failed to the West Indies without a freight, or that each Thip carried only half a lading. The loss from that circumstance formerly and the gain to be made now, by finding full freights for our outward-bound ships, may be very eafily calculated. If the average of the outward freights is allowed to be twelve and fix pence the ton, we may find by an eafy calculation, that the freights on fixty-four thousand tons would amount to forty thousand pounds. To men indeed who permit their minds to dwell on splendid projects of commerce, or who gain thousands from a job, that annual gain to an opulent nation will appear very inconsiderable. But it is the duty of the Legislature of a nation, who runs too much into a magnificent trade, to promote an œconomical one. If that employment of capital, which was formerly unproductive, can be rendered, by proper measures, more fruitful, we may furely prefume, that an augmentation of capital would necessarily follow additional gains. Merchants, who formerly became owners of ships in the West India trade with reluctance, would now purchase shares with alacrity.

The number of vessels would increase with the competition of traders. And in this manner would Great Britain, by supplying the West Indies with Lumber from her own ports, regain the building of ships and invigorate that branch of her navigation, which the continued competition of her Colonies for upwards of a century had bowed down and broken.

But to the most falutary measure objections may be eafily found at the call of interest. "If it were posfible, say the West Indian Committee, to confine the intercourse between the Sugar Colonies and America to British built ships, is it quite so clear, as men imagine, that we have ships to carry it on, or can keep up such a stock of British shipping in the merchants ships, as would be wanted?" Confidering this question as an important one (and an important one it furely is) those gentlemen do nos rely on general reasoning, but appeal to the decifive inferences of authentic facts. In pursuance of their plan they have brought before the Public the register of shipping, which are constantly surveyed and weekly transmitted for the use of that very respectable body of men, the Insurers at Lloyd's Coffee-house. This register, containing a return of the name of every ship, its tonnage and age, the place where built, the owner, the usual trade, wherein employed, with other more minute particulars of its quality, is very useful to them: And comprehending, as it does, almost all the ships, which are employed in the foreign trade of Britain, it furnishes a very good comparative estimate of the num-

ber

ber and nature of our shipping, at any two given epochs, within the last six and twenty years, the period of its existance. The Committee, by using the register of 1775, which comprehends the reports of 1772--3--4 and part of 1775, chose the æra of the greatest extent of navigation, which had ever transported the surplus products of England. For, from the register of shipping at the Custom-house, we know, that, according to a three years average ending with 1751, which was an age of commercial prosperity beyond former example, there were cleared outwards only;

Tons Eng. Tons For. Tot. Tons. 609,798 - 51,386 - 661,184

Yet there entered outwards, according to a three years average

ending with 1774, - 756,187 - 65,630 - 821,817 It was at this epoch of nautical greatness, that the Committee thought proper to inspect the Regifter and to publish the result. The public had been still more obliged to them, had they ranfacked the Register, since the peace and equally published their researches, that the world might have enjoyed the fatisfaction of contrasting two such fignal æras together. What the Committee thus declined to do has been actually done. Register of 1783, which contains the reports of 1781-2-3 has been examined with the most minute care; in order to form a comparison between a peaceful period of unexampled prosperity and a hostile period of uncommon embarrassments. And the following detail contains:

A COMPARATIVE

A COMPARATIVE VIEW of the Shipping, which were employed, in the Foreign Trade of Britain, at the Commencement and End of the late War, as they appear in the Register of the Insurers at Lloyd's Cossee-house, distinguishing the British from the American built Ships.

	[ 9	9]		
708,346	933.785	979,269	<b>S</b>	
1781-2-3. ir tonnage to	1		1037 vessels;	45,478 tons;
3,848, the 1,334, ditt	5,182 1,037 and	6,219	60 -	od of peace tons;
The Shipping of 1772-3-4.  The Shipping of 1781-2-3.  itish built ships 3,908, their tonnage 605,645  British built ships 3,848, their tonnage nerican ditto 2,311, ditto 373,618  American ditto 1,334, ditto			British ships American ships	Yet, that the total inferiority of the period of war to the period of peace amounted only to 102,701 British tons; and a decrease of 148,179 American tons.
British Ameri	Deficience in	er en	of British Americ	d of war
605,64		979,263	the whole deficience of g. in 1783, of British	the perio
772-3-4. tonnage	i io ii Ii vog		t of the vanting, ir	riority of
ping of 17 908, their 311, ditte	03.2	6,219	It is thus apparent, that of the whole deficience of there were only wanting, in 1783, of British ships and of ———————————————————————————————————	amounted only to  while there was an increase of and a decrease of
The Ship t ships 3, itto 2,		6,2	is thus app there were and of	amounted only to while there was an
itish buil nerican d			It is	Yet a

Such is the refult of a comparison, which ought furely to inspire no despondence even into the most fearful minds. The West-India Committee very well remark: " That the tonnage of both is much below the truth, being the tonnage the ships were registered at; that it follows, as far as this furvey extended, the American shipping in the foreign trade of Britain amounted to a good deal more than half as much as the British." Had they inspected the Register of 1783, they would have feen, what indeed was not altogether within their plan, that the British shipping had encreased by the exclusion of the American, during the calamities of war, no less than 102,701 tons. Of this exhilerating prospect let us take another view. There were affuredly vast fleets, which, though built by British shipwrights, were not included in the furveys of 1781-2-3, because they had not touched at any British port; because they were insured by the Government, who made use of them as transports. From the Report of the Commissioners of Public Accounts we know, that there were employed at New York by the Quarter-master General, the Barack-master, the Commissary General, in the four years, ending with 1780, no fewer than 611 vessels, carrying 44,016 tons. Were this fleet brought to the account of 1783, it would complete the defective quantity of tonnage, though not the defective number of ships. Many of those vessels, or perhaps a greater number, remained till November, 1783, to perform the melancholy fervice vice of final evacuation. And all those, or many of those, have again entered into the merchants fervice, fince that fignal epoch, and properly fill the void, which the happy exclusion of the American ships had left. But, what is that puny fleet to the vast navy, which was constantly employed, during the years 1781-2-3, by the Victualing Office, by the Ordnance Office, by the Navy Office? And from an inspection of Lloyd's Register we may learn, that few of these transports could have been furveyed; because the very few transports, which appear to have been reported, confifted of those vessels, that were employed by the private contractors for various supplies. When all those, or the half of those, which had been employed by the public boards, are brought to account; who shall fay, that there was any deficience forced to the foreign toenage, from 65 8871 in

It is nevertheless afferted, that the deficiency was not supplied by British ships; but by vessels foreign built, of which the Northern nations supplied the far greater number. If it is hereby meant to affirm, that much more has happened now, than had always happened, amid former hostilities, the witness testifies of facts, which he knows not to be true. We have been driven by all our wars to employ foreign ships, in exact proportion to our naval embarrassments and even to our success by land. More than one half of the commerce of England was carried on in foreign shipping, during King William's wars: For it was found

impossible to man the Navy without stopping the coast trade, owing to the scarcity of seamen. We employed no great number of foreign ships, during the long course of hostilities, which ensued upon the accession of Queen Anne, because our glories by land in some measure protected our ships by sea. The Spanish war of 1739 increased the quantity of foreign tonnage cleared outwards from 26,000 tons, during the previous peace, to 87,000 tons, amid the subsequent hostilities. The French war of 1755 produced similar effects: The foreign tonnage role from 51,000 in 1750 to 73,000 in 1756-7, and to 120,000 tons in 1762. Such was the progressive force of our navigation at the epoch of the revolt, that our shipping continued to increase during the three years of the American war. It was the French interpolition, which forced up the foreign tonnage, from 64,000, in 1775, to 98,000, in 1778, and to 139,000 tons, The foreign tonnage role still higher, during the Dutch war. Entangled, as we were, by our Colonies, pressed by the French, attacked by the Spaniards, fought by the Dutch, and bullied by the armed neutrality; it is not furprizing, that our traders sought shelter under foreign flags. But, it has been shewn \* to the conviction of reasonable men, that however our navigation and traffic may be depressed by war, both constantly spring up on the return of peace with still greater force.

The

<sup>\*</sup> See The Estimate of the Comparative Strength of Britain, for the facts and the inference mentioned in the text.

The foregoing truth we might even collect from Lloyd's Register of 1783; which evinces, that the British capital, which had created and sustained the vast shipping of Britain, at the epoch of the revolt, far from being lessened, or enerved, had actually been augmented and strengthened by the war; because that capital had been productive, and merchants naturally throw their furplus stocks into the stream of commerce which, as it follows, washes grains of gold from its banks. How many fortunes were there in fact made, by supplying the government with transports, and even with armed ships, amid the pressures of war. Now, it is productive capital, which, with the energy of compound interest, produces gradually still greater capitals. And it is that constant accumulation of capital in the hands of the industrious classes, which for a century has produced, notwithstanding our wars, our flourishing agriculture, our various manufactures, our extensive commerce, and vast navigation.

After all this elaborate enquiry, it may be properly asked, if there could have possibly been three and twenty hundred American built vessels engaged in the foreign trade of Britain, during the years 1772-3-4-5? There may have been indeed very ancient ships, that had been again and again rebuilt: And Lloyd's register shews this to have been the fact from the most accurate reports, which speak of American ships, that had been built in

## [ 104 ]

1762, and even before it. The following detail, which was carefully extracted from the records of American built shipping, will confirm sufficiently the notices of the register, as well as the foregoing inferences from it.

An account of all fuch veffels as were built and registered, in the continental colonies, with the illands of Bahamas and Bermudas, during fix years, diftinguishing each year, and the top-sail vessels from the sloops and schooners:

Average of 6		In — 1768 70 71 72 73	When Registered.   Topsails.   Their Tons.   Sloops, &c. Their Tons.   Total Vessels.
155	928	- 157 - 114 - 130 - 131 - 184 - 212	Topfails.
16,768	100,610	19,098 11,247 11,216 14,695 19,854 24,500	Their Tons.
-366	2,196	329 329 336 426	Sloops, &c.
11,704	70,227	10,354 10,213 12,982 10,580 12,569 13,529	Their Tons.
- 520	3,124	486 450 515 478 638	Total Veffels.
28,473	170,837	29,452 21,460 24,198 25,275 32,423 38,029	Total Tons.

From this accurate abstract of most authentic records \*, we see the full extent of the colonial ship-building which was rapidly encreasing at the æra of revolt. If all the vessels, which were yearly registered and sent to sea, from the colonies, had been annually introduced into the foreign trade of Britain, they could have only amounted to five hundred and twenty. If the shipwrights of the colonies had supplied

our

\* With a truly sceptical temper, which often proceeds from ignorance more than from captiousness, some men object even to those authentic records, which were kept by the American Register of Shipping, as not containing the exact number of ships that were built in the colonies. A few remarks will shew with what propriety this objection is made to the authenticity of that record. 1stly. No vessel that had been built in the plantations could possibly fail from them without a register, which was, in fact, its passport. 2dly. This passport could not be obtained for a new built ship, till the builder, or other owners, made oath before the Collector of the Port, as to the place where it had been built with other circumstances; till the same ceremony was performed before the Governors. - 3dly. When these effential proceedings were concluded the collector entered the ship in his book; whereof he gave a certificate to the owners, which, when figned by the Governor, constituted what was called among seamen The Ship's Register .- 4thly. The Collector was bound to transmit to the office of the Register General, a duplicate of all those certificates, which he regularly entered in his books. \_\_\_\_5thly. It was from these books, that the abstract in the text was carefully taken, and which must confequently contain the exact number of vessels, that had been registered in the plantations, during the specified years, though not the precise quantity of tons, which the owners had an interest to conceal, yet may be easily inferred, by making a reasonable addition to the given sum.

our traders. which is most likely, with their topsail vessels alone, the annual augmentation of American had only amounted to one hundred and
fifty-five. To those who delight, in tracing the
minute variations of commerce, it will afford no
small gratification to be told, that the American
citizens have lately purchased several British built
vessels in the Thames; in order to enable them to
carry on the trade between the United States and
the British West-Indies. And thus, if we wisely
adhere to our laws, shall we, in our turn, supply
the Americans with ships.

When the statement of our shipping was exhibited by the West India Committee, as it appeared in Lloyd's register, immediately before the war; when fomething like a demonstration was given of our inability to fill up the places of two thousand American ships; all these struck well meaning minds as a Gothic ruin, which inspires melancholy fentiments and at the same time forces the unwelcome recollection, that all things must fall. But, that frightful fabrick has been now more nearly examined, and it is at length found to be one of those magnificent and masfy structures, which noblemen, whose opulence is equal to their pride of family, sometimes erect on the Gothic plan; and which either gratifies the beholder by its novelty, or exhilerates by the appearance of uncommon wealth and great skill combined together.

Having thus been affured, " That the American Shipping employed in the foreign trade of Britain amounted to a good deal more than half the British," we shall find some advantage, perhaps a little amusement, in running up succincily to the original cause of those memorable effects. The year 1628 is the epoch of the arrival of the first New-England built thip in the Thames; as we may know from the books of Privy Council. Amid the distractions of the subsequent civil wars the New-Englanders became the carriers of the West-India products to England; as appears by the news-papers of those times, which are preserved in the Museum. The Act of Navigation confirmed their right to do fo, by declaring American built ships to be completely Eng-Sir Josiah Child soon after that declaration warned the nation of the prospective danger of allowing colonies to build ships for their mother country, Dr. D'Avenant remonstrated in 1698: "If we should go to cultivate among the American plantations the art of navigation and teach them to have a naval force, they may fet up for themselves and make the greatest part of our West-India trade precarious; besides many other evils, in encouraging them to do fo, it would carry from hence a great number of artificers, which in cafe of a war would be wanting in England." Of that prophecy we have lived alas! to fee the fulfilment. But, writers wrote then, as writers write now, without much effect on public councils.

During

During the wars of Anne the Parliament encouraged the Colonists to execute those very nautical projects, which those two able statesmen had shewn to be absurdly dangerous. The shipwrights of the River came up to Whitehall, in 1725, with a complaint, that their business declined and their workmen emigrated, because the plantations furnished England with ships. Their petition was referred to the lawyers: But, the lawyers answered, they might as well complain of shipbuilding at Bristol; for the American built ships were English. The answer of the lawyers was sent to the Board of Trade for their advice: And they advised, "To lay a duty of five shillings a ton on all American built vessels, which should be employed in the foreign trade of Britain." The Ministers did nothing in the end. And the shipwrights remained quiet, though they found their complaints to be unavailing, because faction did not mingle in their grievances. Those who look below the surface of public affairs, as they run down the current of time, will not be furprized, when they are told: That neither the lawyers, the Board of Trade, the Ministers, nor the carpenters, knew the true ground of the grievance, which confifted in this: The plantation built ships were admitted into the ports of Britain with all the exemptions of British; but, the British built ships, when they arrived in the Colonies, were liable to tonnage duties and to other taxes from which their own vessels were altogether exempted. And thus the Colony carpenters enjoyed a double monopoly against

the British shipwrights. The Colony carpenters entered into free competition with the British shipwrights in all the dominions of the Crown and even beyond them; while the British shipwrights could enter into no competition with the Colony Carpenters, in their own ports. And we have seen the melancholy effects, which had slowed from the fountain of those causes, before the epoch of the civil war.

The independence of the United States has happily freed the empire from the evil, for which the Ministers of George the First could find no remedy. however much it diffressed one of the most important of our industrious classes. Yet, is it proposed to introduce this embarrassing evil anew. And it is pressed on the public upon pretexts as untrue in fact, as they are unphilosophical in principle: That we have not capital enough; that we have not sufficient materials; that to exhaust the timber of the kingdom which is proper for large thips would at least be impolitic; and that to build vessels with imported timber will never answer: But, it is admitted, that the demand for a new flock of ships will be gradual, as the American ships and the late transports wear out.

It is an incontrovertible answer to all those objections, that the inconvenience is gradually to approach, which will furnish opportunities enow to provide sufficient relief. We shall want capital indeed, if we allow the merchants of London and Bristol, of Liverpool and Glasgow, to do now what they formerly did, to send agents and money to

our remaining colonies, or to the United States, to build ships for our foreign trade. The laws, as they now operate, (provided no alteration is made) will force our traders to employ that capital, which formerly enriched the industrious classes in the now United States, to give employment and food to the real British subjects, who reside on the Creeks of Wales and Rivers of Scotland. At the obscure ports of Wales (a country which abounds with excellent timber for shipbuilding) many ships have been built, during the war, as we may learn from Lloyd's Register itself. And since the peace, ship timber has been found in commodious parts of Scotland, where trees were supposed never to have grown. By thus excluding American competitors we shall augment the race of shipwrights and the public as well as private interests will be promoted, by introducing gradually from Scotland and Wales, competitors even into the Thames, by means of their cheaper fabricks. It was owing to a fimilar competition among the Dutch, who by appropriating the forests of woodier countries, build such a multitude of ships; and who are thereby enabled to carry the products of the earth at lower freights than any people in Europe. The foregoing confiderations, with regard to this interesting part of our domestic æconomy, will induce (as we may reasonably hope) the wise government of the island of ships to remove the taxes, which are payable on the import of naval stores of every kind, since they ought

ought to be furely deemed the raw materials of the most important of our manufactures \*.

But

fi

to

\* It may be agreeable to men of business, as well as to men of speculation, to be informed of the present rates of ship-building, in the Southern harbours of England; as they were communicated by an intelligent person, who made a tour with a view to discover the ship-yard, the cheapest and best.

In the RIVER and BRITISH CHANNEL:

At Gravefend, Broad Stairs, Dover, and

Folkstone, \_\_\_\_ f.8 o o per ton.

At Hurstake, Cowes, Southampton,

Weymouth, Tingmouth, Bridport,

Topsham, Shorham, Dartmouth and

Cawfand, \_\_\_ f.7 0 0 to 7 10 0

In the BRISTOL CHANNBL. (English fide.)

At Biddeford and Barnstable, - 6 10 0

In the BRISTOL CHANNEL, (Wales)

At Newenham, Gatecomb, Chepstow,

Newport, Hyth, and Swansey, 6 10 0 to 7 10 0 For those prices the workmen engage to compleat the hull with joiner's work, carved work, and the work of painters, glaziers, &c. without any extra charge. All those ship-yards (and indeed all the ship yards of the kingdom) have been full of employment, fince the peace. It is this fulness which erects many other ship-yards. And it is the establishment of new ship-yards, which, by means of competition, reduces the price of manufacture to the lowest possible point. We are told, " That the ship-builders of New-England will contract to build ships at f. 3 sterl. per ton, including the joiner's work." If an American built ship will last seven years and a British built ship twenty-one; why then the British shipbuilding will prove cheapest at last. On such occasions it is not worth while to dispute about farthings. Even after the American built ships had arrived in the Thames they used to require expensive additional joiners work, &c. on the hull.

But, let us return to the proposed measure of fupplying the British West Indies with lumber, whence we have wandered wide, in answer to objections of no little weight. For, it had been vain to propose the furnishing of our West Indies with lumber from our own ports, if we have not a fufficiency of shipping. The requisite lumber may be divided into two kinds: that which is demanded by the builder; and that which is required by the cooper; and it is intended to discuss briefly each of them in its order. It is understood that the larger pieces, which are required for the conftruction of mills and for fimilar purpoles, are found on the plantations, where timber, close of grain and difficult of manufacture, grows in great abundance: It is consequently the lighter scantlings and boards, which planters import from afar. And they may find men enow in London, who will contract to supply them from the Baltic on the following terms:

## [ 114 ]

with the second second	So	met	hing
Cost of 120 deals in the West-Indies	8	o	0
which is the same on 120 deals of 3 inches thick, and 20 feet long		13	0
But, deduct the Custom-house duty,	£.9	13	0
Freight of 120 to the West Indies -	2	0	
Charge of loading and unloading	0	·	
들은 사람들은 사람들이 있다면 가는 사람들이 있다면 하는 것이 되었다. 그 사람들이 있는 사람들이 있다면 가는 사람들이 되었다면 하는 것이다면 하는 것이다. 그렇게 없다는 것이 없다는 것이다면 하는		10	0
The cost of delivering one ton in the West Indies —	Ç.2	10	0
But, deduct the duty on import, on the fupposition that it is drawn back	0	3	4
이 없는 사람이 되었습니다. 이 집에 없는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 이 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	.2	13	4
Charge of loading and unloading —		10 2	
Indies, confidering how many ladings are wanted, may be deemed high at		1.8	0
ing four cuts to be made, which will produce nine scantlings, will cost  The freight of one ton to the West	0	4	0
will be delivered in the Thames, during peace, at — £ The fawing of one ton, by hand, suppos-	.1	8	10
One ton, or 40 cubic feet, of fir timber,			

file lot the a to we first in a second secon

Something doubtless would be faved were the ships permitted to fail directly from the place of loading to the West-Indies: But, the giving of that indulgence would open a very large door. If a regard to our domestic quiet would permit us to erect faw mills; to be worked either by wind, or water, or steam, the West-Indians might be supplied still cheaper: And were we in superaddition to that great facility to allow all duties on import to be regularly drawn back, which is altogether confiftent with our modern policy, we might furnish the markets of Spain and Portugal with those bulky articles, which are fent them at prefent by the Dutch, who fetch them from Norway and the Baltic. During the reign of Anne we first gave bounties to our Colonists, for supplying us with naval stores and wood, that we might not be dependent on Denmark and Sweden: The time is now come, when the Americans may force us by their ingratitude to give bounties to Denmark and Sweden, that we may be independent of the United States. Such are the revolutions of the world: It is the business of wife men to make the most of them as the world rolls on: Such is the confequence of constantly running into extremes: We favoured and encouraged our colony commerce; we debilitated other branches of traffic, by withdrawing capital and investing it in our Plantation trade; till the extent of our colony commerce became a deplorable evil. We all remember how much our manufacturers used to be frightened by

Q2

the non-importation agreements of late times: We are again bullied by threats of the loss of a great and necessary market for our manufactures. Let us shew the United States, by the sirmness of our conduct, that we can supply the West-Indies independent of them; that we shall consider every tax laid by the American Assemblies on the importation of British manufactures, as a desirable measure, for lessening the evil, of which we complained, and the encrease of which we may find cause to lament.

We ought to learn from the New Englanders and even from the French how to form those mifcellaneous cargoes, which are fo commodious to the West-India buyer as well as to the British seller. We might ballast our West-India ships with slate and tiles for the coverings of houses. The lower hold might be filled with beef and other falted provisions. The flour, meal, peafe, beans, oats, ought all to be packed in puncheons ready prepared for the filling of rum: The tightness of such packages would long preferve those perishable articles in a fultry climate, by excluding the causes of corruption. Rum puncheons, that should be sent as packages, without the payment of freight, might be afforded at 25s. each; which is as cheap as could reasonably be wished for. A thousand other articles might in the same frugal manner be sent to the West-Indies for supplying their wants. They furnish themselves timber, which is easily converted into hogsheads for sugar: Where this refource failed they might make very good packages for fugar from deals of Norway. Let no man deride this Dutch occonomy. It is furely the duty of the Legislature to check magnificent projects of commerce and unfrugal opperations in shipping; where that falutary measure may be easily executed, by remaining inactive and filent, notwithstanding the efforts of visionary theorists, or the clamours of interested colonists. And it ought to be constantly remembered, that the frugality of the French, from the peer to the peafant, will ultimately degrade the greatness of Britain; if the most rigid œconomy is not observed in our modes of life, the working of our manufactures, the transactions of our commerce, the regulation of our Colonies, as well as in the administration of our Government.

While a spirit of moderation prevails in a trading nation, says the profound Sir James Steuart, it may rest affured than in as far as it excells the communities with whom it corresponds in this particular, so far will it increase the proportion of its wealth, power and superiority over them. To gain these great objects in modern times, a prudent legislator must inspire his people with a spirit of emulation, in the exercise of temperance, ecconomy and an application to labour and ingenuity. It was with a view to these salutary objects, that it was anxiously urged to extend the manusacture of ship building in Britain; that it was lately

lately proposed to enlarge the business of coopers. by furnishing the West Indies with ready made casks. For, it was recollected, that the fitting of our fleets had been retarded, by the combination of carpenters, at the commencement of the late war; that both public and private bodies had been obstructed, by fimilar agreements among the coopers; at a time too when the journeymen coopers on the Thames were receiving for their labour fifteen shillings a day, without having raiment for themselves or shelter for their wives. When a body of troops were fent from the Clyde, in 1776, Scotland could not furnish coopers enow to answer the speedy demand for packages, though staves abounded: And the intelligent and active men, who were intrusted with the victualling of that body of troops, collected coopers from the most distant parts of England. An armament then may be delayed or even defeated, amid the preffures of war, by the paucity, or the combination of coopers, which may be produced equally by the incitement of our factions, or by the money of But, combinations can only be preour foes. vented by augmenting the numbers of the defective classes: The augmentation of numbers can alone be gained by additional employments: And thus combinations among tradefmen may be prevented, or beat down, by raifing up many competitors among the extravagant workmen, whose services are wanted the most, both in peace and war. is furely wifer to guard by forefight against public disapdisappointments, than to solace our missortunes by the downfall of a Minister, who may have been merely unable, from the paucity or combination, of coopers, carpenters and sailors, to execute a measure without the reach of possibility.

But in opposition to the proposed measure of supplying the West Indians with lumber from the ports of Britain, which has been shewn to be a recurrence only to the first principle of colonization, we shall be confidently told: " That then the fugar would not be worth to the grower the expence of railing it." Let us first admit the fact to be true; and fecondly enquire, what would be the disadvantage to Great Britain. Is it the interest of Britain that British subjects should possesses of the boasted value of fifty millions, which, while fituated in another hemisphere, are more profitable to the owners than estates within the kingdom? Is it the interest of any country, that the attention of its people should be constandy fixed upon a richer world? From fad experience Spain will answer; it is not. Or, is it the peculiar interest of Britain, considering her prefent circumstances, to turn the whole energy of her opulent and industrious classes upon herself, with a view to domestic employments and national melio-It furely is. But, it is further urged, that by having beyond an extensive ocean, settlements which must occupy our people in the supplying them, and which require many ships to bring their products away, the transatlantic plantations become

were placed in the circumjacent seas. Be it so. Yet, if the supply and the freights are relinquished by Britain, what will remain as compensations for the irreparable diminution of her industrious people and the vast expense of defending distant dominions, which are impotent in themselves and yield no revenue or resource?

If an accidental scarcity should induce the West Indians to appply to the neutral islands, in their neighbourhood, for what they may want; if avarice should induce them to continue a practice, which accident began; Great Britain would be thereby driven to the dilemma of either depriving the West Indies of vessels proper for such a traffic; or of declaring them independent. If the West Indians expect protection from Great Britain, they must study to be useful to her.

3. But, while the usefulness of the British WestIndies continues, they thereby merit (and thereby
alone merit) every aid and every facility which
Great-Britain can give, consistent with her naval
policy and domestic interests. The United States surnished formerly an extensive market for the peculiar products of the British West Indies, as those
offered constant markets for much of the productions of the United States; who without the West
Indies would not easily find places of sale for all
the surpluses of an extensive agriculture. Thus
were they mutually advantageous to each other,
though it may be easily proved, that the balance of
benefits

benefits stood on the fide of the revolted Colonies: And thus may we see, that it would be inconvenient to both to lose the gainful custom of each other; though the greatest gain may be be bought at too high a price. Rum was the article of chief demand of the one and supply of the . other: And rum is the bewitching commodity, for which, if the United States, by changing the nature of their palates, should refuse to consume, it would be very difficult to find an adequate market. This unfortunate truth we may learn from the following Custom-house entries: There were imported into the now United States from the West Indies;

- 3,250,060 gallons In 1770 2,180,060 3,332,750 3,049,298 73 Annual average --2,953,042.

This was doubtless a large quantity; which however was not all confumed in the country; fince much was again exported, by means of a circuitous commerce. We may guess, rather than infer, the real extent of the confumption, by deducting the amount of the quantity fent out, from the number of gallons, which we have feen already brought in from the British West Indies. By attending to the following detail we shall discover the genuine measure of each particular country's supplies from the American ports. RUM

	and Newfoundland,	Main, &c. — —	Well Indies Spanish	N South of Europe, &c.	Ireland — — —	To Britain -	
110,319	52,712	1,020		9,251	10,704	36,632	Weft Ind.
940,129	52,712 590,748 36,873 550,514	2,574	292,966	45.310 - 2,140	7,931	600	West Ind. New Eng.   West Ind. New Eng.   Gallons.
48,883	36,873	860	120	2,140	4,875	4,015	West Ind.
837,106	550,514	5,151	120 234,317	38,962	4,560	3,602	1771. t Ind. New Eng. Gallons.
85,056				640	20,259	4,674	West Ind.
937,820	47,736 520,525 50,716	1,915 6,115 2,078	9,832 371,334		1,815	117	1772 Ind. New Eng. Gallons.
94,225	50,716	2,078	530	6,688	1,815 23,250	10,963	Weft Ind.
1110,319 940,129 48,883 837,106 85,056 937,820 94,225 1,110,061	608,025	12,057	419,366	68,412	1,240	196	West Ind. New Eng. West Ind. New Eng. Gallons. Gallons.

Average of annual export | 1,041,149.

Such is the view which the Custom-house entries exhibit of the vast commerce of Rum; yet, were there doubtless considerable quantities, both imported, and fent out, of which there were no regular reports. The provincial duty in Canada gave rife there, as taxes have done in more vigilant governments, to the frauds of smuggling, to no small extent. It is known, that the New-Englanders supplied the fishers of Newfoundland with many hogsheads of that exhilerating spirit, which were not entered at the Custom-house. It is equally certain, that the New-Englanders used to smuggle the rum of their own distilleries, in abundance, together with small quantities of West-India, into the Orkney and Shetland illands, and upon the shores of Wales. It is surely no inconfiderable advantage, which the wisdom of our councils may draw from the independence of the United States, that the British West Indies will now enjoy the fole supply of so great a demand, as the confumption of Nova Scotia, Canada and Newfoundland; which with the augmentation of the people and their power to consume must grow daily greater: And, in fact, the supplies of the United States were no fooner stopped than rum was fent in exuberance to all those settlements from the West Indies and even from Britain. The West Indies, or perhaps the British distilleries, will now profit from furnishing our African factories with no inconfiderable quantity of a liquor, which the Afri-

R 2

cans, with the rage of every uncivilized people, prize beyond gold itself.

But a market for many gallons must nevertheless be found, if the anger of the United States should prove too powerful for their desires of gratification. The consumption of West-India products by the Irish has rapidly encreased with the accumulations of their number and wealth: And this truth we may infer from the subjoined detail\*.

The annual confumption, according to a feven years average, ending

Train p			Rum Gal.	7	The Sugar Cwt.
with	1763,	was	543,717	-	0
	1770		1,558,097	M Fac	158,846
	1777	motion	1,729,652	_	196,500

We may thence reasonably presume, that Ireland, having now gained a free trade with the world and a direct trade to the West-Indies, must consume greater quantities of both those articles, in proportion as she happily enjoys greater blessings. Among the numerous improvements, in sinance and in commerce, which Britain is preparing to make, under the influence of a mind of great extent and energy, we may presume, that the traffic of rum will be extended, either by lowering the duties, by changing the mode of collection, or above all, by suppressing the frauds of the smuggler. Every grievance of which the West-Indies have lately complained has arisen not from actual suffering,

<sup>•</sup> From the Appendix of Mr. A. Young's Tour in Ireland.

fering, but from mere speculation. The demand for all their products has been great, fince the peace, and the price has continued high in proportion. The West-Indians have not much to fear from the threats of the United States: For, though their Congress may possibly resolve, yet their citizens will continue even afterwards to smuggle, as they formerly did: They smuggled British manufactures, from Holland and New-York, during a rancorous war: They now fmuggle their flour into the Havannah, in the face of imprisonment and death. For the fugar, molasses, and other West India products, it will not be difficult to find adequate markets, by means of the vast circle of the British trade with the world. And thus much with regard to the manner in which the West-Indies have been hitherto affected, or are likely to be affected, by the recent regulations of the Privy Council.

Sudden changes in the political economy of such a nation as Britain, whose affairs become daily more complex, from the clash of different interests, ought to be regarded with anxiety and prevented with care. With what dread was the threatened annihilation of our American trade by the Congress, in 1774, viewed by the boldest of our Statesmen, who thought they saw the whole manufacturers of England already arrived at Whitehall. Yet, never did an event, which threatened such devastations in its course, pass away with so little mischief and so much silence, because its effects were unfelt. And in proportion as it added to

our stock of experience, it conferred many benefits on the nation, which that memorable measure was intended to ruin. Though we have thus acquired an indubitable privilege to be confident we can have no right to relinquish our prudence and our caution. It is impossible to foresee all the commercial difficulties, which may still arise, though none have been hitherto felt, from the independence of the United States. And it is surely wise, though we have learned to think little of American threats, to enquire what consequences, either good or evil, would result to the general commerce and navigation of Britain, from the admission of American ships into the British West-Indies.

Great-Britain from a regard to her fafety has long excluded the ships of aliens from carrying on her trade from port to port, on her shores, and from Britain to Guernsey and the other circumjacent islands. The coast-trade, next to our internal traffic, merits the greatest encouragement, because the sailors employed in it are most within call, by returning most frequently into domestic harbours. And owing to the exclusion of foreigners, the ships, which were employed before the revolt in the coast trade, were to the ships engaged in the foreign commerce of England, as 220,000 tons are to 335,000, exclusive of repeated voyages. shores of the British West-Indies may be regarded as the coasts of the empire, though not of the realm, their navigation ought to be confidered as within the meaning, if not within the letter of the law. law. And the admission of the American vessels, either great or small, into the West-India ports would amount, in effect, to the impolicy of allowing the Dutch to carry coals from Newcastle to London.

The regulation of the Statute of Charles II\*. whereby alien ships were excluded from the trade of our coasts, arose from three essential principles; 1stly. It preserved the profit of freights to the nation individually; 2dly. By forming a nursery of seamen it contributed to the safety of the people collectively;—3dly. By preventing aliens from knowing accurately our harbours and our bays with the shoals and the rocks, which obstruct the approach of an unskilful enemy, that circumstance alone contributes to augment the thousand advantages, which result in war, from the ignorance of the foe. Of all these in their order, as they apply to the admission of the American vessels into the British West-Indies.

1st. The profit of freights is of greater importance to Britain than the mines of Potosi are to Spain, because the one strengthens, while the others enseeble the unhappy nation to which they belong. Whence may we inser of how much advantage it is to preserve and extend the navigation of the West-Indies, which, from the bulkiness of their products and their supplies, employ many ships.

<sup>\* 12</sup> Cha. II. Chap. 18. Sec. 6. But, this falutary regulation was first established in the wifer reign of Elizabeth, by 5 Eliz. Chap. 5. Sec. 8.

ships. There were engaged in the traffic between the United States and the British West-Indies, immediately preceding the revolt, no sewer than 1610 vessels (including repeated entries) which bore 115,634 tons; which were navigated by 9718 men; and which transported the vast American cargo of the value of half a million: And this intercourse the West-India Committee assure us, "was carried on almost wholly in American bottoms."

Ingenious men have calculated the value of those freights in various ways: Say they, lumber, being of little worth, in proportion to its bulk, and occupying two thirds of the tonnage outwards, was carried at the high rate of a hundred per cent. on the original cost, while the freight of provisions, cumbersome as they are, amounted to nearly as much. To these data the Committee have added their testimony, by remarking, "that the American veilels brought their bulky commodities to our confumption at perhaps the cheapest rate posfible, but fill the expence of its transportation exceeded its original value." But, it is proposed, as a mode of calculation, more accurate and specific, to allow 45 per cent. on the value of the outward cargo of £. 500,000, including the accustomed charges of wages, interest, tear, and wear, and provisions, and then the freight would amount to £. 225,000; to charge five per cent. on the value of the inward cargo to the United States, amounting to £,400,000, and the freight inwards would be £. 20,000:

£ 20,000: And the result of both must necessarily be £.245,000. The same ingenious men moreover insist from actual trial, that were the freights calculated upon the tonnage in the accustomed mode, the deduction would be nearly the same. If the value of those freights should amount to nearly that annual sum, it cannot surely admit of a question, whether so large an yearly profit ought to be relinquished to aliens, or preserved to subjects: In the one case it would augment the wealth of rivals: in the other it would swell the stocks of friends.

If contrary to our genuine interests we should allow the American citizens the freights, amounting thus to £.245,000 a year, they would carry off that confiderable fum in bullion, fince the balance on the general payments is much in their favour: If, on the contrary, the freights should be paid to British ship-owners they would naturally invest the amount in trade, by purchasing the products of the country. Were the American vessels admitted, the American citizens would not only carry off the freights in bullion, but, they would gain the profit on the cargo: By excluding our rivals, British subjects, who fustain the British Government, will naturally gain both, with the factorage and other profits. If the good fense of the nation should decide, (and it generally decides right at last) that British vessels shall alone carry on that extensive trade, it must confequently follow, that a proportional quantity of shipping must always be found. Of the amount

that

that supply we may determine from the subjoined "Account of the number of vessels, their tonnage and men, which were employed in trading between the revolted Colonies and the British West Indies, according to a three years average ending with 1773, and rating each vessel but once every year:

Ships. Tons. Men. 533 38,544 3339

To which may be properly added one half for other American-owned veffels, which were employed in the Honduras and other branches of West-India trade

799 57,816 5008

It has been shewn, that Britain can furnish, this number of vessels, great as it is; that Britain ought in good policy to build them. Of the sagacious economy of Holland, which imports the materials of ship building, it is said, that were the innumerable busses, which are annually employed in sishing, to return without any success, the community would be greatly benefitted, by the gains that had accrued to the numerous classes, who had been concerned in the original outsit. And the landowners of Britain would derive no less advantage from

from the fale of their timber than from the confumption of the various workmen, who must be necessarily engaged in the fitting of ships: While the land owners are thus benefitted, by furnishing materials and food, the manufacturers of cloth will derive as great an advantage from the supplying of raimant. It was owing to these considerations, that Sir Josiah Child remarked upwards of a century ago: Where much shipping is employed, whatever becomes of the merchant, who drives the trade, multitudes of people will be certain gainers; as his Majetty and his officers of custom, besides, shipwrights, butchers, brewers, bakers, ropemakers, porters, seamen, manufacturers, carmen, lightermen, and all other artificers, who depend on trade and shipping; which indeed, more or less, the whole kingdom doth."

Of the seven hundred ships, (to write in round numbers) which were requisite to transport the West India products to Britain, we have seen one half of them sailing thither without a freight. It is now apparent that the late regulations have given those ships two chances for freights, where they had not formerly one: 1stly, The exclusion of the American ships will furnish them with direct loadings of lumber and provisions for the British West-Indies; 2dly, The owners may choose to carry a cargo of dry goods (as the siner manufactures are called) for the ports of the United States; and to transport thence a loading of lumber and provisions: This operation would form a circuitous voyage, which

of

of all others are the most profitable, because some. thing is gained by every loading. It is apparent how much the export of our manufactures would be thus promoted, by carrying them at the smallest possible freight: British vessels have actually entered into competition with the American, fince the peace, in this business, and even carried away the cargoes from them, by under-bidding them on 'Change. 3dly, If the Congress should prohibit, or obstruct, this circuitous transportation, British ships would not with standing have one option more, by excluding the American ships from the West-India ports: They might call at Corke for falted provisions; they might touch on the Barbary coast for mules and sheep; they might visit the Cape de Verd's for corn and cattle: And they might in fuperaddition carry a cargo to Gibraltar, or the Streights, to Portugal, or the Canaries, All this is possible; and all this by proper management might be made extremely gainful, were the minuteness of our diligence equal to the great extent of our capitals. In this manner is the community benefitted by the profit of freights, with the attendant factorage; which, by adding gradually accumulation to accumulation, imperceptibly swells the commercial stocks of the kingdom.

But, to those salutary measures it has been stoutly objected by the West-India Committee, that the vessels usually employed in that traffic are too large and come too seldom; so that their operations would produce either an overstock, by the quantity which

which they usually bring, or a famine, by the delays of their arrival: And to avoid these difficulties, great in appearance, though none in reality, it is proposed to admit the American vessels of the smaller fize, carrying fifty tons and under. But, if the frequent return of little ships are as essential to the domestic supply of the British West-Indies, as pedlars are to Poland, they ought to look for fubflitutes, if they cannot get the principals. And he who diligently inquires seldom misses his genuine The Bermudeans are the Dutch of the object. American world, who fetch from the North what the South may require, and who carry the luxuries of the South to gratify the palates of the North. They were engaged in this gainful business by their fituation, placed as they happily are in the center, between the American Continent and the American Islands; and they were driven to it by their necessities, fettled as they are on a barren rock, which diligence alone can fructify. And the Bermudeans annually employed in the West-India trade alone, at the commencement of the civil war, upwards of one hundred and thirty quick failing floops, which carried about four thousand tons \*: And of these admirable veffels they were accustomed to build every year from 45 to 50 of the cedars, which fpring up luxuriantly amid a waste of rocks. In: those vessels the Bermudeans used formerly to send the West-Indies, British and foreign, the following commodities, wherever they may have found them \*:

## PROVISIONS.

Of Indian corn	- 3,600 bushets.
Yams —	- 16,880 lbs.
Peafe and beans	— 600 bushels.
Rice	- 15,720 barrels.
Onions	— 151,000 ropes.
Poultry	- 741 doz.

## LUMBER.

Of oak boards and plank	3,300 feet.
Pine ditto	152,653 do.
Clapboards —	3,170 no.
Hoops — —	2,300 no.
Shingles —	229,000 no.
Štaves — —	28,900 no.

The Bermudeans, fince the peace, have engaged once more in this beneficial business, with the attention and diligence of traders, who are obliged to follow an economical commerce: And the Bermudeans have already gained, in exact proportion to the greatness of their efforts. Let not the West-Indians, while wallowing in wealth, deride the services and aid of those little men, who as subjects, at once quiet and active, merit equal protection.

Inspector General's books.

adly From the foregoing detail it is sufficiently evident what a fruitful nursery for ship-wrights, and mariners, and coopers, the enjoyment of many freights will always furnish the public. And nothing can be added to what Sir Josiah Child has so sensibly said: "This Kingdom being an island, it is our interest, as well for our preservation, as our profit, not only to have many seamen, but to have them, as much as may be within call, in a time of danger."

The free admission of the American naadly. vigators into the West India ports, by giving them accurate knowledge, would bring with it no flight danger to the community, or small inconvenience to our commerce. We all remember what advantages it gave the Americans in supporting their revolt, that they were perfectly acquainted with our European and West Indian coasts; that they spoke the same language; and that their persons and dress were nearly a like. To allow them to retain that knowledge, while it is dangerous to us, can never be right. During peace they would inveigle the British Seamen into the American service. During war they would furnish our enemies with pilots for every hostile invasion. Acquainted with every island and with every harbour, and speaking the same dialect; the American navigators, with the morality of seamen, would one day enter the ports as friendly traders; the next they would land on the coast as depredatory plunderers: By the first operation they would difcover the nakedness of the land: By the second they would carry away the Negroes from the fields and cut the ships from the Bays. Not content with plunder by land, the American privateers would prowl among the shoals of the Bahamas for the West India traders, who, as they returned through the neighbouring streights, might be dispersed by storm, or might be unconvoyed by accident. From such a state of things the danger to the West Indian planters and inconvenience to the British ship owners are manifest. But it is not easy to calculate the premiums, which the insurers would ask to save harmless the unfortunate trader, during a war of treachery as well as of force.

Those are not all the disadvantages that would necessarily result to the commerce and navigation of the British empire, by admitting the American navigators into the West India ports. The West Indians loudly clamoured in 1731," That the Northern Colonists carried away considerable quantities of cash to the French Islands, wherewith they bought rum, fugar, and molaffes." That this complaint was founded we may suppose, from its being always continued. The French then were fupplied with bullion, which ought to have been remitted to Britain, in payment of debts. That cash was often carried to the continental colonies is a fact, which may be proved, by the direct evidence of the Inspector General's books: The truth is confirmed, by the state of the balance of trade between them. The value of the annual cargo, which was usually sent by the revolted Colonies to the British West Indies amounted, according to a three years average ending with 1773 to £.500,000; the West-India products, which were carried away in return amounted at £.400,000; and the freights to £245,000, besides their profits. Hence, the balance of trade between them rose to £.345,000. This is not a small sum to be carried off from the British Dominions; and which had been otherwise transmitted to Britain, perhaps in liquidation of ballances. Were there £.345,000 yearly imported in bullion, that fountain would probably be sufficient to feed the stream of our circulation; which, like the flow of our Thames, ought to run—

"Gentle, yet not dull; Strong without rage, without o'erflowing full."

The vast concatenation of payments, public as well as private, depends on the sullness and slow of that circulation. The prosperity of our manufactures and trade; the invigoration of our credit; necessarily result from the punctuality of those payments. The industrious classes are all enabled by manufacture, and traffic, and credit, to accumulate savings, (and their accumulations have long supported the pillars of the State) which gradually augment the commercial capital of the kingdom. And in this manner is it of the greatest consequence to the general commerce of the empire to exclude the American vessels from the West India ports.

the Benefit WWell Ins

Of a subject, thus interesting, because our lafety and our opulence are both involved in its discussion. let us take another view. To those who delight, in tracing the varieties of human character, or in marking the minute occurrences of human transactions, nothing has ever appeared more firiking, or unaccountable, than the difference, in policy and fuccess, between the British West Indies and the The first was bred in the lap of luxury; the fecond was reared in the school of misfortune: The first was gratified with a government of free. dom and indulgence; the fecond was ruled by a fystem of regulations and rigor. The French planter entered the West India world with feeble efforts, because he was depressed by penury; he gradually added to his little flock by his care; his attentive profits, however small in the beginning, added accumulation to accumulation; a plantation of coffee, which required few hands to tend it, was at length enlarged into a plantation of fugar: And in this manner the French West Indies role up with a rapidity and vigour, which aftonished the inattentive and ignorant, while the British West Indians, who formed a character the direct reverle of the French, were constantly asking protection and encouragement. But who can support the indolent and careless, the proud and the magnificent, who began the world perhaps with borrowed money, on usurious interest? The French planters found capitals in their own resources: The British found capitals in England. And the British West Indians

dians have been at all times greatly indebted to Britain for the money, which fettled and improved their sugar-works, which though withdrawn from productive occupations at home could not be eafily brought back from abroad. Fifty million are now faid to be employed in the West India estates: But, if that valt fum, or even the half of it, could at this moment be revelled in domestic employments, how much more would it promote the commercial prosperity of Britain. To recover debts in our colonies has been a necessary measure, which fometimes attracted our legislative care, without suc-And to admit the Americans into the West India ports is to augment a deplorable evil, by enabling the planters to fend those products to the United States, which ought to have been transmitted to Britain, in part of payment of the interest and principal of their British Debts.

Of those engaging topicks let us take a parting view. The New Englanders have long grown rich, by practising a pedling trade with the revolted Colonies and with the West India Islands. The miscellaneous cargoes, wherewith they supplied the American world, were composed of the various petty articles, which a dispersed people cannot easily want, yet cannot readily fetch. If the American navigators are admitted into the West India ports the New Englanders will extend this gainful traffic and the other American Traders, invited by their gains, will follow their successful example. Their free intercourse at present with France, Holland, and Hamburgh,

not in their own thips alone, will greatly facilitate that traffic. Thus the American citizens will supply the West India planters with the filks of France, with the groceries of Holland, and with the linners of Germany, in opposition to the manufactures of Bri-The British woollens are unsuitable to the fultriness of the West India climate and the British linnens and cottons will be rejected for the cheaper linnens of Germany and the more shewy fabricks of France. Interest will in this manner concur with vanity to support the smuggler against the preventive officer. And in this manner will the manufactures of Britain be depressed, and the morals of the planters corrupted, while the falutary principle of colonization will be circumvented, if not destroyed.

Having thus introduced rivals to our domestic manufactures, the American traders would ere long equally interrupt our East India commerce. They have lately sent a vessel from Philadelphia to China. And having thus made a beginning they will be carried forward in their enterprizing progress, by the markets which they will find in the West Indies, in opposition to the more expensive supplies of our own East India Company. "To wink at such proceedings, said Doctor D'Avenant, in 1698, has been lately the practice of corrupt governors; and if speedy care be not taken, those abuses will grow too inveterate, or too big for correction. So that in process of time, those colonies (if they fall

into the practice of trading independently of England) may erect themselves into independent commonwealths, or piratical societies, which at last we shall not be able to master; by which means the plantations, that are now a main branch of our wealth, may become a strength to be turned against us." And in this manner would the commerce and navigation of Britain be injured, nearly in the proportion, wherein the American vessels should be admitted to traffic in the West India ports.

§. 3. The attentive reader, having thus seen the channel of our American trade freed from every obstruction, and such salutary regulations established, as require sew amendments, is at length impatient to know, what necessity there is for a commercial treaty with the United States, or what advantages it would bring to Great Britain, were it already agreed on. It is now proposed to answer questions, which involve our domestic quiet and foreign interests, by a very short discussion.

The origin of commerce may be traced up to that most early epoch the introduction of property among mankind. When the individual was allowed to appropriate, what supplied his wants, or promoted his convenience, he acquired a right, that could not be divested, without his consent, which introduced trade, or by force, which gave rise to war. In this manner men, from observing each others needs and respecting the possessions of each, learned the arts of mutual commutation, by finding an equivalent, which by an easy progress

selfential to commerce, because consent is always implied: When compulsion is introduced warfare in the same moment begins. Yet, the liberty of all must necessarily arise from the restraint, which is imposed on the appetites of each, since it is the clash of many wills, that produces anarchy, the worst foe of freedom. And hence we may infer the truth of the celebrated position of Montesquieu that the constraint of the merchant is not the constraint of trade.

The affociation of many individuals, to regard each others rights, and to redrefs each others wrongs, formed a community. The various modifications of mens passions, their likes and diflikes, gave rife to many communities. But, as the compacts, which bound the affociators together, no further restrained mens previous privileges, than was effential to the being and end of the com. pact, it necessarily followed, that the community coldeclively enjoyed the rights of individuals separately. Societies learned ere long, that they too had wants, which could only be fatisfied, by fending equivalents to neighbouring tribes. And hence arose the commerce, between neighbouring communities, and by means of navigation, between the most distant ones. In this new communication the same liberty of choice and the same re-Araint of appetite prevailed, as there had existed in the traffic of individuals. Hence, though every flate had a right to communicate its wants and to offer its equivalents, every other body of men poffeffed

feffed the same privilege of judging with regard to the value of those equivalents, by setting a higher price on its surplus products, which it may even refuse to sell, when the buyer acts unreasonably.

From those simple principles the writers on the law of nations have juffly inferred, that the obligation of trading with a foreign country is a right, necessarily imperfect, fince the one party has the fame privilege to determine for itself, whether such a commerce would be detrimental, as the other had to offer its equivalents, adopting reason as the guide, because nothing which is unreasonable can ever be right. But, every fociety being obliged, fays Vattell, to trade with others, only as far as it can without being wanting to itself, the success of the transaction will always depend on the judgment each State shall form of what it can and ought to do in particular cases: The freedom of trade depending generally on the judgment of another must be always uncertain, and the right of commerce must confequently be ever imperfect. In this manner were commercial treaties introduced among mankind, in order to secure a constant rule and punctual transactions, which could no longer be broken or varied; without incurring the blame of infringing a compact, that both parties had voluntary formed. Such is the fource to which jurists trace up the origin of commercial treaties, which, it is apparent, may in their formations narrow the general right of traffic, and may, in their end, relinquish more than they had gained.

Every nation having thus a full right to regulate its own commercial affairs, by the rule of what is advantageous, or hurtful, may make those treaties of commerce, which its interest required, and which implies the approbation of another, or it may regulate its foreign, as well as domellic. trade, by its municipal law, which is founded on its own sense of utility, without asking the world's consent. But that regulation is properly faid to be alone just and commendable, which is formed with a tenderness for the wants and supplies of mankind, having a regard to the bounds of possibility, and the reasonableness of the measure. And hence it is apparent, that the true mode of judging of every proffered treaty is its falutariness, or dangers, its usefulness, or disadvantage.

Many clamour for a commercial treaty with the United States, without confidering for a moment, whether it would be hurtful or convenient; how far our laws have already established every regulation which our interest requires. Writers have submitted to the world sketches of such projects of traffic, between the two countries, as they thought would be most beneficial and wise, were the Legislature to enact them into rules, that all should be required to obey. It were to be wished, that the same writers had published the draught of a comercial treaty with the United States, that every one might have examined its principles, and approved or condemned its stipulations, as they had stood the test of usefulness, or of disadvantage.

Let us, to supply this defect suppose, that the French Commercial Treaty of 1778, or the Dutch Treaty, which they have not purchased with their accustomed caution or economy, had been proposed to our acceptance, we shall thereby have an opportunity of judging of the web, by inspecting the woof and the warp. And with this view it is proposed to examine minutely the treaties, which have been held up as examples to other nations, that we may determine, whether they ought to be accepted, as useful, or rejected, as either too trislings or too unfalutary, or as containing regulations, which our laws have already adopted.

The three Treaties are founded in fimilar principles: The French in perfect equality; the Dutch in reciprocal utility; and the British in liberal equity: And they all three establish peace among the nations, for the continuance of which every wife and good man ought to pray; fince from war no good can refult; from war with the American States nothing can be gained, but much might be loft. By the French and Dutch Treaties it was mutually agreed: " Not to grant any particular favour, in respect of commerce and navigation, which shall not become common to the other." From this stipulation, which, from the simplicity of its language, sets all construction at defiance, it is apparent enough, That the United States can grant to Great Britain no special immunities, whatever price she might be willing to pay: They cannot grant to Great Britain the exclusive privilege of alone supplying them with her woollens and hardware, in confideration of admiting

J

their vessels into the ports of the British West. Nothing contrary to the tenour of the Indies. treaty can be granted, fays Vattel, to a third party. Such exclusive privileges ought not to be accepted, were it in the power of the United States to confer them, because monopolies are always viewed with jealoufy, while they are feldom enjoyed to their full extent. The United States have therefore no boon to grant, which ought to be regarded as an equivalent for commercial privileges, that might be disadvantageous to Britain. And the two Commonwealths of America and the Netherlands have avowed, as the basis of their Commercial Treaty, " That all burdensome preferences are the usual fources of debate, embarrassment and discontent."

It is stipulated by Article 3, 4, 5, of the French and Article 2d of the Dutch Treaty,—"That the people of the Contracting Powers shall pay no more, or greater imposts, in the ports of each other than the most favoured nations pay, and shall enjoy every exemption in trade and navigation, whether in sailing from port to port, in the same country, or in navigating thence to foreign nations." But, we have already scen, that the American citizens, as merchant strangers, are entitled by our laws to the privilege of paying no other duties at our Custom-house, than denizens pay in the most friendly ports: We have seen too, what is still more advantageous, that by our regulations, since

<sup>\*</sup> From 9 Hen. III. ch. 9; 5 Hen. IV ch. 7; and 12 Char. II. ch. 4. which requires, that aliens shall be honestly entreated as to the payment of taxes, at the Custom-house.

the peace, the United States are freed from the payment of all taxes on the importation of their products; from the payment of those alien duties which all other aliens were then obliged to pay. Whether the Commercial Treaties with France and the Netherlands, or the spontaneous regulations of Britain, convey, in this respect the greatest exemptions and most valuable privileges to the United States, does not therefore admit of a question. France indeed and Holland have allowed the American citizens, as they allow all other aliens, on the payment of superior duties to subjects to participate in their coast trade, which Britain has abfolutely denied to them, as she had long denied to every other alien. A regard to her defence and fafety established the general rule. And a respect for the law of nations forbids any foreign power from taking umbrage at a domestic measure, so prudent in its origin and so salutary in its confequences.

By the 9th Article of the French Treaty, the ships and mariners of the Contracting Powers were restrained from sishing in any of the havens, creeks, roads, coasts, or places of the others, under the penalty of consistation; and the United States moreover stipulated not to molest the French in sishing on the Banks or in the vicinity of Newfoundland. On the delicate subject of sishing the Dutch were cautiously silent. On the other hand, by our Treaty of Peace with the United States, they were allowed the full right of fishing on the Banks

U 2

of Newfoundland, on the shores of Nova Scotia and Labradore, with the beneficial freedom of drying their sish on their unoccupied coasts. The difference then to the United States, between the British and French Treaties, amounts to this, whether the granting, or refusing a favour, is the most advantageous and kind.

The droit d'aubane is relinquished by France, and the right of disposing by testament is acknowledged by Holland. The Dutch, with their usual policy have allowed liberty of conscience and of sepulture: But the French are filent on these subjects of religious scrupulosity. The Dutch thought it of importance to stipulate; that parties may employ their own factors or attornies; that ship-masters may be allowed to manage their own affairs and may load and unload with the freedom of subjects. Were a British statesman to boast of such stipulations he would incite the ridicule of the wits without insuring the approbation of the grave. The law of England, (as we have already feen,) allows every alien friend to dispose of his effects by testament, or preserves them for his next of kin. rights of conscience have been at length recognized; have been happily adopted into our liberal fystem. The melancholy privilege of burial our humanity denies neither to the Jew, the Turk, nor the Infidel. And in a country which has been honourably denominated, The Land of Liberty, every stranger may exert his own diligence, or use the address of an agent, or engage the abilities of a lawyer. lawyer. The jurisprudence of the United States fully coincides with the laws of Great Britain, in all those respects. And by all those slipulations the United States gained from France and Holland, who were not exactly informed of American modes, considerable immunities, without granting equivalents; since the mere protection of law can with no propriety be deemed the communication of benefits, which might have been denied.

We may equally apply the foregoing remarks to the various stipulations of those treaties in cases of shipwreck; in the protection which is agreed to be given to ships when pursued by pirates; to the option which is given the owners in the breaking of bulk \*. In those unfortunate cases the humanity of the law of England has adopted the memorable declaration of Constantine: " If any thip be at any time driven on thore by tempest let the owner have it; for what right has my Exchequer in another man's calamity." This fentiment, fo worthy of a great prince, has been adopted and enforced by our own Edward I +. The zeal of our Parliament for the rights of human nature hath declared him a felon, who shall plunder a stranded ship, and him, who with greater malice, shall exhibit false lights on purpose to bring navigators into danger: And these declarations, equally humane as

By 28 Ed. III. chap. 13. fec. 3, and 20 R. II. ch. 4, no hips shall be constrained to come into port, or restrained in selling their goods.

wife, are little more than the revival of the virtuous laws of Henry II, whom no monarch need be afraid to copy. By the Statute of 31 Henry VI. ch. 4, which remains still unrepealed, for the honour of our laws, it was enacted, "That if any of the King's subjects attempt, or offend upon the sea. 'or within the King's obeyfance, against any stranger, in amity, or under fafe conduct, especially by attacking hisperson or robbing him of his goods, the Judges may cause full restitution and amends to be made to the party injured." And by a more modern flatute\* it is declared to be piracy to correspond with pirates, or to board forcibly any merchant veffel, though without forcing, or carrying her off, and destroying, or throwing overboard any of her goods. Let no foreign navigator therefore doubt, whether he is entitled to full protection from pirates, in the seas and ports of Britain. In a country, where the people have made it an article of their Charter to give fecurity to foreign merchants, no alien friend need fear for the safety of his person, his property, or his re-And he may learn indeed from Monputation. tesquieu, " That in Britain he has no occasion to lose an infinite deal of time at the Custom-house; where he has no use for a particular commissioner either to obviate all the difficulties of the farmers, or to fubmit to them." Whether, on this head of the subject, the treaties of Holland France, or the laws of Great Britain, are the most honourable in their origin, the most efficacious in their operation with regard to the United States, is a question, which requires surely no further illustration.

But, the more to facilitate the commerce of the United States, France has slipulated to grant them one or more free ports, in Europe, and to continue to them the free ports, which had been already opened in the French West Indies. If that is a free port, where goods may be freely landed without paying a duty, Great Britain has already established various free ports within the Kingdom. In them the tobaccos of the United States may be landed without any expence: All their other unmanufactured products, which prudence allowed them to bring, may be entered without payment of What France then only stipulated to do, Great Britain has actually done: In the United States there are higher duties collected on shipping and goods of aliens than on those of citizens: In Britain the American citizens pay no taxes on the importation of their merchandizes: And of conlequence Great Britain has done more for the United States by her laws, than France and Holland have done, by their treaties. When the United States obtained at length, what they had long wished and lately fought for, the laws of Great Britain interposed a bar, between her remaining Colonies and them. The British Government opened a Great market in the British West Indies for the products of the United States, by fostening

the rigour of those laws, in favour to them, while all other foreigners were notwithstanding shut out. In this view of the subject, the admitting a qualified importation amounted to a qualified free port. which is all that the French have allowed. The defign of the act of navigation, fays D'Avenant, was to make those Colonies as much dependant as posfible upon their mother country. To dmit the American ships into the British West Indies contrary to the policy of that law, is to establish an universal free port and with it the independence of those Colonies. And we have learned from experience, what indeed we might have known without the cost of a hundred millions, that the abfolute independence of our transatlantic territories is much more advantageous to Britain than their virtual dependence: In the one case, she enjoys all their commercial advantages, without the weighty burthen of their defence: In the other, she is subjected to the valt charge of protecting them, without enjoying any greater benefit from their trade, than all the world enjoys.

We have now fairly compared the commercial advantages, which the United States derive, on the one hand, from their late treaties with Holland and France, and on the other, from the established laws of Great Britain. Let justice decide according to the representations of candour, from which of those fources a wife people might draw the greater benefits, were gratitude placed in the chair of preindice

There are indeed in those treaties a variety of slipulations relative to a state of hostilities. But. war is not the bufiness of life. And every man, either prudent or humane, ought to wish, that it were less frequent in its recurrence and less extended in its duration. Yet, treaties either to regulate: its commencement, or to direct its operations, are by no means necessary. And our laws already contain every regulation, that a wife people ought to defire, or a cautious peopleought to grant. "All merchants, fays our GREAT CHARTER, shall be fafe and fecure in coming into England, and going out of England, and staying and travelling through England, as well by land as by water, to buy and to fell, without any unjust exactions, according to ancient and right customs, except in time of war, and if they be of a country against us. And if fuch are found in our dominions, at the beginning of a war, they shall be apprehended, without injury of their bodies and goods, until it be known to us, or to our chief justiciary, how the merchants of our country are treated in the country at war against us; and if ours are safe there, the others shall be safe in our country". This is the clause which hath justly conferred celebrity on England. And of this figual conflicution Britons may boalt in every quarter of the globe, where thips fail, or merchants trade. Now, who will fay, that he cap form a treaty, more falutary in its principle, or more judicious in its means, than the declaration, which our vigorous ancestors demanded and obtained, at

X

that memorable epoch, in favour of merchants and trade.

But, while it is admitted, that the American citizens will derive a thousand benefits from the emanations of our system, it may be properly asked, what security do British subjects enjoy from the laws of the United States? Is there reciprocity; is there mutual protection? Yes: It may easily be shewn, that the sundamental laws of the United States are exactly analogous to the sundamental laws of Great-Britain, in all those rules, which give security to the citizen and protection to the stranger.

The laws of a people, whatever they may be, are the liberties, to which they are the most attached, and which they defend with the greatest ardour. The common law, the ancient statutes of England, had been all carried by the English fettlers, into the American colonies, as their birthright, or afterwards adopted by usage, or sometimes recognized by the politive acts of their fubordinate legislatures. It is furely curious to trace the operation of that principle, amidst the unnatural contests of the parent and her children, about their mutual rights. And we shall find by no long refearch, that the revolted colonists have interwoven the laws of England into the very texture of their fundamental constitutions, while their animolities were the most warm and rancorous.

We may learn the truth of that exhilerating position, from an examination of what each of the United

United States thought proper to do, when they were about to form governments for themselves. The constitutions of New-Hampshire and Massachusett's expressly provided, "that all laws theretofore used shall remain in force till altered."-Rhode Island and Connecticut retained their ancient forms and laws, which had been at all times fufficiently democratic and even independent .-New-York declared, " that fuch parts of the common law and statutes of England and acts of Assembly, as formed the law of the province on the 9th of April 1775, shall continue the laws of the Commonwealth." New-Jersey followed implicitly the example of New-York, as it had always done. Pennfylvania has made the declaration of rights part of her fundamental constitutions. The Delaware State referved expressly the common and statute law as they had been formerly adopted and practifed. Maryland declared, " that her citizens were entitled to the common and statute law of England, which had been used and approved, in the province. Virginia, with a zeal which shewed more of her rancour than her prudence, directed that the executive powers of government should be exercised according to the laws of the Commonwealth, but should under no pretence exercise any power or prerogative by virtue of any law, statute, or custom of England: Yet, this declaration formed a direction to the Virginian Governors rather than a system for the Virginian Judges. And we may presume that the X 2 general general web of their jurisprudence d been woven of the warp of common law and the woof of ancient statutes. We may infer this from their general revifal \* in 1663, when the affembly "endeavoured in all things, as near as the capacity and constitution of the country would admit to adhere to those excellent and often refined laws of England, to which they acknowledged all reverence and obedience." Yet, the principal Virginians were too prudent to leave it in doubt how far their ancient laws should operate even after the revolt: And the Affembly paffed an act, in 1776; declaring, "that all the laws formerly in force should continue, till abrogated." North Carolina with greater prudence intwined The Declaration of Rights about the root of her fundamental constitution: And with equal wisdom enacted expressly, in 1777, "that the common and statute law theretofore used should continue in force." South Carolina declared, by her original affociation, " that all laws then practifed should remain till repealed." Georgia adopted into her fundamental constitution nothing more than the Habeus-corpusact: But, if we may believe the affirmation of her Chief Justice Walton \*, " the law of England has been studded into the Georgian system." And thus have we found, that the often refined laws of England have been studded into all the American fyslems. The American youth still continue to read

<sup>\*</sup> Laws, p. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Georgia Gazette, 13 May, 1784.

the experienced practifer continues under their new forms to quote the various reporters of what has been faid and decided in Westminster hall; to which American Judges still pay all the reverence, which is due to the collected wisdom of that vast depositary of civil wisdom and legal science. And the general jurisprudence of England and the United States must consequently be very nearly the same, however different the forms of their government may be.

Having thus established the general principle we may properly enquire, how it applies to British fubjects, as to the imposing disabilities, or giving them protection. If the American citizens are aliens in England, British subjects must necessarily be aliens within the United States. If any government was ever founded in actual campact the constitution of the United States must be allowed to have originated from the hallowed fource of positive flipulation. But, perfons, who were no parties to the American affociations, can never be entitled to the privileges resulting from the American compacts, to members of them. The people of Europe, who were quiet spectators of the struggle for independence, are consequently aliens Much more are British to the United States. subjects, who opposed the formation of those confederacies, aliens to the American governments. And in fact we find the European people confidered by the legislative and judicial powers of

the

the United States altogether as aliens, who are entitled to none of their immunities, fince they owe them no obedience. It was the peace, which converted British subjects, who had been alien enemies, into alien friends. Now, every privilege and protection, which belong to the American citizens, as alien friends, in Britain, equally appertain to British subjects, as alien friends, within the United States, with respect to the security of their persons, their property, and their reputation; because the laws of both countries are thus substantially the same \*. And the jurisprudence of both countries

\* It ought not be concealed, because it militates against the doctrines maintained in the text, that Walton, the Chief Justice of Georgia, and his associates, determined, since the peace, that Perkins, a British merchant, and alien friend, could not support an action of debt against a citizen. [Georgia Gazette, 13 May, 1784.] That decision seems however to have been universally reprobated. It may gratify a reasonable desire of information to see the opinion of Mr. Stirk, the Attorney-General, and Mr. Stephens, another Georgia lawyer, which was taken the 10th of May, 1784, and published on that signal occasion, in the same Gazette:

"The judgment of the Chief Justice and his associates, given in Chatham county last term, (relative to the privilege of British merchants, who remained in Savannah after the evacuation, of suing the citizens of this State) may be looked upon as laudable, but transient characters, although British subjects, who have arrived since, claim that right from the duties which they pay to the State, over and above those paid by other merchants, who reside here. Should the sountain of justice be stopped against them, and they prohibited from prosecuting for their lawful demands, all commerce

countries giving thus mutual protection to the inhabitants of both, feems to preclude the necessity of a commercial treaty, which would be less reverenced in its stipulations, and less powerful in its effects.

But, if the established laws of the two countries in this manner exclude the necessity of a commercial treaty we may yet inquire, whether it would be advantageous, or useful. "It has been observed of this nation, said D'Avenant, in 1698, that we have lost by treaties, what we had gained by valour; that we have been constantly outwitted

in

will be annihilated, and the greatest distress to the citizens will follow. By the statute law of England, which is also in full force in this State, aliens are allowed under that Government to maintain actions for personal chattels. Aliens (even British subjects) in the State of South Carolina are allowed to sue the citizens and are entitled to a jury medictate lingua, in suits against them, if they choose. There have been even transient Courts allowed them: And this seems to be founded on the privileges aliens are entitled to in all countries, except where they are particularly prevented. Aliens may trade as freely as other people, though subject to higher duties, and as they are not considered as citizens in this State pay duties accordingly."

When the Chief Justice had admitted, by his decision, that the laws of England were studded into the Georgian system, he could not, as a legician, or a lawyer, deny the inevitable inference of law: That an alien friend, could maintain an action of debt, in the tribunals of Georgia. Little did Mr. Walton reslect, amid the clamours of the times, which ought to have inspired him with caution rather than rashness, that judgments against apparent right are considered by every law as sufficient causes for granting letters of reprizal.

in ftipulations we have made with foreign States and Princes, as well concerning interest as dominion: And it is very evident, that they who could never face us in the field, have over-reached us in the cabinet; and all along we have feemed stronger in a battle, than in council." The unequal agreements of the Portugal treaty, of 1703, had been a sufficient justification of D'Avenant's remark had not more modern treaties confirmed it. And it is owing to the wailings of impatience, the roar of ignorance, or to the incitements of interest, that our statesmen are constantly driven from their better purpose into improvident compacts, and are often obliged to facrifice the real interest of their country to their own quiet. This is a degeneracy however, against which virtuous resolution ought always to flruggle: And this is a motive for placing the fubject of commercial treaties in every possible light; as some of them may catch the eye of inattention, or others of them may illuminate the dark defigns of avarice, or of faction.

It is furely a previous question of no small moment, whether there at present exists within the United States, any power, which can lawfully conclude a commercial treaty. By the 9th article of the American confederations the Congress are invested with the sole and exclusive right, (nine States being represented in Congress) of entering into treaties and alliances; provided that no treaty of commerce shall be made, whereby the legislative power of the respective States shall be restrained, from imposing such

fuch imposts on foreigners, as their own people pay; or whereby each State shall be hindred from prohibiting the exportation, or importation, of any species of goods whatever. These contradictory stipulations created no new absurdity in the American history and jurifprudence. We all remember the famous resolves of their colonial representatives in Assembly, during turbulent times: We the Delegates have the fole right of imposing taxes on the good people of this Province, with the consent of the Upper House, and Governor. By article the 6th no State without the consent of the Congress can fend any embassy to, or receive any embaffy from, any King, Prince, or State, or enter into any conference, agreement, alliance, or treaty with them. Thus, have the jealousies of the United States prevented the establishment of a competent power, among them, for the concluding of commercial agreements. And that fatal conclusion is amply confirmed by recent experience, which hath shewn us the individual States bufily, perhaps factiously, employed, in empowering the Congress to regulate commerce, for the special purpose of retaliation, or redress.

Yet, though armed with all those powers, either general or particular, the Congress lately sat from November to June, without executing any measure of retaliation or redress, on the difficult subject of their West-India trade. They, on this occasion, made use of their accustomed intrigues, to incite the traders to clamour for prohibitions, or at least restrictions. Meetings of Merchants were held,

in different States, in pursuance of insligatory letters, to confider of means to invigorate the Congress, by adding the force of previous affent to the noise of popular complaint. Yet, fearing perhaps the renewment of non-importation agreements, or regarding more their genuine interests, the traders resolved in secret: That Britain, when regulating her colonial commerce, had done nothing more than Spain, Portugal, and France, continued to do: that though they felt an inconvenience from the Well India restrictions they could not justly complain of an injury; and that it would be wifer to consider of modes of circumvention than to adopt measures of force. In the end the Congress did nothing, in order to enable individuals to find means to promote, each his particular interest.

The Congress however, about the beginning of May 1784, entered into certain resolutions\*, by which

June 1784, contains the following resolves and recommendations of Congress: "That it be recommended to the Legislatures of the several States to vest the United States, in Congress assembled, (nine States to be represented in Congress and assenting) for the term of sistent years, with power to prohibit any goods, wares, and merchandizes, from being imported into, or exported from any of the States, in vessels belonging to, or navigated by, the subjects of any power with whom these States shall not have formed treaties of commerce; and also with the power, during the same term, of prohibiting the subjects of any foreign State, Kingdom, or Empire, unless authorized by treaty, from importing into the United States any goods, wares, or merchandize, which

which they probably intended, to hold up to the eyes of Europe the appearance of their former promptitude and vigour, while their apparent energy concealed the most incurable irresolution and impotence. Like other limited powers the Congress easily find an excuse when they find themfelves unable to act. When they thought proper to delay the forming of any arrangement of garrifons for the western and northern posts they declared in February last the reason to be; that nine States had been then only represented for a few days, nor could it be considered till the States became more attentive to keeping up a full representation in Congress \*. When they are pressed by the incapacity of paying either the principal or interest of their debts, they very coolly, but truly, avowt, that some of the States have either refused, declined, or modified their recommendations for taxes. Experience fince the peace, were we to difregard what happened during the war, ought to convince all reasonable men how impossible it is to procure the assent of thirteen democratic assemblies, much less of four and twenty ‡, to any measure which involves the complicated interests of all: And the diffent of

are not the produce or manufacture of the dominions of the Sovereign, whose subjects they are."—Such is the issue of the Congress's pregnancy.

\* Same Continental Journal.

+ By the Budget 1784.

that have been lately established in the vast country, which is bounded by the Ohio, the Mississippi, and the Lakes.

one State, like the veto of Poland, prevents effectually the success of the most earnest recommendations; because the powers given by the respective affemblies are generally qualified with a proviso, that the other affemblies likewise concur. And while some of the States lately imposed restrictive duties on commerce, Connecticut and New Jersey opened free ports, with a wifer purpose and more certain benefit.

But, let us admit, that the Congress are already invested with all the powers, which they have thus requested of the Thirteen States, what character, we may ask, would they exhibit to the eyes of Europe: They would doubtless appear to Russia, who has refused to enter into treaty with them, and to other powers, who have not yet thought it necessary, or found it convenient, to form any commercial compacts, as a bully, who with a pen in one hand, and a fword in the other, declares: You shall treat, or you shall not trade. But, the coward alone submits to the uplifted cudgel: And the people, who allow their opponent to write the terms of peace, or of commerce, while the fword is brandished over the tablet, confess to the world, that they are in the last stage of decline. To those however, who know the Congress the best, that famous assembly, in the character, which they have thus chosen to assume for themselves, will more likely resemble the boy, who was humoroufly exhibited by Reynolds, in the dress and figure of Harry VIII. and who impreffed

pressed the mind with the idea of a personage of great bulk with little force.

The agents of Congress sometimes act, as if they thought the world were ignorant of their affairs, and were unacquainted with their jurisprudence. This truth we might even collect from those clauses of the French and Dutch treaties, which stipulate feveral benefits in favour of the United States, without other equivalent than the protection of laws, which cannot be denied. The French however are now fully informed of their affairs, as we may learn from the following anecdote. In order to gain from Monf. De Vergennes an extension of commerce, or additional privileges, the Congress agents flattered lately, and fometimes foothed, and at length bullied the great Minister of a powerful nation: Why, Gentlemen, faid Monf. de Vergennes peevifuly, you talk, as if you thought. I were unacquainted with your powers and with the inability of your Congress to grant ampler one's; or as if I knew not, that the treaty, which I have already made with you, will be no longer kept, than the Congress stand in need of his Majesty's bounty, or than the individual States find an interest in fulfilling it." Without the fagacity of De Vergennes we may infer indeed, from the terms of the confederation, that every affembly possesses a referved right, to impose as high cuftoms on foreigners, as shall at any time be paid by their own people, notwithstanding any treaty; and may prohibit the import, or restrain their export,

of any goods, even contrary to the most solemn stipulations. So absurd it is to attempt to modify the sovereign power under a republican any more than under a monarchical form: So inconsistent is the exercise, or even existence, of two sovereign powers, much more thirteen, or four and twenty sovereign powers, at one and the same time, within the same empire. While the Congress can neither impose taxes, nor regulate trade, they ought to be regarded as a body of men, with whom it is illusory and idle to form a commercial treaty.

It is doubtless a question of still higher confequence to inquire, whether the United States have faithfully executed the late Treaty of Peace, before we deliberately enter into any new one. It has been faid, by a professed apologist of Congress indeed \*; that the refentment, which America felt against this country, during the war, subsided very unexpectedly at the peace, though it did not at that time against the American Refugees; that strong marks of a disposition to relinquish their resentments and fettle in peace have already appeared: For, many Refugees have been restored in different parts of America: And the Legislature of South Carolina passed an act in their last session, which extends to almost the whole number—" for restoring certain persons to their estates and permitting them to return to this State."—Happy for the present interests of the United States and for their future glory had this extenuating

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Champion's Considerations on Commerce, p. 229.31.

extenuating representation been as perfectly true as it is extremely questionable, in the most essential affirmations.

If the faithful performance of a folemn Treaty is an object of any consequence to a great nation, jealous of the honour of fovereignty, it must be furely of use to inquire minutely, how the American Treaty has been actually executed. The Articles of Peace, which were concluded with the United States, on the 30th of November 1782, were denominated Provisional, because it was agreed that they should not constitute a Treaty, till terms of peace, were agreed on between Great Britain and France. When the French Treaty was ere long fettled, the Articles that had been thus Provisional, became at once obligatory; and formed consequently the rules of conduct for the Contracting Powers. It was in conformity to this reasoning, that the French Preliminary Treaty was formally published, when it arrived at Philadelphia on the 24th of March 1783, as the Provisional Articles had been announced to the American world by Congress, twelve days before: It was in conformity to the foregoing reafoning, that the Congress proclaimed a cessation of arms on the 11th of April 1783; when hostilities immediately ceased and prisoners were soon afterdischarged. And the general position, That the Provisional Articles bound the Congress from the day on which they received them, and the citizens of the United States from the moment those Articles

were made known to them, is conformable to the law of nations and is confirmed by the practice of the civilized world." "A Treaty of Peace, fays Vattel, binds the contracting parties from the moment of its conclusion, unless it is otherwise stipulated. When no term is assigned for the accomplishment of the Treaty, common sense dictates, that every point should be executed as soon as possible. And the faith of Treaties equally excludes from the execution of them all neglect, all dilatoriness, and all deliberate delays."

The recommendations, which had been stipulated to be earnestly made by Congress: and which ought thus to have been issued with all convenient speed; were studiously delayed notwithstanding the dictates of the law of nations and the requests of the British Commander of the American army \*. The violences, which succeeded the cessation of arms, even prevented the evacuation of New-York, as had been stipulated by Treaty. And the perfecution,

Sir Guy Carleton wrote the Congress a letter, on the 17th of August 1783; wherein he informed them, that he had received orders to evacuate New-York; but, that the violence which had broke out immediately after the cessation of arms had retarded that measure: And he expressed his concern, "That the Congress had thought proper to suspend to this late hour the recommendations stipulated by the Treaty, in the punctual performance of which the King and his Ministers have expressed such entire considence." The Congress never took any notice of this commendable letter; because it was impossible to answer incontrovertible sales, whence ivevitable inferences had been drawn.

secution; which ensued against the unfortunate supporters of the British Government, ought to be blotted from the page of history, were it not neceffary for the instruction of mankind, to preserve examples of human depravity and of human follies, against the prevalence of which, the reason and religion of men ought always to struggle. Yet. to determine with regard to the conduct of any State, from the tumultuous irregularities of the populace, who are eafily agitated though not eafily calmed, would be as unfair as it would be undignified. It is from authoritative acts alone that we ought to form opinions of the proceedings, either legal or illegal, of any Government. And it might be minutely shewn, were it now either useful or pleafant, that every American State continued to act authoritatively, from the 12th of March 1783, when the Provisional Articles were formally published, till the 14th of January 1784\*, when the Definitive Treaty was earnesly recommended by Congress, with regard to the unhappy objects of those recommendations, as if fafety and restitution had not been folemnly stipulated for them.

Z Complete

\* The packet from Falmouth conveyed the Definitive Treaty to New York, on the 1st of November 1783. On the 5th the Congress adjourned from Prince-town to Annapolis; where Mr. Missin, the President, arrived on the 3d of December. Thaxter, the Secretary of Mr. Adams, brought the Treaty on the 26th of November, which was published by Congress on the 11th of December 1783. Dates are of great importance, when we are inquiring with regard to the performance of Treaties.

Complete peace was furely established on the 14th of January 1784, when the Congress ratified the Definitive Treaty, if it did not, in fact, and in law, exift before \*. And we may now pertinently ask if the United States have honestly executed the folemn stipulations of a Treaty, from which they derived as the valuable confiderations of it, peace, liberty and fafety. From the annunciations of their own Gazettes we may learn indeed, that some of the States continued to expel those objects of perfecution and neglect, who had a right given them by Treaty to folicit their affairs, as if they could not refide as alien friends, under the manicipal law of the Commonwealth; that all of those Governments have continued to dispose of the confiscated property, which they had engaged to restore. And it may be added as a fact, as true as it will be memorable in their annals, that not one of the American Affemblies have complied with the recommendations of Congress-" To restore mens' rights and estates, as stipulated; to revise the acts of confication, fo as to make them confishent with the rules of justice, and the spirit of reconcilement."

The

<sup>\*</sup> The Negotiators of Congress informed that body by their letter dated the 18th of July 1783, which was published in the Pennsylvania Independent Gazetteer of the 24th of April 1784: That the clause of the Treaty, which stipulates that there shall be no future confiscations; ought to relate to the time of the cessation of arms; as the Definitive Treaty ought to be considered, as only giving dress and form to the foregoing contracts and not as constituting the obligations of them.

We shall be enabled to judge of the truth of those broad positions, by a very short discussion. It is a peace-making principle of the law of nations, that every treaty of peace, without express provifions, extinguishes virtually all wrongs, which had been done during the previous war, fo that they shall not be deemed causes of future dispute. Yet, the American Legislatures \* seem all to have directed, fince the re-establishment of amity, that accounts shall be taken of devastations committed by the British army, during the course of seven years hostilities. With whatever view this Legislative direction was given, the principle whence it proceeded, is undoubtedly hostile. And it was cautious, though unnecessary in our negotiators to stipulate expressly by treaty, that no prosecutions shall be commenced in future against persons, for the part they had taken in the war, or on that account fuffer any future damage. Yet, the government of New-York have empowered their citizens by a law, denominated in their jurisprudence, the trespass act, to sue any persons for injuries done to property, during the war, and to subject any one who shall have resided, while the British army garrisoned New-York, in any house only for a day to the rent for seven years. This in the energetic language

Virginia act October 1783, ch. 10. "For continuing an act to ascertain the losses and injuries sustained from the depredations of the enemy within this State." The peaceful Pennsylvania took the lead of this hostile measure. And Carolina, Georgia, and others followed the example of both.

language of Phocion\*, was to enact a civil war: And some persons have actually been imprisoned, under its authority, and others have been obliged to slee. With a similar spirit the government of South Carolina set aside, since the peace, the decisions of the Court of Police, which had been erected, while Charles Town was occupied by British troops; and British subjects who had purchased property under its decisions or had appealed to its equity, have been condemned in damages, and have been either imprisoned, or forced to abandon their families and affairs; though by the laws of war the conquest of the country carries with it the peaceful right of governing the people, by proper judicatories.

Mevertheless we are boaslingly told, "That the Legislature of South Carolina in their last session passed an act for restoring the estates of almost the whole number of attainted persons t." But, Mr. Champion either did not know, or at least did not tell the whole truth. Certain indeed it is, that the assembly passed an act, in pursuance of the recommendation of Congress, and the requests of the Governor, for restoring out of about six hundred confiscated

<sup>\*</sup> The title of two able defences of the treaty, which have been published, in opposition to the spirit of the times, by the late Secretary of General Washington, Colonel Hamilton; a gentleman, whose pen is as powerful as his sword.

<sup>+</sup> Mr. Champion's Confiderations.—The Jacksonborough act of 1782, attainted about two hundred persons by name and upwards of four hundred more by description.

confiscated estates, one hundred and twenty-four, on specific conditions. Yet, let us attend to two only of those effential ones: Iftly, It is directed by the act as a previous condition, " That the parties, their attornies or agents should make a true return upon oath of their estates, real and personals in order that the commissioners should cause an assessment of twelve per cent. on the real value of fuch estates to be paid in specie, before the first day of March then next, with an addition of two per cent. to the Commissioners of Confiscation: 2dly, The law gave an option to the purchasers of confiscated property to relinquish or retain it, and in this last case the owner is only allowed to receive in fatisfaction the securities, that had been given in payment by buyers, or indents, a species of paper money, which, with the usual fate of American paper, had already depreciated four-fifths of its original In this manner were the parties obliged to pay before the first of March fourteen in the hundred of the genuine value of their estates in real money; yet to receive in fatisfaction fictitious money at a future day. And in this manner did the Affembly of South Carolina fulfil \* the treaty and regard

<sup>\*</sup> That there was no real purpose to execute the treaty, or to comply with the Congress, may be indeed inferred, from the public notice, which the Commissioners gave in April last, pursuant to an ordinance of Assembly, dated the a6th of March, 1784, for amending and explaining the confiscation act. The State Gazette gave notice " to all purchasers of confiscated

regard the recommendations of Congress, which admited not of partial modifications, since all had been agreed to be restored. Well may the persons, who were merely restored to their ruin, exclaim:

And be these juggling siends no more believed, That palter with us in a double sense, That keep the word of promise to our ear, And break it to our hope.

Yet, are we affured \*, " that fuch an example, in a State, which has fuffered so greatly by the war, affords the strongest hope, that it will be followed in all the States." Yes; Massachusetts has in a great measure followed the example of South Carolina, " by making distinctions of a similar nature." The affembly of March 1784, recited +, 1stly; " That whereas by the the fixth article of the treaty made between the United States and Great-Britain it is provided, that no further confiscations shall be made:" It therefore enacted 2dly; that the lands which any of the persons aforementioned held in fee simple, or by a lesser estate, on the 19th day of April 1775, and have not by the act entitled " An act to confiscate the estates of certain notorious

confiscated property, where titles have not been already made, to apply for such titles and give the necessary security, on or before the 26th day of May next, (1784,) otherwise such property will again be sold at public sale, and the former purchasers made liable to all expences."

<sup>·</sup> By Mr. Champion's Considerations.

<sup>†</sup> The act was published in the Boston Independent Chronicle of the 1st of April, 1784.

rious conspirators against the government and liberties of the inhabitants of the late province, now State of Massachusett's-Bay;" or by judgment had on due process of law on such estates been confiscated, nor have been pledged by government for money borrowed, or fold by Agents according to the laws of the State for the payment of debts, due from absentees, or have been made liable to pay any annual charge for the fupport of any poor perfon; shall be delivered up to the parties, who respectively owned such lands last before the 19th of April 1775; or to any person claiming under them respectively; provided such claimants are not included in the act aforesaid, made in the year 1778, who shall have the privilege of disposing of the same within the space of three years." The words of the law were given, to enable every one to judge for himself. A large body of men, " who were deemed to hold principles and poffess dispositions incompatible with the safety of the Commonwealth," were by the fame law excluded from returning to Massachusett's: A less obnoxious class was allowed to refide upon obtaining a licence from the Governor, which was to continue no longer in force than the meeting of the next affembly.

Yet, the treaty stipulated, for the restitution of all confiscated rights; for the admission of all those who had borne arms against the States, to solicit restitution; for a revision of such acts as prevented reconcilement: The treaty expressly provided, that

there shall be no future confiscations; that no person shall fuffer future damage for the part which he acted during the war. It was furely a commend. able rule of the old-fashioned writers on the law of nations, that plain words shall be construed according to their usual import and just agreements shall be executed according to the obvious meaning of the Contracting Powers. But, to exclude men, who ought to be admitted; to deny restitution of those rights, which were to be restored to former owners; and to add additional penalties to laws, which were to be made more confistent with justice; all these are the novel constructions, which our fashionable Philosophers recommend to be given to our American treaty. Confiscation, says Bacon, is the att of transferring the forfeited goods of criminals to public use. The Legislative declaration then prescribed merely the mode: The fale of the conficated property and the application of the price to the fervice of the State formed the real transfer of the goods to the public use, much more than the law. To provide by treaty, that no additional modes should be enacted, at an epoch, when there remained no persons, or things, whereon future laws could work, was furely no reasonable act. The express stipulation, that there should be no future confifcation ought to be therefore construed, fince it was certainly meant, to fignify no future appropriation of the forfeited property; and every detention ought to be deemed a new transfer of the things

things to be restored from the obnoxious individual to the more delinquent state. - But, it is now faid, that the making of the distinctions among persons to be restored, where the treaty makes none; the deducting of amercements from property, in cases where the treaty infists, that the party shall fuffer no future damage; and the declaring by law a body of men to be enemies to a government, while by the treaty they are admitted to be friends; all these topics are suggested rather than faid to be strong marks of a desire in the American citizens to allow their refertments to subside. He who in this apologetical spirit insifts, that the performance of part of the American treaty is the fulfilment of all, must allow us to argue that, by the same rule of inference, the greatest is less than the least; that by this newfangled logick, the performance of no part whatfoever is more confistent with the good faith wherewith treaties ought to be performed, than the literal execution of the minutest stipulation. But, in vain does reason contend with the gripe of avarice or with the obduracy of power. And who can suppress indignation, when he fees experience infulted by puerility, or restrain ridicule, when he feels common sense shocked by the fooleries of Philosophy.

If candour is as commendable as a virtue, as chicanery is despicable as a vice, the avowed conduct of New-York must be much more approved, than the pretended compliance of South Carolina and Massachusett's, or even than the contemptuous

Aa

filence

filence of other States. They have all refused virtually: But, to deny bluntly is at least more manly than to feign a fulfilment, which is not meant. After two months confideration, the New-York affembly resolved, on the 30th of March, 1784: "That, as on the one hand, the rules of Justice do not require, fo on the other hand the public tranquility will not admit, that fuch adherents, who have been attainted should be restored to the rights of citizenship and there can be no reason for restoring property, which has been confiscated, as no compensation is offered on the part of Great-Britain, for the damages fuftained by this State from the devastations of the war: And that, while the legislature entertain the highest sense of national honour and the fanction of treaties and of the deference. which is due to the advice of the United States in Congress, they find it inconfistent with their duty to comply with the recommendation of the faid United States, on the subject of the 5th article of the Definitive Treaty: Therefore refolved by both houses, that the Governor be defired to transmit these resolutions to Congress." This is a language, which cannot be made plainer by commentaries.

While this intemperate spirit reigns in the American legislatures, we are told by their apologist, that many refugees have been restored in different parts of America. We may, for the convenience of argument, grant to Mr. Champion the truth of his position, though the fact is unfounded, as it was meant to be exhibited to the public, and then beg

to know, what he would infer from it. That certain persons, (and fewer there were, who disgraced themselves by their neutrality, during the late civil broils, than had ever existed in similar contests before) who, being shocked at the fight of rebellion, or war, fought refuge in happier climes. It is admitted, that some of those persons may have been restored to some of their rights, because, having been moved by confiderations of age, of fex, or infirmities, they had committed no greater crime against the Commonwealths, than that of deferting them, when danger approached. But, those are not the men, who were prompted, by their spirit, to face tumult and bloodshed; and were engaged, by their loyalty, to risque their persons and property, and to draw their swords, in support of the rights of Britain. And these are the men, whom we have feen expelled, and excluded, and destroyed, by every mode of persecution \*.

The mind is naturally led to compare times, either happy, or disastrous, which seem nearly alike in their political features, King William had scarcely atchieved the Revolution, when the A a 2 persecuted

<sup>\*</sup> Several of those loyal persons, who have returned to the United States, since the peace, were arrested by creditors, who may have taken different sides, though their property and credits had been taken away by the State. Other loyal persons, who remain in Britain, are sued by the American creditors here, though the consistent effects had been appropriated to the payment of American debts, and they are disqualisted from suing their debtors in the American courts. Thus, are the Loyalists thrown into the bed of Procrustes.

persecuted protestants of France looked for shelter in England, in confiderable numbers, and found it. In the fate of unhappy strangers, who ran thus under the wings of England, the Parliament interested themselves, and thereby gained for England the celebration of the world, by bountifully fupplying their needs, amid greater embarrassments of war, and finance, than have occurred at any time fince \*. The Irish nobility and gentry, who, because they were attached to England and supported the Revolution, were attainted by the Irish Parliament of the abdicated monarch, equally fought protection at that æra from England, which was still more amply given by Parliament, fince their claims were stronger t. Those Parliamentary precedents clearly evince the great diversity there is, between Mr. Champion's refugees and those faithful American subjects, whom every Englishman ought to regard, if it is meritorious to have supported the rights of England, by their talents, their property, and arms: The French refugees asked and received the charity of the nation: The Irish loyalists claimed of the justice of Parliament, and from its equity received; not only immediate affistance but ultimate compensation.

But,

<sup>\*</sup> There were 3,500 French refugees, to whom were given £.15,000 a year, during the reign of William. [Com. Journal, 10 v. p. 116. Hist. of Debts, part ii. p. 1.]

<sup>+</sup> Com. Journal, 10 v. p. 204-12-217-29. Vol. 13, p. 291-3. Vol. 15, p. 36-48-68-344.

But, the claim of the American Loyalists feems to be a stronger one. Their natural inclination was strengthened by the Parliamentary resolutions of 1766 \*. Their ardour was incited by the Secretary of State's private instructions; whereby Governors were exhorted, to offer every encouragement to those, who appeared in principle adverse, to the proceedings of the malcontents Their apprehenfions amid dangers were removed, by the Royal proclamation of August 1775; which gave affurances, " that none ought to doubt the protection, which the law will afford to their loyalty and zeal." And after all these previous incitements they were called forth into action, by the manifesto of the Royal Commissioners, in June 1776; which declared, " That due confideration shall be had to the meritorious services of all perfons, who shall assist in restoring the public tranquility and that every fuitable encouragement shall be given to those who shall promote the re-establishment of legal government." And in this manner was the faith of the King and Parliament pledged, and the pride of the people engaged in the face of the world, to grant honest recompence to American loyalists, though not to American refugees, as foon as-"diligent and impartial enquiry"-fhall have established the truth of each particular claim.

Yet, it is added by the Apologist of Congress, "That though resentments did not immediately

<sup>\*</sup> Com. Journ. 30 vol. page 603. Wherein we may see the Commons resolve: "That those loyal subjects, who had assisted in carrying the Acts of Parliament into execution, are entitled to the protection and favour of that House."

fink towards the refugees, it did towards this country." Candour ought frankly to confess, That it was to have been expected of men, who had given mutual wounds and received mutual injuries. that they would not foon forgive or forget one And from this active principle of human nature wife men early inferred, what experience feems to have proved, that the treaty, in respect to the recovery of confiscations, would never be executed. Governments, at least ancient ones, have learned to suppress passion, which would disable them from discharging those essential duties, the restraining of the resentments of individuals, and the granting of universal right. In this confiderate fpirit Great Britain has acted fince the epoch of independence, with respect to the United States. What returns the American Governments have made in those cooler cases, wherein their sense of injury did not lead their Legislatures astray, it is now proper to alk.

The treaty of peace (as we all know) expressly stipulated, that there should be no lawful impediment to the recovery of Debts, on either side. It required, as it is said, no small address and perseverance to procure this salutary stipulation from a people, who have never been samous for facilitating payment of debts. And the time is now come, when we ought to inquire how they have performed it. In Georgia, the Chief Justice, with his associates, determined (as hath been already evinced) that a British Merchant, being an alien friend, could not maintain

an action for debt, though they had pronounced, with the same breath, that the laws of England had been studded into the Georgian softem. The South Carolina Assembly with a bolder spirit passed an act, on the 16th of March 1784; for preventing the commencement of fuits for debts contracted by any citizen previous to February 1782; and for postponing ultimate payment by several liquidations till January 1789. Urged perhaps by the same necessities the North Carolina Assembly passed an act, in May 1783, to prevent the recovery of debts theretofore contracted, till after the expiration of a year. Equally necessitous, but perhaps more confident, the Virginian Affembly paffed an act, even after they had received the Definitive Treaty, " to suspend the issuing of executions for four months from December 1783, and to the end of the fubsequent Affembly. The American Legislatures, who may have concurred with those States, in fimilar measures, may equally plead, that the necessity which drives, defends. Whether Grotius or Puffendorf, Burlamaqui or Vattel, would have admitted this justificati on, for an evident infraction of a positive treaty is a question, which it is not at present worth while to ask. Their own Phocion has told the American citizens, with a loud voice, on the authority of some of those jurists: "That the wilful breach of a fingle article annuls the whole, fince every clause must stand, or fall together. If Britain should regard the treaty as broken, -can we renew the war? We know; and all the world knows, it is out of our power. There is a certain evil attends our intemperance, namely, a loss of character in Europe: For our Ministers write, that our conduct hitherto, in this respect, has done us infinite injury; and has exhibited us in the light of a people, destitute of government, on whose engagements of course no dependence can be placed."

We have been thus led round a circle of large circumference, in order to come at the general. refult, which has been in this manner drawn by Phocion. And it must now be admitted, that there ought to be a strong necessity, or a very apparent use, to justify the measure, of entering into a new treaty with States, who have not, even by their own acknowledgments, performed the old. Of the existence of that necessity, or of that use it may be proper to make a few remarks. The stipulation, which expressly promised, that there should be no lawful impediment to the recovery of debts, has been attended, as we have feen, with no great efficacy, in the practice of merchants. Wife men, who had feen, during every age, the same necessities prevailing in the Colonies, whose Legislatures opposed similar obstructions to the recovery of debts, have been heard to observe: That the only point of commercial policy, which had the semblance of use, would be an effectual provision for the speedy liquidation of debts, which must always be due from the United States to Britain. And doubtless a stipulation of this kind (if

WC

Ila

we had any security for its performance) would be of great importance to a commercial people, who seem to set no bounds to the number, or length of the credits, which they have always given to too distant customers. In confirmation of that remark they have mentioned, as the greatest infelicity, which perhaps has resulted to Britain from the independence of her colonies, that it had virtually repealed the statute \*; which had enabled British merchants to prove their debts before any Mayor of any corporation, and subjected all property to the payment of all debts.

The observation is in some measure just: But the inference cannot be admitted in all its extent. It has been established as a foundation, whereon much may be built, that the United States had wifely established it as a rule for the government of their courts of justice, to regard all laws of England theretofore used and approved, as still in full force. But, the statute of George IL before mentioned had been long used and approved. And unless the judges, in the abfurd spirit of Walton, the Georgian Chief-justice, do violence to their own system, that law must be allowed in every tribunal; not from the vigour of the British Legislature, but from the wisdom of their own adoption. The establishment of this inference, however, and the introduction of a convenient practice under it, must ultimately depend on the temper of the Judges and the approbation

bation of the people. And the American lawyers before they approve, or reject, a construction, which must prove beneficial to both countries. would do well to remember, that the Courts of Westminster-hall have been lately empowered, by the Legislature, to facilitate the suitors and to give fcope to justice, by fending commissions to every corner of the earth, to examine evidences and to Great Britain and the United procure proofs. States from their mutual dealings are equally interested in this great improvement of juridical forms, because it may often be equally convenient to bring testimony from America to Britain \*. And thus may the apprehensions of merchants be calmed on the interesting fubject, how their American debts are now to be ascertained.

\* There is reason to believe, that the American citizens rely more on the justice, speedy and impartial, of Westminster-hall, than on that of their own tribunals. In this spirit they have lately adopted a practice, which leads to unforfeen confequences; and which, were they forefeen, could not easily be prevented, however inconvenient they might be. The American citizens when they fee any fellow-citizen is about to fail for Britain for the purpose of business rather than of fettlement, and who may be indebted to them, allow the debtor to depart and arrest him upon his arrival in London. In this unexampled proceeding they have two views: ritly, They rely on the fingular justice of the country; adly, They know, that their debtor carries with him a large fum of money with defign to purchase a considerable cargo on the credit of what he pays down; and they hope by arresting their debtor on 'Change to intercept the money, and thereby procure speedier payment, than if they had stoped him in the American port. Such are the varieties of human affairs.

Were an intelligent person desired to sketch a Commercial Treaty with the United States, having some regard to the foregoing considerations, he would probably find himself extremely perplexed to discover or to create flipulations, which lead to any use, or which are dictated by any necessity. He would do well first to reflect, that it is some necessity or some usefulness, which ought to form the basis of all commercial treaties: That every nation, having a right to judge of that usefulness and of that necessity, may approve or reject the equivalents, which had been offered for requested immunities, without giving cause of offence, or reason for explanation. That to establish again by Treaty what had been already established by law is no reasonable act; any more than it would be a wife measure to make a new act of Parliament in a case where an old one already existed; which, abstracted from its legislative indecency, always creates doubts and introduces difficulties, that never existed before. Were such a person asraid of the ridicule, which generally attends those, who gravely propose to do that which has been already done, he would probably be induced by his fears, however he might be governed by his prudence, to confider, whether the laws have not more amply provided for the ease and freedom of the American trade, than the Treaties, which the United States have concluded with Holland and France. And when he turned his attention to the actual state of the commerce between Great Britain and the United B b 2

United States, as it has been lately regulated, on liberal principles, he would perhaps discover little to amend, and consequently little to propose, as the foundation of a supplemental treaty.

The truth of the foregoing suggestions we shall see in a still more satisfactory light, by slightly reviewing; 1stly, Our export trade to the United States; 2dly, The nature of our imports from them; 3dly, The policy of the West-India regulations of our navigation.

been made more free, by repealing \* the statute of the present reign, that retained what was technically called The Old Subsidy on merchandize, which should be sent to the British Colonies: And thereby the American citizens have actually gained, by this additional boon, what is certainly saved, about sive in the hundred on the value of every cargo; and consequently £.150,000 a year, if the amount of their whole cargoes be £.3,000,000. This measure forms a singular instance of our legislative liberality and evinces our encreasing knowledge of the nature of trade, from the silent efforts of truth.

2. He

The 30th of July 1784, will be remarkable in our commercial annals, for the passing of an act of Parliament—" To discontinue the petty custom on aliens goods imported into Great Britain and the duty of one per cent. on goods exported to, or imported from the Mediterranean Seas in unqualified ships; and for repealing so much of an act, passed in the fourth year of his present Majesty, as enacts, that no part of the Old Subsidy shall be drawn back upon any goods exported to the British Plantations in America."

2. He to whom shall be affigned the difficult talk of making a Commercial Treaty with the United States will find his chief embarraffments to arise from the regulation of the exports from that country to Britain. He will fee they have been already allowed the free importation of all their unmanufactured products, which includes almost all their surpluses, on paying the same duties as are paid on fuch commodities when brought in from the British Plantations. Their naval stores and their lumber are thus exempted from duties; And they are allowed the fole supply of tobacco on terms of the greatest facility. But, the United States, it feems are not content: They defire to fend all their commodities to Britain, the productions of their fishery as well as the produce of their agriculture; fince they lay no restraint on the importation of British manufactures, which thus, they argue, find a prodigious vent. Yet, both parties will do well to remember, that it is mutual convenience more than fancied reciprocity, which ought to form the basis of all commercial treaties. Our supposed Negotiator may be thence led to inquire how fuch a proposal, were it carried into effect, would affect our industrious classes, who all merit protection, but some of them particular favour. Such of them as contribute to our defence in war deferve greater attention from the State than those, who augment our opulence in peace. Whence, we ought to rank our industrious people in the following order of merit: The failors are

the men who deserve most of our care, because they are the great protectors of every other class, in the enjoyment of their freedom from the attacks of the foe. The next in order and second in merit are our shipwrights and joiners, and other artificers. connected with the outfit of ships, because they are the builders of our wooden walks, and without them even the gallant efforts of the failors are vain. The farmers stand third in rank, if not the first because they furnish every other class with food. And the workers in wool, whose peculiar interests have been too often preferred to the general good, can only be ranked in the fourth place. If convenience then be the rule of disapprobation, or affent, in forming treaties of commerce, it can never be right to admit the oil and fish of New England, because her citizens consume many of the manufactures of wool, fince this measure would facrifice the interest of the two most meritorious classes to the gratification of the fourth. But, let us for the accommodation of argument suppose, that the New Englanders offered to difregard their agreements with Holland and France, and to admit exclusively the woollen manufactures of Britain, and let us then ask, would such a monopoly be for the real interest of Britain, were it truly carried into effect. We shall best answer this interesting question, by asking several other questions. Does not the history of wool in this Island evince, that the manufacturers of wool, have too often tyrannized over every other class and even dictated to the Legislature; that they have

have often disturbed the public repose by their clamours and fometimes broken the public peace by their tumults? Did not those disorders proceed from the previous impolicy, of vesting too much capital in one branch of business and employing too many hands in one kind of manufacture; whereby the same evil was introduced into the community, as if a wen were on purpole created on the body natural, which might begin with mortification and end with death. In this view of the subject would it be for the real advantage of Britain, were the world to give her the exclusive privilege of supplying the world with the fabricks of wool? was it not prudent in the Legislature to encourage the weavers of filk, of cotton, and linnen, as competitors to the weavers of wool, with the wife defign to draw gradually hands from a superabundant class to employments of a fomewhat different kind, whose fewnels of numbers from the want of occupation, did not lead to groundless alarm and even to dangerous tumult. May we not thence infer it to be the duty of wife Legislators to keep up continually an exact balance among the industrious classes of a commercial and naval nation, fo that none of them shall have too many hands or any of them too few. Would not the New-England proposal counteract this salutary policy and necessarily tend to introduce an evil rather than a good? And thus have we discussed in a paragraph a difficult subject of political œconomy, which ought indeed to occupy a volume.

trea

in t

ma

th

ar

la

F

3. But however free and advantageous the commerce may be between Great Britain and the United States, the rights of trade between the two mother countries gives no pretence for traffic with Colonies, if we may rely on the weighty decision of Montesquieu, or the still weightier dictates of common sense. Like the system of other European powers the laws of Great Britain forbade all foreigners to trade with our transatlantic settlements: Our Government relaxed the rigour of those laws, in kindness to our Colonies and favour to the United States. Yet, the proclamation of the 2d of July 1783, is said to have come upon both as a thunderclap. And the moment of surprise had no sooner passed away with the cloud, than modes of circumvention and even measures of recal were pursued with the ardour of men, who are urged at once by faction and interest. The arts which had produced the Colonial revolt were naturally adopted. And instigatory letters were written to the United States as well as to the West Indies, to join in concerted clamour and to adopt various measures to gain their different ends. But, intrigues, which are detected and known, are no longer dangerous. And the admission of the American vessels into the West India ports must be gained by treaty, fince the force of threats have failed. It is now time to. ask what are the equivalents which they offer for the boon that they beg; as in every operation of commerce fomething must be given for something. The United States have precluded themselves, by treaty. treaty from granting to Britain any peculiar facility in trade. It has been suggested rather than shewn that the grant of any exclusive supply of any of the manufactures of Britain would be hurtful rather than beneficial to her genuine interests; would be an evil rather than a good. But, we offer you large markets for your manufactures, fay the United States: We give you large credits, fays Britain; we furnish you with capital, in proportion to the debts you detain, and we open moreover an extensive market for all your unmanufactured products. Are we not customers to your tradelmen to the annual amount of three million nay of fix million, of sterling pounds, subjoin the United States: The question is not, Britain replies, whether you are a great customer, but whether you are a good one: Our warehousemen have sense enough to know, that they grow rich by supplying not one great customer, but many small one's: Our Statesmen fee clearly enough, that neither the interest nor safety of the nation can ever confist in trafficing with any one community to so vast an extent, that any accidental failure, or purposed non-importation, would occasion outcries and convultions; whereby one of the greatest of nations might be subjected difgracefully to her customers and debtors.

It must afford consolation to every honest mind to be shewn, that, in the present state of American trade, it is plainly impossible for the United States to take any legislative step, without promoting the

Cc

commercial interest of Britain. Were they to stop the whole of their exports to the British West-Indies, they would thereby give a bounty to Canada, Nova Scotia, and Newfoundland; and they would by that means force Britain into that fystem of supply and navigation, which she is prevented from adopting spontaneously, by the prejudices of the many, rather than by the reasonings of the few. Were the United States to impose taxes on the import of British manufactures this step would equally lead to the real advantage of · Britain: If the taxed articles should be necessaries The American confumer would pay the duty: If they flould be only luxuries, which the American citizen would do well not to consume, Britain ought not to fend the goods that could not be speedily paid for. If the Congress should be even enabled to go the full length of enacting, that the State, which will not treat, shall not trade, the interest of Britain would equally be promoted: For, to the amount of the necessaries, the merchants of Britain would continue to fend, as they fent them during the war, by Flanders, by Holland, by France; whose wealthier and more punctual traders would become our paymasters, who are more under our eye: And to transmit the United States greater cargoes than they can possibly pay for cannot be easily justified. By prudent perseverance, in measures moderate and just, much has already been gained. To derive every possible advantage from the American

rican trade nothing more is wanting, than for the nation to be quiet and the Parliament to fit still.

Such are the opinions, which the author of the foregoing sheets has presumed, perhaps imprudently, to avow to the world. Amid the anxieties, which most men feel, in speaking truths to a world, that is not always confiderate and kind, he has fome confolations. If his opinions shall be deemed weak one's, they may be eafily confuted, or still more eafily neglected. If they shall be regarded as weighty ones, he will have the fatisfaction of reflecting, that he has contributed his drops to the stream of truth, which as it runs filently and un heeded by, leaves the improvements, resulting from intelligence, in the country behind. The documents, which his diligence has gleaned, will remain, when the hand that collected them shall be cold; and the reflections, which he has anxiously made, may perhaps cause a few others to think, when the head and the heart, that formed the refult, have been long laid low in the dust.

## FINIS.

In page 11—said for sad.
76—440 for 4,040.
86—land Mary for Maryland.
92—abetting for abating.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The reader is defired to correct the following errors, which affect the fense:

## BOOKS printed for J. DEBRETT, opposite Burlington-House, Piccadilly.

This Day is Published, Price 25.

THE PARLIAMENTARY REGISTER, Numbers and XV. of the last Session of Parliament, and IV. and XCV. from the General Election in 1780.

The PARTIAMENTARY REGISTER, from the General Election in 1774, to the Diffolution in 1780, in seventeen

volumes, price 61.6s.
The First, Second, and Third Sessions of the last Par liament, in eleven volumes, price 41. 7s. half bound and ettered.

The thirteen preceding Numbers of the last Session, price

ts, each.
Those Gentlemen who want any particular Numbers to complete their Sets, are earneally defired to order them

as fpeedily as possible.

The Editors beg leave to return their warmest thanks to those Noblemen and Gentlemen, by whose distinguished patronage and aid they have been able to conduct Publication through the two last Parliaments in a manner that hath entitled the Work to the approbation of the Public. The communications with which they have been aftended to with the utmost care, and upon every question the litricted endour has a conjunction the litricted endour has a conjunction to every important Debate.

The ELEVENTE REPORT from the Select Committee, appointed to take into commerciation the State of the Adaministration of Justice in the Provinces of Bengal, Bahar, and

Orilla. Price 2s.

\* \* This Report contains an account of money received and acknowledged by the Hon. Warren Hastings, Governor

General of Bengal.

A REPLY to Mr. BURKE's SPEECH on the first of December, 1783. By Major IOHN SCOIT, Price 1s. 6d.

The REMEMBRANCER; or IMPARTIAL REPO-SITORY of PUBLIC EVENTS. Price is. each Number.—The American war gave rife to this Work in 1775. Every authentic paper relative to that war, as also with France and Spain, whether published in England or America, by the British Ministry or the American Congress, are all carefully inferted in this work. The letters of the feveral commanding officers, addresses, resolutions of the various commit ees, conventions, &c.. Complete fees of this valuable and interesting work may be had of the publisher in seventeen volumes. Price cix Gainens, half-bound and tettered.

